Experimental and numerical analysis of novel 9-DOF robotic manipulator for computed tomography guided medical procedure
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Abstract. One of the most common procedures implemented in the diagnosis of cancer and tumour is percutaneous biopsy under Computed Tomography (CT) image guidance. A 9-DOF hybrid redundant fully actuated robotic manipulator with a novel arc and train design is developed the retrieval of suspected tissue for biopsy procedure under CT guidance. The mathematical model of the robotic manipulator is formulated using standard DH convention. Inverse kinematics of the novel arc and train structure for CT bed mountability is also derived in this research. 3D-CAD model of the robot is developed and compared with the CT machine and a human model in SolidWorks 201 simulation is performed using MATLAB. A dual camera system and the actuator's internal position sensors are used to obtain and plot the robot’s deviation analysis from the goal during experimentation. Actuator sensor data is plotted against the required profile in order to determine the causes of the deviation and assess the positional trajectory and velocity trajectory profile. The deviations in position in the range of 3 to 3.5 mm in each of X, Y, or Z axis and the variance in the angle is between 0.4 and 0.55 degrees. It performed amicably under simulated laboratory conditions.

© 2021 Sharif University of Technology. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Robotics is a field of technology that has changed the world in multiple ways. Production in industries has been revolutionized by implementing robotics on a larger scale than ever before. Surgical robots are being used in top-level medical institutions around the world. Robots have also helped patients in post-operative rehabilitation under physiotherapy. Before treatment, diagnosis is required. In these papers, a robotic manipulator was created with Computed Tomography (CT) scan images as a guide in order to execute a biopsy. The CT scan images can be used as
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input for the robotic manipulator in order to identify the coordinates and angle of the biopsy needle’s point of entry [1,2].

Tissue retrieval procedure using CT image guidance has become one of the common practices for the biopsy procedure. When a medical doctor suspects cancerous or tumorous growth inside a patient using a CT image, it warrants further pathological findings to determine the type of cancer or tumour. The process of a pathological finding of such suspected tissue is known as a biopsy procedure. Conducting a biopsy procedure requires tissue samples. These suspected abnormal tissue samples are retrieved using a CT image as a reference for its position. There is an opportunity for improvement even if the manual freehand approach is used globally with appropriate precision. Human limitations such as hand tremor and less experience are some of the major hurdles in the tissue retrieval method [3]. Here, a strategy was put forth for using the CNN network to separate the liver from the abdomen’s CT picture and for training a novel technique for discovering and classifying liver lesion pre-histological results using CNN’s Multi-channel Deep Learning (MDL-CNN) [4,5]. To eliminate these hurdles during tissue retrieval under CT guidance, a novel robotic system is developed in this research.

A Survey of robotic and non-robotic systems designed for the improvement of percutaneous tissue retrieval procedure is performed. There are needle tracking systems and direct needle manipulation systems, which provide data in real-time for tracking the needle in the graphical form concerning the target coordinates for the process of tissue retrieval. There are three types of needle tracking systems: optical, electromagnetic, EM, and mechanical, based on the functioning principle. Some examples of optical tracking systems include Micron Tracker, NDI Polaris [6] and clarion [7]. Many optical tracking systems are also available commercially, e.g., the pathfinder [8–9], Medronic [10], elite Navigation system, the stykryr [11] and the CASniation CAS-ONE [12]. ActiViews CT-guide [13,14] are some of the optical tracking systems specifically designed for tracking biopsy needles during a biopsy procedure. Some examples of EM needle tracking systems are NDI Aurora [6] and Medronic AxiEM [15]. The major drawback of the EM needle tracking system is the proximity of metallic objects. Some examples of mechanical needle tracking systems are the Phillip pinpoint system [16–19] and the MicroScribe-G2X mechanical arm with 5-DOF [20]. The drawback of a mechanical needle tracking system is that the intervention process is manual and the medical doctor has to perform the procedure.

