

A Novel Mega-stable System with Attractors in Real-life Object Shapes

Tayebe Moalemi ^a, Atefeh Ahmadi ^a, Sajad Jafari ^{a, b, *}, Guanrong Chen ^c

^a Department of Biomedical Engineering, Amirkabir University of Technology (Tehran Polytechnic), Tehran, Iran.

^b Health Technology Research Institute, Amirkabir University of Technology (Tehran Polytechnic), Tehran, Iran.

^c Department of Electrical engineering, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China.

* Corresponding author

Email: sajadjafari@aut.ac.ir, Telephone: +982164542398, Mobile: +989127652908

Abstract: This paper introduces a two-dimensional autonomous mega-stable dynamical system with trigonometric nonlinearities. The nonlinearity of this system is induced by tangent hyperbolic and cosine functions. A remarkable feature of this system is the ability to generate very rich patterns of coexisting attractors via the variation of its parameters. Interestingly the shapes of its coexisting attractors resemble some real-life objects, such as Persian rugs, nuts (mainly chestnut), fruits (especially pear), and vegetables (pumpkin and onion). Simulations demonstrate the coexisting attractors, basins of attractions, and real-life object shapes. Moreover, the fixed points of the proposed system, their stability, and the energy dissipation for various pairs of parameters are investigated. Finally, the feasibility of this system is approved by analog circuit simulations. Regarding the high flexibility of this system, producing a broad range of attractor patterns, it could be applied in various fields.

Keywords: Mega-stability; Dynamical System; Attractor; Attraction Basin; Nonlinear Dynamics.

1 Introduction

Dynamical systems produce trajectories of points in space-time with various geometric shapes representing the evolution of complex dynamics in physical, chemical, and biological fields [1]. At any instant, a dynamical system has a state that can be expressed by a set of real numbers as a point in a suitable state space (a geometric manifold) [2]. Corresponding to a slight change in the dynamical system's state, there is

a slight change in these numbers, which creates or modifies the geometric shapes of the system's states in space [3].

In systems science, a static system means that the system's form and parameters do not change over time [2]. Nevertheless, a dynamical system whose behavior undergoes severe oscillations and fluctuations due to a tiny change in its parameters is more interesting. For example, the weather and climate of a geographical area have this typical behavior, for which a minor fluctuation in a variable, even in the fourth to sixth decimal places, can completely change the result of the weather forecast [4]. Linear systems, however, do not have this behavior, and the system's trajectories depend entirely on their initial states [5]. Today, the modeling of complex dynamical systems is developed and applied in many fields, such as meteorology [4, 6], geology [7], mass and heat transfer [8], oceanography, fluid mechanics [1], and gravity and cosmology [9].

Nonlinear dynamical systems are essential frameworks for chaos theory [10, 11]. In a general dynamical system, an attractor is defined as a set of numerical values that the system's trajectory evolves towards for a wide range of initial values [12]. If the system is structurally stable, when the numerical values of the system's trajectory are sufficiently close to the attractor, even if there is a slight disturbance, the system's trajectory remains close to the attractor [13]. In other words, an attractor is the limit set of the system's solutions as time evolves long enough, mathematically approaching infinity [14]. An attractor is a region in the system's state space, usually having physical [15] or economical [16, 17] meanings, etc.

More interestingly, a dynamical system can have coexisting attractors, which can be obtained from a single system with different initial conditions [18, 19]. A multi-stable system is a system that can produce coexisting attractors [20, 21]. Multi-stable systems can be roughly classified into mega-stable [22, 23] and extreme multi-stable [24, 25] systems. If the number of coexisting attractors is small, the system is referred to as a mega-stable system [26, 27], while if the coexisting attractors are numerous, the system is classified as an extreme multi-stable system [28, 29]. These systems have vibrant

dynamics compared to mono-stable ones, attracting increasing attention recently [30-32].

Tang et al. in 2018 [22] introduced a novel mega-stable nonlinear system called the carpet oscillator. This name was given to this system because of the patterns of its coexisting hidden and self-excited attractors, which looked like a Persian carpet. Vo et al. in 2019 [23] gained a giga-stable system by forcing a mega-stable system with its twin. In the same year, Tuna et al. reported a mega-stable hyper-jerk system with multi-wing attractors [33]. In 2020, Akgul et al. [26] achieved mega-stability in a chaotic system without applying the forcing term. In the same year, Chen et al. [27] detected mega-stability in dynamical systems with various attractors, including torus, chaotic and hyperchaotic attractors. Karami et al., in 2021 [34], investigated a new mega-stable system with attractors that have irregular patterns; also, spatially square wave damping was applied to this system. Karami et al. [35] reached a new mega-stable system with triangular wave damping a year later. In 2022 Li et al. [36] applied a memristor to a mega-stable system without the forcing term, and its mega-stability depended on its Hamiltonian energy. Vijayakumar et al. [37] examined a similar system with repelling, conservative and dissipative dynamics in the same year,.