There are two types of direct needle manipulation systems, patient mounted and CT bed mounted. A needle guidance system is physically placed on the patient for the orientation of the biopsy needle. The needle is inserted manually after the correct orientation is achieved. Commercially available patient-mounted robotic devices include NeoRad Simplify [21,22] and aprimoed seestar [23,24]. A Robopsy system is a stepper-actuated patient-mounted system developed at MIT [25,15]. Two stepper motors orient the needle at the first target point for insertion. The other two stepper motors clamp and release the needle for insertion. The sterility of the patient could be compromised using a patient mounted system. Robots designed to guide a biopsy needle and retrieve tissue percutaneously while being mounted on a CT bed are known as CT bed-mounted systems. A CT bed mounted system called CT guide is developed by Bard [26–28]. This robot comprises a guide parallel to the CT bed attached to an arc-shaped arm, which can rotate about the guide as well. Another table-mounted system with a five Degrees of Freedom (DOF) over bridge structure is named AcuBot [29,30]. The actuation of Acubot is also mechanical and the joints are locked once the desired position is reached. Maarten Menno Arnolli designed and developed one of the latest robotic systems for biopsy procedures in 2017. This robotic manipulator is mounted on the CT bed and can position the needle after the CT image is taken. The insertion of the needle using this system is manual [31]. Diagnosis of COVID-19 using deep learning based on convolutional neural networks to segment and classify lung CT images [32]. The main aim of this research work is to design and fabricate a setup that can precisely control the speed and depth of needle insertion procedure depth and velocity of insertion of needle in the linear actuator is controlled automatically with the help of rotary encoder. The automated control system is controlled with the help of MyRIO controller [33]. This research presents a tele operational five DOF articulated industrial robotic arm with manual motion control based on vision control [34–35]. The multi-objective optimal design of a brand-new 6-DOF hybrid spray-painting robot is the subject of this research [36]. By splitting it into serial and parallel components, its kinematic model may be determined. The virtual work idea is used to formulate the dynamic equation. In order to accurately construct the industrial feed forward controller and account for the robot’s externally unknown dynamic load, the study suggests an iterative learning strategy. The presentation of a full linear feed forward controller is based on a common dynamic model. The Moore-Penrose Inverse and the PID learning rate are combined to provide an iterative design technique for iteratively updating the feed-forward controller [37]. An overview of the work that has already been done on dynamic parameter identification of serial and parallel robots is provided. We list the techniques for estimating the dynamic parameters and evaluate the benefits and
drawbacks of each technique. Both the trajectory optimization and the to-be-identified model are examined [38]. This study compares a Laser Navigation System (LNS-group) to a conventional approach to analyze the radiation dose and speed of needle interventions [39]. Here, when using a robotic IR assistance platform to replicate CT-guided biopsy and percutaneous ablation, improved needle accuracy and optimized probe geometry were seen. When accuracy could affect the outcome, such as in clinical CT-guided biopsy and RFA, this technology may be helpful [40]. In this paper, the technology, which permits accurate needle placement in a single insertion, was prepared for its initial clinical deployment [41].

From the literature survey, it is observed that none of the systems provides a breakthrough that can decimate direct human intervention while keeping the human factor at play. All the previous CT-guided systems are patient-mounted or require manual intervention. This will increase the X-ray exposure of the patients and medical staff. Hence, there is a need for a fully automated accurate robotic manipulator. The robotic manipulator must have redundant DOF for obstacle avoidance and superior dexterity within the constrained workspace of the CT bore also known as CT scanning area.

Hence, the primary objective of this research is to develop an automated and fully actuated redundant robotic manipulator for CT image-guided tissue retrieval medical doctor procedures. The forward, inverse kinematics and joint position trajectory equations of the said manipulator also need to be derived. A mathematical model of the robotic manipulator needs to be simulated as well. Simulation results need to be verified experimentally in laboratory conditions. The test results are also compared with the theoretical results. The basic input of every robotic manipulator is the target coordinate and target orientation then come the velocity, acceleration, torque, etc. Without target coordinates and target orientation, the solution of inverse kinematics and velocity equations does not exist. Specially acquiring a real-world target for the robotic manipulator from a CT image proves to be a challenge.

The novelty of this research is mentioned as follows:

- The robotic manipulator designed here comprises 9-DOF and has an arc structure that can be mounted on the CT bed. A train carrying rest of the robot and the needle moves on the arc for better dexterity;
- Inverse kinematics solution of the novel arc and train base structure of the robotic manipulator for the CT bed application;
- A novel 9-DOF robotic manipulator with arc and train structure, servo actuators and sensors are fabricated for experimental analysis under laboratory conditions;
- Design development and testing of an automated robotic system for CT image-guided percutaneous tissue retrieval procedure is done in this research.

2. Nomenclature

- $l$ Link length
- $d$ Joint displacement
- $\alpha$ Link twist
- $\theta$ Joint angle
- $C_n$ Cosine of $n$th joint
- $C_{23}$ Cosine ($\theta_2 + \theta_3$)
- $S_n$ Sine of $n$th joint
- $S_{23}$ Sine ($\theta_2 + \theta_3$)
- $\Omega$ Orientation of the train on the arc
- $W$ Width of the CT bed
- $G$ Length of the arc on which the train is allowed to travel
- $X$, $Y$, and $Z$ Real-world target coordinates of the robotic manipulator
- $n$ Joint number of the robotic manipulator

3. Robotic manipulator design

The robotic manipulator designed here has 9-DOF which includes a novel arc design on which other links of the robot move. An arc type design is developed because of the CT machine environment. The arc-type design will make it easier and ergonomic for the robotic manipulator to maneuver when a human is lying on the CT bed. All the other joints are rotary except for the last two joints, which are prismatic. The DH parameters of the robot designed in this research for retrieving tissue sample using CT image guidance is shown in Table 1.

The length of penetration for the needle in the human body is denoted by $d_{10}$ in the above DH parameter table and it is found based on the CT scan of the patient.

Based on the DH parameters, a simulation model is developed in MATLAB. This simulation model will be used for simulation and other illustration purposes in this research. Figure 1a shows the free-body diagram of the robotic manipulator with an arc structure. Figure 1b shows the three-dimensional illustration model developed in MATLAB with arbitrary dimensions for illustration.

A standard rotary 3-DOF, rotary 6-DOF, and a novel 9-DOF hybrid robotic manipulator is designed for this research. The kinematic model of all the robotic
Table 1. DH parameters of the 9-DOF robot manipulator.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Link number</th>
<th>Link length ($l_i$)</th>
<th>Joint displacement ($d_i$)</th>
<th>Link twist ($\alpha_i$)</th>
<th>Joint angle ($\theta_i$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Link 1</td>
<td>$l_1$</td>
<td>$d_1 = 40$ cm</td>
<td>$-\pi/2$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link 1</td>
<td>$l_2$</td>
<td>$d_2 = 20$ cm</td>
<td>$-\pi/2$</td>
<td>$-\pi/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link 2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$d_3 = 1.02$ cm</td>
<td>$-\pi/2$</td>
<td>$\theta_5 - \pi/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link 3</td>
<td>$l_4 = 9.9$ cm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-\pi/2$</td>
<td>$\theta_4 - \pi/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link 4</td>
<td>$l_5 = 19.9$ cm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\theta_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link 5</td>
<td>$l_6 = 10.7$ cm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-\pi/2$</td>
<td>$\theta_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link 6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$d_6 = 2.9$ cm</td>
<td>$\pi/2$</td>
<td>$\theta_7 + \pi/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link 7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$d_7 = 4.9$ cm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\theta_8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link 8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$d_8 = 2.9$ cm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\theta_9$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1a. Free body diagram of a 9-DOF robotic manipulator.

Figure 1b. Simulation model of 9-DOF robotic manipulator developed in MATLAB.

Figure 2a. Isometric view of the fully assembled robotic manipulator CAD model.

Based on the simulation model, 3D-CAD model of the robotic manipulator is designed in SOLIDWORKS 2016. The 3D-CAD of the robotic manipulator is also compared with an average human body placed on a CT machine bed. A CT machine model with real world dimensions of General Electric (GE) CT machine is also designed in SOLIDWORKS 2016 for understanding the feasibility of the robotic manipulator in a CT environment. The robotic manipulator model is shown in Figure 2a and placing the robotic manipulator on the CT bed with a human body is shown in Figure 2b.

Fabrication of the robotic manipulator is done using 3D printing techniques with ABS and carbon fiber reinforced ABS material. Dynamixel servo joint
Figure 2b. Isometric view of the fully assembled robotic manipulator with CT machine CAD model.

Figure 3. Experimental prototype of the robotic manipulator.

actuators with inbuilt position sensors are used for providing motion. The fabricated robotic manipulator is shown in Figure 3.

Figure 4 shows the robotic manipulator, CT model, CT blade and adjustable target (Cylindrical tube on the knuckle joint) on a table in laboratory ready for experimentation. All the electronics and power sources are connected as shown in Figure 4. This setup is made to simulate the CT machine conditions in a laboratory environment CT bore is 70 cm and CT bed is 40 cm.