In this paper, a two-dimensional autonomous nonlinear dynamical system is proposed, which has very rich dynamical behaviors in the sense that it not only can generate multiple coexisting attractors and thus is mega-stable but also can change the shapes of the attractors via parameter variations. The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. The next section introduces the new system. Main simulation results with different initial conditions are presented to show the coexisting attractors and the variety of the patterns of the coexisting attractors that resemble the shapes of some real-life objects. Afterward, the equilibrium points of the introduced system are calculated, and their stability is reported. Furthermore, the energy dissipation of this system is analyzed, and its analog circuit is implemented. The last section discusses some basic properties of the new system and summarizes the paper.

2 The Proposed Mega-stable System and Results

The proposed mega-stable dynamical system is described by System (1).

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = \tanh(y) + a \cos(by) \\ \dot{y} = -\tanh(x) + \tanh(10y) \cos(x) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

This system is a simple two-dimensional nonlinear autonomous system with some trigonometric functions, where a and b are real parameters that can be used to change the shape of the coexisting attractors, as further demonstrated below.

The dynamical behaviors of System (1) are incredibly complex; therefore, they are investigated with numerical simulations. In all figures shown below, part (a) depicts the result of simulating the system with an arbitrary set of initial conditions shown by red dots. The runtime for simulations is set to 5000. The transient parts of the trajectories, the first 90% of the total runtime, are plotted in cyan. The last 10% of the trajectories, considered steady-state segments, are plotted in navy blue. Part (b) displays the coexisting attractors; meanwhile, the initial conditions and the transient phases are not shown. The attractors of this system are all limit cycles that, although they vary in size, are almost the same in shape and form for a particular set of parameters. Part (c) demonstrates each attractor and its corresponding basin of attraction in different colors. Part (d) illustrates the corresponding real-life objects with similar shapes as the coexisting attractors, which shows an interesting good match.

In the first case, consider $a = 1, b = 0.5$, and simulate the system with these parameters. The results are shown in Fig. 1. In part (a), the trajectories correspond to 21 different initial conditions, distributed along the x -axis from $x = -50$ to $x = 50$ with a step size of 5. A small random number between zero and one, acting as a perturbation, disturbs their positions on the y -axis. Briefly, the system's attractors look like a Persian decorative rug.

Now, assume that parameter a is the same as before, but parameter b is reduced to 0.01. The numerical results of System (1) with this set of parameters are shown in Fig. 2. The interval of the initial conditions is the same as before. However, to better detect the coexisting attractors, the step size is lowered by one and now is 4. Thus, 26 different initial conditions are used for obtaining the results shown in Fig. 2. Amazingly, the pattern of coexisting attractors is changed from a Persian rug to a kind of nut, i.e., chestnut, by just changing one of the system's parameters.

Again, parameter a is kept constant, but parameter b is multiplied by 10, i.e., it is equal to 0.1 now. The initial conditions are like the case of Fig. 2. New results produced by this parameter variation are shown in Fig. 3. Although the lower part of the coexisting attractors has almost the same form as before, the upper part is changed prominently. As a result, the pattern of the attractors is entirely different from the chestnut, but it is transformed into pears. Interestingly, a nut shape is changed to a kind of fruit shape.

After investigating the effect of parameter b on the patterns of the coexisting attractors, parameter a is varied next. In all previous simulations, parameter a was fixed at 1. In Fig. 4, the variation of the attractors' shapes is studied by duplicating parameter a . Totally 11 different initial conditions, from $x = 10$ to $x = 60$ with a step size of 5, are utilized to perform the simulations. From now on, the initial conditions are not along the $y = 0$ line anymore; they are along the $y = -10$ line, subject to some slight disturbance. By setting $a = 2, b = 0.1$, where the parameter b is now kept unchanged, the attractors tend to form two distinct parts connected with a bottleneck. This appearance resembles the shape of a pumpkin, a type of vegetable.

To show one more pattern, parameter b is kept constant, but parameter a is increased to 5. The numerical result of using ten different initial conditions from $x = 40$ to $x = 85$ with a step size of 5 is depicted in Fig. 5. The initial conditions are located around the $y = -15$ line. With this parameter change, the upper part of the attractors in Fig. 4 diminished, but a uniform shape was formed. In this case, the layered structure of the coexisting attractors is analogous to the onions.

3 Fixed Points Stability and Dissipation Study

Equilibrium points of a dynamical system are points in which the velocity of all state variables becomes zero. These points can be obtained by setting the right-hand side of the system's differential equations to zero. The y values of the equilibrium points of System (1) are obtained by solving the $\dot{x} = 0$ equation and then by substituting these values in the $\dot{y} = 0$ equation, the corresponding x values are achieved. System (1) cannot be solved analytically, but some realistic assumptions can ease the problem. Since System (1) includes \tanh functions and this trigonometric function rapidly converges to 1 for positive inputs and -1 for negative inputs, all \tanh functions are substituted by ± 1 , and the equations are solved. This procedure for different values of parameter a (1, 2, and 5) is shown in Eqs. (2), (3), and (4), respectively. Since the \tanh functions converge to different values for positive and negative inputs, each parameter leads to various sets of solutions.

$$(x, y): \left\{ y = \begin{cases} \frac{(2k+1)\pi}{b} & k \in \mathbb{W}, y > 0 \rightarrow x = \begin{cases} (2k)\pi & k \in \mathbb{N}, x > 0 \\ -(2k+1)\pi & k \in \mathbb{W}, x < 0 \end{cases} \\ \frac{-(2k)\pi}{b} & k \in \mathbb{N}, y < 0 \rightarrow x = \begin{cases} (2k+1)\pi & k \in \mathbb{W}, x > 0 \\ -(2k)\pi & k \in \mathbb{N}, x < 0 \end{cases} \end{cases} \right\} \quad (2)$$

$$(x, y): \left\{ y = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{2\pi}{3} \right), \frac{1}{b} \left(\pm \frac{2\pi}{3} + 2k\pi \right) & k \in \mathbb{N}, y > 0 \rightarrow x = \begin{cases} (2k)\pi & k \in \mathbb{N}, x > 0 \\ -(2k+1)\pi & k \in \mathbb{W}, x < 0 \end{cases} \\ -\frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{\pi}{3} \right), -\frac{1}{b} \left(\pm \frac{\pi}{3} + 2k\pi \right) & k \in \mathbb{N}, y < 0 \rightarrow x = \begin{cases} (2k+1)\pi & k \in \mathbb{W}, x > 0 \\ -(2k)\pi & k \in \mathbb{N}, x < 0 \end{cases} \end{cases} \right\} \quad (3)$$

$$(x, y): \left\{ y = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b} (1.7722), \frac{1}{b} (\pm 1.7722 + 2k\pi) & k \in \mathbb{N}, y > 0 \rightarrow x = \begin{cases} (2k)\pi & k \in \mathbb{N}, x > 0 \\ -(2k+1)\pi & k \in \mathbb{W}, x < 0 \end{cases} \\ -\frac{1}{b} (1.3694), -\frac{1}{b} (\pm 1.3694 + 2k\pi) & k \in \mathbb{N}, y < 0 \rightarrow x = \begin{cases} (2k+1)\pi & k \in \mathbb{W}, x > 0 \\ -(2k)\pi & k \in \mathbb{N}, x < 0 \end{cases} \end{cases} \right\} \quad (4)$$

The above answers are valid only after the convergence of the \tanh functions. For values of x, y in which the \tanh functions have not been converged yet, a numerical method is employed. This technique is based on the fact that in equilibrium points, the value of $Z = \dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2$ is equal to zero. Moreover, the Poincaré-Bendixon theory says that "every cycle or limit cycle in two dimensions must surround at least one equilibrium point". Hence by varying the values of x, y in the interval corresponding to the region of the innermost limit cycles with a fixed step size of 0.01, the value of Z is calculated. Afterward, based on the least values of this function, a threshold is applied to the values, and those points with lower Z values are considered equilibrium points. Since all limit cycles surround these equilibrium points, the Poincaré-Bendixon theory is satisfied. The results of numerical simulations for different pairs of parameters are depicted in Fig. 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10. Part (a) of all these figures illustrates the Z surface, and part (b) demonstrates the obtained equilibrium points by magenta color. For $a=1, b=0.5$, x and y are varied in $[-3.34, 4.53]$ and $[-4.8, 2.01]$, respectively. By setting the threshold as 10^{-5} , ten equilibrium points are obtained and shown in Fig.6 (b).

The results for $a=1, b=0.01$, varying x in $[-3.42, 4.7]$ and y in $[-60, 2]$ are shown in Fig. 7. After applying a threshold of 10^{-7} , 45 points are obtained. If the value of a is kept fixed, but the value of b is multiplied by 10, the outcomes are like Fig. 8. In this figure, x is varied in $[-3.4, 4.7]$, and y is varied in $[-13.1, 1.85]$. In this case, just one point is achieved considering a threshold like the former. In Fig. 9 and 10, the value of b has not been changed, but the value of a is multiplied by 2 and 5, respectively. x values of Fig. 9 are varied in $[-10, 11.36]$, and y values are varied in $[-20.8, 1.24]$. Applying the threshold at 10^{-6} , results in 22 final points. In Fig. 10, the variation of x is limited in the interval $[-39.71, 38.26]$, and y is limited in the interval $[-26.56, 0.61]$. In this case, 292 points are obtained after applying a 10^{-5} threshold.