Once the DH parameters are decided, forward, inverse kinematic and joint position trajectory equations are derived for theoretical simulation.

4. Mathematical formulation

Transformation matrix method is used to formulate the forward kinematics equations. X, Y and Z represent the real world coordinate regarding the base of the robotic manipulator. Eq. (1) shows the transformation matrix as shown in Box I. Forward kinematic equations of the novel 9-DOF robotic manipulator is shown in Eqs. (2) to (4). The transformation and orientation equations are shown in Eqs. (2) and (3):

$$T^n_0 = T^1_0 \times T^2_1 \times T^3_2 \times T^4_3 \times T^5_4 \times T^6_5 \times T^7_6 \times T^8_7 \times T^9_8 \times T^{10}_9,$$

$$R^n_0 = \begin{bmatrix} n_x & a_x & p_x \\ n_y & a_y & p_y \\ n_z & a_z & p_z \end{bmatrix}.$$ (3)

Forward kinematic equations of the novel 9-DOF robotic manipulator are shown in Eqs. (4)–(6). Here $C_n$ and $S_n$ are cosine and sine of n'th joint respectively where, $n = 1, 2, ..., n$. $C_{23}$ and $S_{23}$ represent cosine $(\theta_2 + \theta_3)$ and sine $(\theta_2 + \theta_3)$ and so on respectively.

$$X = l_1 + d_3 + d_8 + d_9 + l_3^0 C_7 + l_3^0 C_7 \times d_8^0 S_7;$$

$$-d_8^0 S_7 + l_3^0 C_6 C_7;$$ (4)

$$Y = l_3 - l_7 + d_2 - l_5^0 C_8 - l_5^0 C_8 + l_5^0 C_8 + l_8^0 S_7;$$

$$+l_8^0 S_7 + d_5^0 C_7 + d_5^0 C_7 + d_10^0 S_8 + l_9^0 C_6 S_7;$$ (5)

$$Z = l_2 + d_1 + d_4 - d_7 + l_9^0 S_8 - l_9^0 S_8 - l_9^0 S_8 - l_{10}^0 S_8;$$

$$-l_{10}^0 S_8 - d_{10}^0 C_8.$$ (6)

$$T^n_{(n-1)} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta_n) & -\sin(\theta_n) \times \cos(\alpha_n) & \sin(\theta_n) \times \sin(\alpha_n) \times l_n \times \cos(\theta_n) & l_n \times \cos(\theta_n) \\ \sin(\theta_n) \times \cos(\alpha_n) & \cos(\theta_n) \times \cos(\alpha_n) & \cos(\theta_n) \times \sin(\alpha_n) \times l_n \times \sin(\theta_n) & l_n \times \sin(\theta_n) \\ 0 & \sin(\theta_n) & \cos(\alpha_n) & d_n \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$ (1)
As the robotic manipulator has 9 DOF, joint constraints are applied so that it can achieve correct orientation while functioning in the CT environment. If the orientation is not as desired, than the needle entry for tissue retrieval cannot be observed by the medical doctors in real time during the CT image acquisition process. The joint constraints are shown by Eqs. (7) to (9). Correct orientation of the end needle is shown in Figure 5.

\begin{align*}
\theta_3 &= 0, \\
\theta_4 &= \theta_1, \\
\theta_5 &= -\theta_0.
\end{align*}

The train that moves over the arc covers two directions simultaneously \( d_1 \) and \( d_2 \) (Z-axis and Y-axis respectively). As the train moves, there is also a rotation about the X-axis denoted by \( \Omega \). All these parameters are interdependent and change according to the travel of the train connected to the rest of the robotic manipulator. The major challenge lies in deriving the equation that connects all the three interdependent motions. The motion of the train depends on the target coordinate in Y-axis. Dimension measured along the CT bed horizontally is Y-axis. The dimension measured vertical to the CT bed is Z-axis. The dimension perpendicular to the frame shown in Figure 5 is X-axis. Figure 6 shows the train at a random position on the arc.