To study the stability of the equilibrium points, the Jacobian matrix of the dynamical system should be obtained, and it must be linearized around the equilibrium points. The

real parts of the linearized Jacobian matrix's Eigenvalues indicate the equilibrium point's stability. The equilibrium point is stable only if all the Eigenvalues have negative real parts. The appearance of an Eigenvalue with a positive real part lead to unstability. There can be Eigenvalues with zero real parts; in these cases, the stability analysis cannot be conducted based on the Eigenvalues and requires more study. The Jacobian matrix of System (1) can be written as Eq. (5). In the last part of this equation, the values of \tanh functions are replaced with ± 1 , and their derivatives are replaced with zero. In Eq. (6), the characteristic equation of this matrix is obtained by setting the $|\lambda \mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{J}|$ equal to zero. This characteristic equation has two zero roots that challenge the stability analysis of System (1)'s equilibrium points. Nevertheless, several random values of initial conditions were used in previous simulations, and none converged to an equilibrium point. Thus, all the equilibrium points of System (1) are unstable.

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{(\cosh(y))^2} - ab \sin(by) \\ -\frac{1}{(\cosh(x))^2} - \sin(x) \tanh(10y) & \frac{10 \cos(x)}{(\cosh(10y))^2} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -ab \sin(by) \\ \pm \sin(x) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

$$|\lambda \mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{J}| = 0 \rightarrow \begin{vmatrix} \lambda & ab \sin(by) \\ \pm \sin(x) & \lambda \end{vmatrix} = \lambda^2 \pm ab \sin(by) \sin(x) = 0 \rightarrow \lambda^2 = 0 \rightarrow \lambda = 0 \quad (6)$$

The attraction ability of the cycles was observed in the previous numerical simulations like phase portraits and basins of attraction. This characteristic can be quantified by calculating the energy consumption of the dynamical system. This quantity is equal to the divergence of the system, i.e., the trace of the Jacobian matrix. This quantity can be positive, zero, or negative; the system is called explosive, conservative, and dissipative. If a system is dissipative, the trajectories in the state space are attractors. The divergence of System (1) is calculated as Eq. (7).

$$\nabla = \text{Tr}(\mathbf{J}) = 10 \cos(x) \frac{1}{(\cosh(10y))^2} = 10 \cos(x) (\text{sech}(10y))^2 \quad (7)$$

Since the divergence is state-dependent, its value cannot be obtained directly and requires numerical simulations. In Fig. 11, System (1) is simulated with initial conditions located at the origin for runtime equal to 3.5×10^4 and the divergence is calculated with the help of average values of the $\cos(x)(\text{sech}(10y))^2$ term. Panels (a)-(e) portray the divergence of System (1) with different pairs of parameters. In all these diagrams, the value of the divergence converges to a negative quantity, which approves the dissipation of System (1). Furthermore, the cycles observed in previous numerical simulations are confirmed to be limit cycles.

4 Analog Circuit Design

In order to complete the performance study of System (1), it is necessary to conduct further experiments like designing its analog circuit. The analog circuit of System (1) is implemented in the simulation environment, and it is realized successfully. The designed circuit of System (1) for $a=1, b=0.5$ is shown in Fig. 12 (x state variable) and Fig. 13 (y state variable). The \tanh functions are implemented using Q2N1711 bipolar transistors. Three \tanh blocks are used in the circuit design, but as an example, just the first one in Fig. 12 is discussed in detail. The mathematical formula governing the \tanh block is written as Eq. (8). In this equation A is the gain that changes the amplitude of the resultant \tanh and is modified by R_3, R_4, R_5, R_6, R_7 and the value of the current source I_1 . The coefficient of the \tanh block's input can be adjusted by R_1, R_2 , and V_T . V_T is the thermal voltage of the transistors and $V_T \approx 26mV$ in room temperature.

$$V_{out} = -A \tanh\left(\frac{R_2}{2R_1V_T}V_{in}\right) \quad (8)$$

The supply voltages are $V_{CC} = 15V, V_{EE} = -15V$. For some pairs of parameters, the state variables' actual amplitude can lead to the circuit's saturation and malfunction; therefore, the circuit design is based on scaling all state variables to one-tenth of their actual value. The value of other components are $I_1 = I_2 = I_3 = 1.1mA, C_1 = C_2 = 10nF, R_3 = R_4 = R_9 = R_{10} = R_{27} = R_{28} = 1K\Omega, R_2 = R_{18} = 5.2K\Omega, R_1 = R_5 = R_6 = R_7 = R_8 = R_{11} = R_{15} = R_{16} = R_{17} = R_{21} = R_{22} = R_{23} = R_{24} = R_{25} = R_{29} = R_{30} = R_{31} = R_{32} = R_{33} = R_{37} = R_{38} = 10K\Omega, R_{26} = 52K\Omega, R_{13} = R_{14} = R_{35} = R_{36} = 100K\Omega$. Parameter a is adjusted by varying R_{12} and parameter b is modified through R_{10} . For $a = 1, 2, 5$, the value of R_{12} is set to $10K\Omega, 20K\Omega, 50K\Omega$ and $R_{10} = 1K\Omega, 10K\Omega, 50K\Omega$ result in $b = 0.01, 0.1, 0.5$ respectively. The capacitors' initial conditions are all set to zero.

The designed circuit is simulated for previously used pairs of parameters, and the outcomes are depicted in Fig. 14. Panels (a)-(e) correspond to $a = 1, b = 0.5$, $a = 1, b = 0.01$, $a = 1, b = 0.1$, $a = 2, b = 0.1$, and $a = 5, b = 0.1$, respectively. Comparing the circuit simulation results with previous numerical simulations proves the feasibility and availability of System (1). It should be noted that the attractors' shapes are like the innermost limit cycles obtained by numerical methods but differ in amplitude regarding the applied scaling.

5 Discussion and Conclusion

A simple two-dimensional autonomous mega-stable dynamical system was proposed in this paper. The system inherits its nonlinearity from hyperbolic tangent and cosine functions. There are two parameters in the system, and careful numerical studies investigated their effects on the patterns of the coexisting attractors. The mega-stability and ability to produce different shapes of coexisting attractors were examined with fascinating findings: the patterns of the coexisting attractors resemble several real-life objects. Besides, the equilibrium points of the proposed system were obtained, and their stability was analyzed. They were all unstable fixed points. To approve the attraction of

the observed coexisting cycles, the energy dissipation of this system was investigated, which led to a negative value. In other words, the system is dissipative, and all the cycles are limit cycles, not conservative ones. To confirm the availability of the limit cycles, an analog circuit simulation was conducted too, whose outcomes were consistent with previous numerical simulations. It is worth analyzing this newly introduced system's dynamical properties and unique features in the future with more complete and informative numerical diagrams and theoretical analysis. It is deemed that this highly flexible system would have a wide range of topological attractors to be revealed, and this unique system would have good potential for some new applications.

Declaration of Interest Statement

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data supporting the findings of this study are accessible throughout the paper.

References

- [1] Tél, T., de Moura, A., Grebogi, C. *et al.*, "Chemical and biological activity in open flows: A dynamical system approach", *Physics Reports*, **413**(2-3), pp. 91-196 (2005).
- [2] Voss, H.U., Timmer, J., and Kurths, J., "Nonlinear dynamical system identification from uncertain and indirect measurements", *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, **14**(06), pp. 1905-1933 (2004).
- [3] Kleeman, R., "Information theory and dynamical system predictability", *Entropy*, **13**(3), pp. 612-649 (2011).
- [4] Chernogor, L. and Rozumenko, V., "Earth–atmosphere–geospace as an open nonlinear dynamical system", *Radio Physics and Radio Astronomy*, **13**(2), p. 120 (2012).
- [5] Chen, Y., Georgiou, T.T., and Pavon, M., "Optimal transport over a linear dynamical system", *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, **62**(5), pp. 2137-2152 (2016).
- [6] DeMaria, M., "A simplified dynamical system for tropical cyclone intensity prediction", *Monthly Weather Review*, **137**(1), pp. 68-82 (2009).
- [7] Manzoni, S., Porporato, A., d'Odorico, P. *et al.*, "Soil nutrient cycles as a nonlinear dynamical system", *Nonlinear Processes in Geophysics*, **11**(5/6), pp. 589-598 (2004).
- [8] Dahlhaus, J., Edge, J., Tworzydło, J. *et al.*, "Quantum hall effect in a one-dimensional dynamical system", *Physical Review B*, **84**(11), p. 115133 (2011).

- [9] Odintsov, S. and Oikonomou, V., "Autonomous dynamical system approach for f (r) gravity", *Physical Review D*, **96**(10), p. 104049 (2017).
- [10] Kumar, S., Kumar, R., Cattani, C. *et al.*, "Chaotic behaviour of fractional predator-prey dynamical system", *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, **135**(1), p. 109811 (2020).
- [11] Lin, H., Wang, C., Yu, F. *et al.*, "An extremely simple multiwing chaotic system: Dynamics analysis, encryption application, and hardware implementation", *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, **68**(12), pp. 12708-12719 (2020).
- [12] Deng, J., Zhou, M., Wang, C. *et al.*, "Image segmentation encryption algorithm with chaotic sequence generation participated by cipher and multi-feedback loops", *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, **80**(9), pp. 13821-13840 (2021).
- [13] Leonov, G., Kuznetsov, N., and Vagitsev, V., "Hidden attractor in smooth Chua systems", *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, **241**(18), pp. 1482-1486 (2012).
- [14] Pehlivan, I., Moroz, I.M., and Vaidyanathan, S., "Analysis, synchronization and circuit design of a novel butterfly attractor", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, **333**(20), pp. 5077-5096 (2014).
- [15] Munmuangsaen, B. and Srisuchinwong, B., "A new five-term simple chaotic attractor", *Physics Letters A*, **373**(44), pp. 4038-4043 (2009).
- [16] Kallosh, R., Linde, A., and Roest, D., "Universal attractor for inflation at strong coupling", *Physical Review Letters*, **112**(1), p. 011303 (2014).
- [17] Carrilho, P., Mulryne, D., Ronayne, J. *et al.*, "Attractor behaviour in multifield inflation", *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, **2018**(06), p. 032 (2018).
- [18] Wang, Z., Moroz, I., Wei, Z. *et al.*, "Dynamics at infinity and a Hopf bifurcation arising in a quadratic system with coexisting attractors", *Pramana*, **90**(1), pp. 1-10 (2018).
- [19] Gong, L., Wu, R., and Zhou, N., "A new 4d chaotic system with coexisting hidden chaotic attractors", *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, **30**(10), p. 2050142 (2020).
- [20] Lin, H., Wang, C., Hong, Q. *et al.*, "A multi-stable memristor and its application in a neural network", *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Express Briefs*, **67**(12), pp. 3472-3476 (2020).
- [21] Xu, Q., Cheng, S., Ju, Z. *et al.*, "Asymmetric coexisting bifurcations and multi-stability in an asymmetric memristive diode-bridge-based jerk circuit", *Chinese Journal of Physics*, **70**(1), pp. 69-81 (2021).
- [22] Tang, Y., Abdolmohammadi, H.R., Khalaf, A.J.M. *et al.*, "Carpet oscillator: A new megastable nonlinear oscillator with infinite islands of self-excited and hidden attractors", *Pramana*, **91**(1), pp. 1-6 (2018).
- [23] Vo, T.P., Shaverdi, Y., Khalaf, A.J.M. *et al.*, "A giga-stable oscillator with hidden and self-excited attractors: A megastable oscillator forced by his twin", *Entropy*, **21**(5), p. 535 (2019).
- [24] Bao, B.C., Xu, Q., Bao, H. *et al.*, "Extreme multistability in a memristive circuit", *Electronics Letters*, **52**(12), pp. 1008-1010 (2016).