In Figure 6, 'S' represents \( \Psi \), the angle between the bed and the leftmost reference line. 'E' represents \( \varepsilon \), the angle between the leftmost reference line and the reference line that connects the center of the arc with the train at a random position. 'P' represents \( \Phi \) the angle of effective operation on the arc, in other terms the angle between the leftmost and rightmost reference line. 'G' represents the length of the arc. 'W' represents the effective width of operation along the Y-axis of the CT bed. 'R' denotes the radius of the arc. Line 'AB' represents \( h \), the horizontal distance between the leftmost reference line to the reference line of the train at a random position. Now the equations that interconnect \( d_1 \), \( d_2 \) and \( \Omega \) is shown by Eqs. (10) to (21):

\begin{align*}
G &= R\varphi, \\
\varepsilon &= (\varphi Y)/W, \\
h &= (YR\varphi)/W = R\varepsilon, \\
\text{when, } h &> G/2:
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
d_1 &= R\sin (\pi - (\varepsilon + \Psi)), \\
d_2 &= R\cos (\pi - (\varepsilon + \Psi)) + R, \\
\Omega &= \varepsilon - \phi/2.
\end{align*}
when, \( h < G/2 \):
\[
d_1 = R \sin (\varepsilon + \Psi), \tag{16}
\]
\[
d_2 = R - R \cos (\varepsilon + \Psi), \tag{17}
\]
\[
\Omega = (\phi/2) - \varepsilon, \tag{18}
\]

when, \( h = G/2 \) and:
\[
d_1 = R,
\]
\[
d_2 = R,
\]
\[
\Omega = 0.
\]

Now the inverse kinematics of other joints are derived and shown in the Eqs. (19) and (20) are shown in Box II for orientations of the joints.

There are multiple ways to generate a trajectory for a robotic manipulator using the joint space method. Linear polynomial equations can generate a trajectory for the actuator. The cubic polynomial is one such polynomial. It is also very easy and computationally inexpensive to solve. However, the downside of this method is that the first derivative of the cubic polynomial is discontinuous at the beginning and the end. This property makes the velocity of the actuator discontinuous at the start and the end of the trajectory. Hence, the path tracing becomes jittery at the beginning and the end, causing a lot of vibration. To rectify this problem of discontinuity, implementing parabolic blends are made at the beginning and the end of the trajectory. Parabolic blends refer to acceleration while starting the actuator and deceleration at the end. Figure 7 shows the desired ‘S’ curve profile and Eqs. (21) to (23) shows the joint trajectory equations necessary for generating this profile:

\[
\theta_p = \theta_0 - 0.5t_p^2\ddot{\theta} + \dot{\theta}t_p\dot{\theta}, \tag{21}
\]

\[
\theta_p = \theta_0 - 0.5t_p^2\ddot{\theta}, \tag{22}
\]

\[
\theta_p = \theta_f - 0.5(t_f - t_p)^2\ddot{\theta}. \tag{23}
\]

To form a complete parabolic trajectory for an actuator, Eqs. (21), (22), and (23) are set up in a specific sequence of time history. Eq. (24) is used until time \( t_b \) then Eq. (22) is used from time \( t_b \) to \( t_f - t_b \). After

\[
\theta_0 = \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{Z - (l_2 + d_1 + d_4 - d_5 - l_8 \sin(\theta_5) - l_9 \sin(\theta_6) - l_{10} \sin(\theta_2) - d_{10} \sin(\theta_4))}{l_5} \right), \tag{19}
\]

\[
\theta_2 = \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{Y - (l_3 - l_7 + d_9 - l_9 \cos(\theta_6) - l_9 \cos(\theta_6) - l_{10} \cos(\theta_2) - d_{10} \cos(\theta_4))}{(l_4 + l_6 + l_5 \cos(\theta_6))} \right), \tag{20}
\]

where \( \theta_5 = \) Angle of \( P \) penetration, and \( d_{10} = \) Amount of \( P \) penetration.
Table 2. Targets acquired for the robotic manipulator from the CT Image.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>X coordinate (cm)</th>
<th>Y coordinate (cm)</th>
<th>Z coordinate (cm)</th>
<th>Amount of insertion</th>
<th>Angle of insertion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target 1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>8.82</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>47.00°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Target acquired for the robotic manipulator using the CT image with the help of an expert radiologist from KIMS hospital, Bhubaneswar is shown in the Table 2.

Figure 9 shows the simulation model and the experimental model side by side at the home position. It is observed that the experimental model with a biopsy needle attached to its end closely resembles the simulation model.