- [25] Xu, Q., Liu, T., Feng, C.T. *et al.*, "Continuous non-autonomous memristive Rulkov model with extreme multistability", *Chinese Physics B*, **30**(12), p. 128702 (2021).
- [26] Akgul, A., Boyraz, O.F., Rajagopal, K. *et al.*, "An unforced megastable chaotic oscillator and its application on protecting electrophysiological signals", *Zeitschrift für Naturforschung A*, **75**(12), pp. 1025-1037 (2020).
- [27] Chen, B., Rajagopal, K., Hamarash, I.I. *et al.*, "Simple megastable oscillators with different types of attractors; tori, chaotic and hyperchaotic ones", *The European Physical Journal Special Topics*, **229**(6), pp. 1155-1161 (2020).
- [28] Chen, M., Sun, M., Bao, B. *et al.*, "Controlling extreme multistability of memristor emulator-based dynamical circuit in flux–charge domain", *Nonlinear Dynamics*, **91**(2), pp. 1395-1412 (2018).
- [29] Chen, M., Sun, M., Bao, H. *et al.*, "Flux–charge analysis of two-memristor-based Chua's circuit: Dimensionality decreasing model for detecting extreme multistability", *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, **67**(3), pp. 2197-2206 (2019).
- [30] Rajagopal, K., Singh, J.P., Akgul, A. *et al.*, "A novel dissipative and conservative megastable oscillator with engineering applications", *Modern Physics Letters B*, **34**(1), p. 2150007 (2020).
- [31] Li, H., Bao, H., Zhu, L. *et al.*, "Extreme multistability in simple area-preserving map", *IEEE Access*, **8**(1), pp. 175972-175980 (2020).
- [32] Gong, L.-H., Luo, H.-X., Wu, R.-Q. *et al.*, "New 4d chaotic system with hidden attractors and self-excited attractors and its application in image encryption based on RNG", *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, **591**(1), p. 126793 (2022).
- [33] Tuna, M., Karthikeyan, A., Rajagopal, K. *et al.*, "Hyperjerk multiscroll oscillators with megastability: Analysis, FPGA implementation and a novel ANN-ring-based true random number generator", *AEU-International Journal of Electronics and Communications*, **112**(1), p. 152941 (2019).
- [34] Karami, M., Ramamoorthy, R., Ali, A.M.A. *et al.*, "Jagged-shape chaotic attractors of a megastable oscillator with spatially square-wave damping", *The European Physical Journal Special Topics*, **231**(1), pp. 1-10 (2021).
- [35] Karami, M., Ramakrishnan, B., Hamarash, I.I. *et al.*, "Investigation of the simplest megastable chaotic oscillator with spatially triangular wave damping", *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, **32**(07), p. 2230016 (2022).
- [36] Li, R., Dong, E., Tong, J. *et al.*, "A new autonomous memristive megastable oscillator and its hamiltonian-energy-dependent megastability", *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science*, **32**(1), p. 013127 (2022).
- [37] Vijayakumar, M., Natiq, H., Meli, M.I.T. *et al.*, "Hamiltonian energy computation of a novel memristive mega-stable oscillator (MMO) with dissipative, conservative and repelled dynamics", *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, **155**(1), p. 111765 (2022).

Figure 1. (a) Trajectories of System (1), with $a = 1$, $b = 0.5$, for 21 different initial conditions located on a line ($x = -50$ to $x = 50$ with step-size 5. Random values around zero are considered for y), which are shown by red dots. The runtime is set to 5000 for all trajectories. The transient parts of trajectories are shown by cyan dots, which cover 90 percent of the total runtime. The steady-state part of each trajectory, over the last 10 percent of runtime, is shown in navy blue. **(b)** Only the steady-state part of the trajectories is shown. **(c)** Basin of attraction. **(d)** A Persian decorative rug.

Figure 2. (a) Trajectories of System (1) with $a = 1, b = 0.01$, for 26 different initial conditions located on a line ($x = -50$ to $x = 50$ with step-size 4. Random values around zero are considered for y), which are shown by red dots. The runtime is set to 5000 for all trajectories. The transient parts of trajectories are shown by cyan dots, which cover 90 percent of the total runtime. The steady-state part of each trajectory, over the last 10 percent of runtime, is shown in navy blue. **(b)** Only the steady-state part of the trajectories is shown. **(c)** Basin of attraction. **(d)** A chestnut.

Figure 3. (a) Trajectories of System (1) with $a = 1, b = 0.1$, for 26 different initial conditions located on a line ($x = -50$ to $x = 50$ with step-size 4. Random values around zero are considered for y), which are shown by red dots. The runtime is set to 5000 for all trajectories. The transient parts of trajectories are shown by cyan dots, which cover 90 percent of the total runtime. The steady-state part of each trajectory, over the last 10 percent of runtime, is shown in navy blue. **(b)** Only the steady-state part of the trajectories is shown. **(c)** Basin of attraction. **(d)** Two pears.

Figure 4. (a) Trajectories of System (1) with $a = 2, b = 0.1$, for 11 different initial conditions located on a line ($x = 10$ to $x = 60$ with step-size 5. Random values around -10 are considered for y), which are shown by red dots. The runtime is set to 5000 for all trajectories. The transient parts of trajectories are shown by cyan dots, which cover 90 percent of the total runtime. The steady-state part of each trajectory, over the last 10 percent of runtime, is shown in navy blue. **(b)** Only the steady-state part of the trajectories is shown. **(c)** Basin of attraction. **(d)** A pumpkin.

Figure 5. (a) Trajectories of System (1) with $a = 5, b = 0.1$, for 10 different initial conditions located on a line ($x = 40$ to $x = 85$ with step-size 5. Random values around -15 are considered for y), which are shown by red dots. The runtime is set to 5000 for all trajectories. The transient parts of trajectories are shown by cyan dots, which cover 90 percent of the total runtime. The steady-state part of each trajectory, over the last 10 percent of runtime, is shown in navy blue. **(b)** Only the steady-state part of the trajectories is shown. **(c)** Basin of attraction. **(d)** Onions.

Figure 6. (a) $Z = \dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2$ surface of System (1) with $a=1, b=0.5$, while x is in the interval $x = -3.34$ to $x = 4.53$ and y is in the interval $y = -4.8$ to $y = 2.01$ with steps equal to 0.01. **(b)** The points of the Z surface whose distance from the $Z = 0$ plane is less than the threshold of 10^{-5} are shown by magenta dots (10 points).

Figure 7. (a) $Z = \dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2$ surface of System (1) with $a=1, b=0.01$, while x is in the interval $x = -3.42$ to $x = 4.7$ and y is in the interval $y = -60$ to $y = 2$ with steps equal to 0.01. **(b)** The points of the Z surface whose distance from the $Z = 0$ plane is less than the threshold of 10^{-7} are shown by magenta dots (45 points).

Figure 8. (a) $Z = \dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2$ surface of System (1) with $a=1, b=0.1$, while x is in the interval $x = -3.4$ to $x = 4.7$ and y is in the interval $y = -13.1$ to $y = 1.85$ with steps equal to 0.01. **(b)** The points of the Z surface whose distance from the $Z = 0$ plane is less than the threshold of 10^{-7} are shown by magenta dots (one point).

Figure 9. (a) $Z = \dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2$ surface of System (1) with $a=2, b=0.1$, while x is in the interval $x = -10$ to $x = 11.36$ and y is in the interval $y = -20.8$ to $y = 1.24$ with steps equal to 0.01. **(b)** The points of the Z surface whose distance from the $Z = 0$ plane is less than the threshold of 10^{-6} are shown by magenta dots (22 points).


Figure 10. (a) $Z = \dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2$ surface of System (1) with $a=5, b=0.1$, while x is in the interval $x = -39.71$ to $x = 38.26$ and y is in the interval $y = -26.56$ to $y = 0.61$ with steps equal to 0.01. **(b)** The points of the Z surface whose distance from the $Z = 0$ plane is less than the threshold of 10^{-5} are shown by magenta dots (292 points).

Figure 11. Divergence diagram of System (1) with initial conditions $(x_0, y_0) = (0, 0)$ and parameters **(a)** $a=1, b=0.5$, **(b)** $a=1, b=0.01$, **(c)** $a=1, b=0.1$, **(d)** $a=2, b=0.1$ and **(e)** $a=5, b=0.1$. The number to which the diagrams have converged indicates the energy consumption rate of the System (1) with these parameters. The energy consumption of System (1) for all pairs of parameters is a negative value, and thus it is a dissipative system.