To test the deviation analysis of the robotic manipulator prototype, two camera image acquisition and processing setup is implemented. A basler ACA smart camera acquires front view images and a Logitech camera captures the top view. Pixel distance is then converted to real-world coordinates to get the accurate deviation results. Using this setup target is also set for the robotic manipulator in the laboratory. Figure 10a shows the targets in the experimental environment and Figure 10b shows the target in the theoretical simulation environment.

The robotic manipulator is now programmed to reach the target point and insert the needle into the target up to a desired depth. Image processing setup acquires the deviation data from the front view and the top view as shown in Figure 11a. The experiment is performed 5 times at this target point to check the repeatability of the robotic manipulator. Figure 11b shows the theoretical simulation model at the target point as well.

6. Results and discussion

After the experimentation is completed, the results of the overall and joint deviations is found and the reasons for the deviation are discussed. Table 3 shows the comparison of input target parameters and output target positions found using image processing setup.

From Table 2 it is observed that the deviation does not exceed 0.3 cm or 3 mm for the target position on X-axis and the deviation does not exceed 0.32 cm or 3.2 mm in the positive direction and 0.15 cm or 1.5 mm in the negative direction for the target position in Y-axis. It is also observed that the deviation does not exceed 0.28 cm or 2.8 mm in the positive direction and 0.14 cm or 1.4 mm in the negative direction for the target positions in Z-axis. The deviation of the needle insertion does not exceed 0.05 cm or 0.5 mm in the positive direction and 0.15 cm or 1.5 mm in the negative direction. These deviations are within acceptable parameters. The deviation in needle placement should not exceed 5 mm in each direction, according to the expert radiologists in KIMS Hospital, Bhubaneswar.

Figure 10a. Target setup in laboratory environment.

Figure 9. Experimentation model and simulation model of the robotic manipulator at home position.
Table 3. Comparison of input and output target.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. no</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>Amount of insertion</th>
<th>Input angle of insertion</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>Amount of insertion</th>
<th>Output angle of insertion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.82</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>47.00°</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>8.750</td>
<td>6.509</td>
<td>2.988</td>
<td>47.22°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.82</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>47.00°</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>8.793</td>
<td>6.671</td>
<td>3.023</td>
<td>46.50°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.82</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>47.00°</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>8.894</td>
<td>6.499</td>
<td>3.043</td>
<td>46.12°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.82</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>47.00°</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>8.784</td>
<td>6.690</td>
<td>2.992</td>
<td>47.13°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.82</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>47.00°</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>8.780</td>
<td>6.539</td>
<td>3.016</td>
<td>46.64°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10b. Target setup in theoretical simulation environment.

As observed Table 3, there deviates from the desired first and second target position for targets 1–4, respectively. Some reasons for deviation are apparent from the analysis of the experimental images. The reason for the deviation is the tolerance of the actuators. The dynamical servo actuators used in the fabrication of the robotic manipulator are ±0.29°. Deviation in reaching the target position will occur if an actuator overshoots or undershoots by 0.29°. Some deviation is found in reaching the desired joint position of the servo actuator when the torque requirement for the servo actuator increases. As this is only an experimental model of the robotic manipulator, there is a little undesired motion between the parts of the 3D printed linear actuators. This also causes a slight deviation in reaching the first target position and the second target position. To understand the reasons for deviation, trajectory analysis of each servo actuator is performed using the position data from the servo actuators.

Initially, the train moves on the arc, according to the trajectory followed by the robotic manipulator. Prismatic distance $d_2$ is measured using the ultrasonic distance sensor placed for control of the position of the train on the arc. The real-time distance is measured for all the targets. In all the graphs, the red dotted line shows the ideal trajectory while the blue line shows the trajectory of the train for experiment 1 of target. Green-line shows the trajectory of the train for experiment 2 of target. The yellow line shows the trajectory of the train for experiment 3 of target. Black-line shows the trajectory of the train for experiment 4 of target. The magenta line shows the trajectory of the train for experiment 5 of target. Figure 12 shows the comparison graph of theoretical and experimental joint trajectory profiles of all the joints.

Five experiments for the target point are con-
Figure 11b. Simulation model of the robotic manipulator at the target.

Figure 12. Theoretical and experimental joint trajectory profiles of $d_2$, $\theta_3$, $\theta_4$, $\theta_5$, $\theta_6$, $\theta_7$, $\theta_8$ and $\theta_9$. 
ducted to visualize the repeatability of the robotic manipulator. Evaluation of deviation in reaching the target positions for all the experiments revealed it does not exceed 5 mm in X, Y or Z direction. In most cases, the deviation lies between 0.1 to 3.8 mm in each of the three X, Y, or Z directions. The deviation in the angle of insertion is also within 1°. In most cases, the angle of deviation lies between 0.4° to 0.55°. To understand the reasons for the deviation and analyze the positional trajectory profile, real-time actuator sensor data is plotted with respect to the theoretical curve. It is observed that there is a slight deviation in following the theoretical position trajectory. However, the profile of the positional trajectory follows a smooth continuous ‘S’ curve, and this proves that the joint motion has minimal vibrations while functioning. The joint trajectory follows the desired ‘S’ curve profile in most cases, where torque requirement is low. Deviations in following the desired profile are higher where torque requirement is high and the difference between the home position and target joint position is less. The desired joint position is reached smoothly.

A comparison table of the average deviation analysis is shown with the existing robotic system developed by Maarten Mennon Arnelli, Perfin MAXIO, Amedo LNS and the robotic manipulator developed in this research. It is observed that all the robotic manipulators except Perfin MAXIO are ≤ 5 mm allowable error limit. The deviation of the robotic manipulator developed in this research is comparable to other systems and better in some cases which is shown in Table 4.

7. Conclusion
In this research, a robotic manipulator is designed, fabricated and tested to retrieve abnormal tissue under Computed Tomography (CT) image guidance. The robotic manipulator has 9 DOF and an arc and train structure. 9-DOF manipulator helps in the needle’s manipulation with more versatility in the CT environment. DH parameters for the such novel robotic manipulator is formulated. Forward and inverse kinematics equations helped in obtaining the joint angles required to reach the desired target. Inverse kinematics derived for the arc design yielded accurate results evident in the theoretical simulation. The simulation achieved a smooth and continuous motion with a slow start and a slow stop for reduced vibration and jerk. This was accomplished with the use of symmetric parabolic blends at the beginning and the end of a linear profile, also known as ‘S’ curve. The experimental prototype of the robotic manipulator validates the theoretical part. CT environment is simulated in laboratory conditions for experiments. The performance of the trained AI model LiverNet3.0 is compared with expert radiologists at KIMS hospital, Bhubaneswar in a case series of 50 patients to understand its accuracy in the medical environment. LiverNet3.0 provided satisfactory results with an accuracy of 92% and a Kappa score of 92.94%. Although the accuracy and the kappa score is a little less as compared to the accuracy of the radiologists the results were promising. The abnormal lesions are located in the CT image using the novel AI system with the help of a box surrounding them. The middle point of these boxes is considered as the final or second target point for the robotic manipulator. An expert radiologist advised on the correct path of needle insertion including the first target point and angle of insertion for four patients with lesions at different locations of the liver. Target is acquired from the CT image with the help of an expert radiologist. From the results of the experiment, it is concluded that the deviation of the robotic manipulator is within acceptable limits of 5 mm in needle placement and 1° in needle orientation. All the joints with dynamized servo actuator followed the ‘S’ curve profile but the joints requiring high torque deviated slightly from the trajectory but they reached the target position. This could be rectified by using actuators with high torque capacity.

In conclusion, it can be said that the experimental model of the robotic manipulator performed successfully in laboratory conditions without colliding with the CT model. The deviations were also within the tolerance limits and the reasons for these deviations are discussed.
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Table 4. Comparison of deviation analysis of the robotic manipulator.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deviation</th>
<th>Arnelli et al. [41]</th>
<th>Perfin MAXIO [40]</th>
<th>Amedo LNS [39]</th>
<th>Current research</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X axis</td>
<td>26 mm</td>
<td>6.8 mm</td>
<td>29 mm</td>
<td>2.7 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y axis</td>
<td>28 mm</td>
<td>7.2 mm</td>
<td>37 mm</td>
<td>3.1 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z axis</td>
<td>33 mm</td>
<td>4.9 mm</td>
<td>48 mm</td>
<td>2.7 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth of insertion</td>
<td>1.27 mm</td>
<td>6.5 mm</td>
<td>4.0 mm</td>
<td>1.51 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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