Figure 12. Analog circuit implementation of the x state variable of System (1) for $a = 1, b = 0.5$ and initial conditions $(x_0, y_0) = (0, 0)$.

Figure 13. Analog circuit implementation of the y state variable of System (1) for $a = 1, b = 0.5$ and initial conditions $(x_0, y_0) = (0, 0)$.


Figure 14. The simulation results of the analog circuit based on System (1). **(a)** $R_{10} = 50K\Omega, R_{12} = 10K\Omega$, **(b)** $R_{10} = 1K\Omega, R_{12} = 10K\Omega$, **(c)** $R_{10} = 10K\Omega, R_{12} = 10K\Omega$, **(d)** $R_{10} = 10K\Omega, R_{12} = 20K\Omega$, and **(e)** $R_{10} = 10K\Omega, R_{12} = 50K\Omega$. The initial conditions of all capacitors are zero. The shape of the results is consistent with the innermost limit cycles in numerical simulations, but their amplitude is one-tenth of the actual attractors.



(a)



(b)




(c)




(d)


Figure 1.



(a)



(b)




(c)




(d)


Figure 2.



(a)



(b)




(c)




(d)


Figure 3.



(a)



(b)



(c)



(d)

Figure 4.




Figure 5.






Figure 6.




(a)




(b)

Figure 7.




(a)




(b)

Figure 8.




(a)




(b)

Figure 9.




(a)




(b)


Figure 10.




(a)




(b)



(c)



(d)



(e)

Figure 11.




Figure 12.




Figure 13.




Figure 14.

Tayebe Moalemi

Tayebe Moalemi was born in Malayer, Iran, in 2000. She graduated with a Mathematics and Physics Diploma, achieving a GPA of 19.98 in 2018. In 2022, she received her B.Sc. degree in Biomedical Engineering from the Biomedical Engineering Department at Amirkabir University of Technology in Tehran, Iran, with a GPA of 19.56. Currently, she is studying for her M.S. in Biomedical Engineering (Bioelectric) at Amirkabir University of Technology. In addition, she has completed a course in Biological Signal Processing. Her research interests include nonlinear dynamics, networks and synchronization, chaos theory, and their application in biomedical engineering.

Atefeh Ahmadi

Atefeh Ahmadi was born in Tehran, Iran, in 1996. She obtained a BSc and an MSc in biomedical engineering from Amirkabir University of Technology (Tehran Polytechnic) in 2018 and 2021, respectively. She is currently a Ph.D. student at Amirkabir University of Technology, working under the guidance of Dr. Sajad Jafari (Tehran Polytechnic). She is interested in chaos theory, nonlinear dynamics, dynamical systems, and mathematical modeling.

Sajad Jafari

Sajad Jafari was born in Kermanshah, Iran, in 1983. He received his BSc, MSc, and Ph.D. in biomedical engineering in 2005, 2008, and 2013 from the biomedical engineering department, Amirkabir University of Technology (Tehran Polytechnic), Tehran, Iran. He is currently an assistant professor there (since 2013). His research interests include nonlinear and chaotic systems and signals and mathematical biology. He is also interested in complex networks and collective behaviors, such as synchronization, Chimera states, and spiral waves. He serves as editor in the International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos, the International Journal of Electronics and Communications, and Radio engineering. He has been one of the highly cited researchers in 2019 and 2020, according to Clarivate Analytics. He also has won the COMSTECH award in mathematics (2019).

Guanrong Chen

Professor Guanrong (Ron) Chen received an M.Sc. in computational mathematics from Sun Yat-sen (Zhongshan) University, China, in 1981 and a Ph.D. in applied mathematics from Texas A&M University, USA, in 1987. He has been a chair professor (now, "Shun Hing Education and Charity Fund Chair Professorship in Engineering") and the founding director of the *Centre for Complexity and Complex Networks* at the City University of Hong Kong since the year 2000; prior to that, he was a tenured full professor at the University of Houston, Texas, USA.

Prof. Chen was elected a Member of the Academy of Europe (Academia Europaea) in 2014 and a Fellow of The World Academy of Sciences in 2015. In the past, he was elected IEEE Fellow in 1997 and became Life Fellow in 2019. He was conferred Honorary Doctorates by Saint Petersburg State University, Russia, in 2011 and by the University of Le Havre, Normandy, France, in 2014. He is a Highly Cited Researcher in Engineering and Mathematics according to Thomson Reuters (now Clarivate Web of Science), was the 2011 Euler Gold Medalist named by the Euler Foundation of Russia, and was the recipient of 2008, 2012, and 2016 Chinese State Natural Science Awards as well as eight best journal paper awards. He is Honorary Professor at different ranks in some 30 universities worldwide. Prof. Chen's primary research pursuit is in nonlinear systems control and dynamics, as well as complex networks. He is the Editor-in-Chief of the International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos.