

Sharif University of Technology

Scientia Iranica Transactions E: Industrial Engineering www.scientiairanica.com



A computational approach to economic production quantity model for perishable products with backordering shortage and stock-dependent demand

H. Mokhtari^{a,*}, A. Naimi-Sadigh^b and A. Salmasnia^c

a. Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Kashan, Kashan, Iran.

b. Iranian Research Institute for Information Science and Technology (IRANDOC), Tehran, Iran.

c. Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Technology, University of Qom, Qom, Iran.

Received 9 April 2016; received in revised form 26 June 2016; accepted 25 July 2016

KEYWORDS

Production-inventory; EPQ; Stock-dependent demand; Grid search; Simulation-based optimization. Abstract. This paper deals with an Economic Production Quantity (EPQ) model to determine production-inventory policies for perishable products. Shortage is permitted and fully backordered. The demand rate is stochastic- and stock-dependent. Since the problem is mathematically challenging and intractable via analytical approaches, this paper designs a simulation-based optimization algorithm by combining a grid search and a simulation model to solve the problem. The grid search plays the role of optimizer to determine the model variables, and the simulation model is utilized to evaluate the quality of solutions obtained by the optimizer through an iterative procedure. Eventually, a numerical example is discussed to illustrate how the solution procedure works, and a comparison study is carried out to demonstrate the superiority of suggested approach. Moreover, a comprehensive sensitivity analysis with respect to the problem parameters is performed.

© 2017 Sharif University of Technology. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In today markets, the manufacturers are often encountered with high degrees of competition forcing them to improve their performance continuously. One of the main systems which greatly influences the performance of manufacturers is inventory system. Raw materials, sparse parts, work in processes, and finished goods are various types of inventory. The important decision in an inventory system is how many and when a company should order goods. If inventories are not controlled appropriately, they might become unreliable, inefficient, and costly. Since most companies in any

*. Corresponding author. Tel.: 031 55912476 E-mail addresses: mokhtari_ie@kashanu.ac.ir (H. Mokhtari); naimi@irandoc.ac.ir (A. Naimi-Sadigh); a.salmasnia@qom.ac.ir (A. Salmasnia) industrial sectors have some types of inventory, many studies have been conducted on different types of inventory systems so far. Although inventory problems are usually developed based on basic assumptions in earlier literature, they are still extensively employed by industries. The Economic Production Quantity (EPQ) model, also known as the Economic Manufacturing Quantity (EMQ), is one of the basic types of inventory model that determines the optimal production rate of an item for a facility. The aim of the EPQ model is often to optimize the total inventory and production cost, when items are processed internally instead of being provided from external sources. In some recent studies on the EPQ, Pan et al. [1] developed an integrated EPQ model with the statistical process control and maintenance issues. Additionally, Wee et al. [2] devised an economic production quantity model and a renewal reward theorem-based procedure for imperfect items

with shortage and screening constraints. Dash et al. [3] proposed a deteriorating inventory model incorporating time-value of money with price-dependent demand and Discounted Cash Flows (DCF) approach. Karimi-Nasab and Sabri-Laghaie [4] designed an imperfect EPQ problem with random defectives, reworkable and non-reworkable items. Moreover, Nasr et al. [5] discussed an EPQ model with deteriorating raw material and analyzed the model via differential equations. Pacheco-Velazquez and Cardenas-Barron [6] analyzed an economic production quantity model by considering ordering and holding costs for both raw materials and finished products. Jawad et al. [7] developed an EPQ model based on the laws of thermodynamics focusing on the three pillars of sustainability and computed their costs. In addition, Sadeghi et al. [8] presented a multiitem economic production quantity model with fuzzy demand, backordering shortage, and limited space of warehouse. Al-Salamah [9] suggested an economic production quantity model for a case where the production process and inspection are both not perfect in order to find the optimal lot size for batch manufacturing while the batches are subjected to destructive or nondestructive acceptance quality control process.

Many researchers presented their work on the EPQ model by considering different parameters such as setup cost, rework process, scrap goods, inspection, deterioration, machine breakdown, backorder, shortage, etc. The majority of researchers on the EPQ models do not take into account the fact that customer behavior is not necessarily independent of system parameters. Traditional inventory models consider that the demand rate is constant [10], and some recent studies on the inventory models investigate the demand rate as a function of different variables such as price, advertisement, etc. [11-12]. However, all these models consider that the demand rate is independent of the inventory level. For certain types of products, the demand may be influenced by the inventory level. It has been observed that neglecting the effect of inventory systems on customer's behavior leads to poor performance of the inventory management system. Thus, the inventory systems with some dependence between the system parameters have received the researchers' attention in recent years. In such a situation, an increase in the product space usually has a positive impact on the sales of that product. It is usually observed by practitioners that a large amount of goods displayed in a supermarket attracts more customers, and conversely, low inventory of goods might make the perception that they are not fresh, and therefore decreases the demand. Consequently, building up the inventory often has a positive impact on the sales and profits. Therefore, in such a case, the demand has no longer a constant rate, but it depends on the inventory level. This case is known as stock-dependent demand or inventory-leveldependent demand in the inventory literature. As a result, many researchers have dedicated considerable attention to the inventory systems with a demand dependent on the stock level. Gupta and Vrat [13] were the first researchers that introduced inventory models with stock-dependent demand rate. Later, Recently, Chang et al. [14] have considered an EOQ model with stock-dependent demand and obtained the optimal replenishment policy while maximizing the total profit. In addition, Yang et al. [15] discussed an inventory model under inflation for stock-dependent consumption rate products with shortage. Shah et al. [16] derived optimal inventory policy for a price-sensitive and stock-dependent demand inventory system under a payment scheme. Sarkar and Sarkar [17] proposed an inventory model for deteriorating items with stockdependent demand, time-varying backordering, and time-varying deterioration rate to determine the optimal cycle length, such that the expected total cost is minimized. Soni [18] extended the previously proposed inventory model for deteriorating items under stockdependent demand and two-level trade credit. Singh and Sharma [19] presented a mathematical model for an inventory problem with stock-dependent demand and deterioration to analyze the retailer's optimal inventory policy under the permissible delay in payment. Krommyda et al. [20] studied a substitutable inventory management system where the demand for each product depends on the inventory levels. Wu and Zhao [21] suggested an economic order quantity model for deteriorating items with a current inventory-dependent and linearly increasing time-varying demand under Tripathi and Singh [22] analyzed an trade credit. inventory model with stock-dependent demand and different holding cost patterns. Tsoularis [23] considered the profit maximization inventory problem with the demand varied by price and stock availability. Chakraborty et al. [24] discussed multi-item integrated production-inventory models with stock-dependent demand and nonlinear cost functions. Recently, Palanivel and Uthayakumar [25] discussed an economic ordering quantity model with stock-dependent demand and imperfect products under the effect of inflation and time value of money.

Almost all physical items deteriorate over time, and the deterioration of physical goods cannot be disregarded. Consequently, a major issue of the inventory system in a business organization is the maintenance of perishable products inventories. Since deterioration often leads to decreasing the usefulness of the items over time, the deterioration is a major parameter in designing inventory systems. In such a case, deterioration is defined as decay, damage, spoilage, evaporation or loss of the marginal value of goods. The examples are drugs, volatile liquids, blood, vegetables, fruits, food products, photographic films, pharmaceuticals, chemicals, electronic goods, and radioactive substances. As a result, the inventory problem of perishable items has been studied by researchers. The work done by Ghare and Schrader [26] was the first attempt to design an optimal inventory system for perishable products where an inventory model with an exponentially deteriorating inventory was discussed. Afterwards, a comprehensive review of perishable literature till 2011 was provided by Goyal and Giri [27]. Later, Balkhi [28] discussed an inventory model for perishable products under supplier trade credits case considering time value of money. Bansal [29] developed the inventory model for deteriorating items under inflation. Vahdani et al. [30] discussed a single-item lot-sizing and scheduling problem with deteriorating inventory over time and multiple warehouses. Later, Bhaula and Kumar [31] provided an optimal inventory policy for two-parameter Weibull deterioration. Recently, Giri and Sharma [32] provided an integrated inventory model for a perishable item under allowable shortages and credit linked wholesale price assumptions. Li et al. [33] studied an EPQ model considering both product deterioration and deteriorating production system with rework. Jaggi, et al. [34] considered a two-warehouse manufacturing inventory model for deteriorating items with imperfect quality under permissible delay in payments to maximize the total profit per unit time. Moreover, Kouki et al. [35] modeled a coordinated inventory system for perishable items with random lifetime and positive lead time as a Markov process. Moreover, Teimoury and Kazemi [36] presented a two-stage supply chain, including a wholesaler and a retailer, which produces a single deteriorating product with a constant rate.

One of the factors that increases the complexity of the inventory systems is the uncertainty existing in the parameters and the input data. Numerous researchers have developed inventory models with stochastic demand functions. For example, Timmer et al. [37] analyzed the cooperation strategies for the continuous review inventory systems with Poisson demand. Juan et al. [38] designed a simheuristic algorithm by combining simulation and heuristics for solving a stochastic inventory problem considering distribution. Besides, Bieda [39] investigated an application of the stochastic approach to life cycle inventory data for a real case in Poland. Recently, Tamjidzad and Mirmohammadi [40] have discussed a single-item inventory system with resource constraint and quantity discount while considering stochastic demand. In addition, Wu et al. [41] proposed a supply chain problem of the coordination policy under vendor-managed consignment inventory subject to consumer return and stochastic demand. Purohit et al. [42] discussed an inventory lot-sizing and supplier selection problem considering time-varying stochastic demand. Chuang et al. [43] evaluated some models with stochastic ramp-type demand in the

literature. In the current paper, in order to make the problem closer to real-world conditions, we assume a stochastic demand function.

In this paper, an appropriate productioninventory policy model based on a stochastic EPQ for a perishable product with tock-dependent demand is studied. It is assumed that shortages are allowed for the product and fully backordered. The main objective is to determine the optimal inventory cycle time and production quantity. The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the notations and assumptions. The mathematical model is constructed in Section 3 while the solution algorithm is designed in Section 4. Section 5 discusses the experimental results. Finally, Section 6 concludes the paper.

2. Model notations and assumptions

The following notations are employed throughout the paper:

$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	P	Production rate per unit time
c Production cost per unit h Holding cost per unit per unit time b Shortage cost per unit per unit time R Setup cost per cycle k Selling price per unit S Shortage per cycle $D(t)$ Demand rate at time t ε Stochastic term of the demand function $I(t)$ Inventory level at time t A Constant term of the stock-dependent demand rate B Coefficient of the inventory level in the stock-dependent demand function I_{max} Maximum inventory level t_0 Starting time of the planning horizon when the maximum shortage occurs t_1 The time when inventory becomes zero for the first time per cycle t_2 The time when inventory becomes zero for the second time per cycle T Inventory cycle time $I_1(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_0, t_1]$ $I_2(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_1, t_2]$ $I_3(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_2, t_3]$ $I_4(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_3, T + t_0]$	θ	Deterioration rate per unit time
	С	Production cost per unit
bShortage cost per unit per unit timeRSetup cost per cyclekSelling price per unitSShortage per cycle $D(t)$ Demand rate at time t ε Stochastic term of the demand function $I(t)$ Inventory level at time t AConstant term of the stock-dependent demand rateBCoefficient of the inventory level in the stock-dependent demand function I_{max} Maximum inventory level t_0 Starting time of the planning horizon when the maximum shortage occurs t_1 The time when inventory becomes zero for the first time per cycle t_2 The time when inventory becomes zero for the second time per cycle T Inventory cycle time $I_1(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_0, t_1]$ $I_2(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_1, t_2]$ $I_3(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_2, t_3]$ $I_4(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_2, t_3]$	h	Holding cost per unit per unit time
R Setup cost per cycle k Selling price per unit S Shortage per cycle $D(t)$ Demand rate at time t ε Stochastic term of the demand function $I(t)$ Inventory level at time t A Constant term of the stock-dependent demand rate B Coefficient of the inventory level in the stock-dependent demand function I_{max} Maximum inventory level t_0 Starting time of the planning horizon when the maximum shortage occurs t_1 The time when inventory becomes zero for the first time per cycle t_2 The time when inventory becomes zero for the second time per cycle T Inventory cycle time $I_1(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_0, t_1]$ $I_2(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_1, t_2]$ $I_3(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_2, t_3]$ $I_4(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_2, t_3]$	b	Shortage cost per unit per unit time
kSelling price per unitSShortage per cycle $D(t)$ Demand rate at time t ε Stochastic term of the demand function $I(t)$ Inventory level at time t AConstant term of the stock-dependent demand rateBCoefficient of the inventory level in the stock-dependent demand function I_{max} Maximum inventory level t_0 Starting time of the planning horizon when the maximum shortage occurs t_1 The time when inventory becomes zero for the first time per cycle t_2 The time in which inventory reaches to its maximum level t_3 The time when inventory becomes zero for the second time per cycleTInventory cycle time $I_1(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_0, t_1]$ $I_2(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_1, t_2]$ $I_3(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_2, t_3]$ $I_4(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_2, t_3]$ $I_4(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_3, T + t_0]$	R	Setup cost per cycle
SShortage per cycle $D(t)$ Demand rate at time t ε Stochastic term of the demand function $I(t)$ Inventory level at time t AConstant term of the stock-dependent demand rateBCoefficient of the inventory level in the stock-dependent demand function I_{max} Maximum inventory level t_0 Starting time of the planning horizon when the maximum shortage occurs t_1 The time when inventory becomes zero for the first time per cycle t_2 The time in which inventory reaches to its maximum level t_3 The time when inventory becomes zero for the second time per cycleTInventory cycle time $I_1(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_0, t_1]$ $I_2(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_1, t_2]$ $I_3(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_2, t_3]$ $I_4(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_2, t_3]$ $I_4(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_3, T + t_0]$	k	Selling price per unit
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	S	Shortage per cycle
$ \begin{split} \varepsilon & \mbox{Stochastic term of the demand function} \\ I(t) & \mbox{Inventory level at time } t \\ A & \mbox{Constant term of the stock-dependent} \\ demand rate \\ B & \mbox{Coefficient of the inventory level in the} \\ stock-dependent demand function \\ I_{max} & \mbox{Maximum inventory level} \\ t_0 & \mbox{Starting time of the planning horizon} \\ when the maximum shortage occurs \\ t_1 & \mbox{The time when inventory becomes zero} \\ for the first time per cycle \\ t_2 & \mbox{The time when inventory becomes zero} \\ for the second time per cycle \\ T & \mbox{Inventory cycle time} \\ I_1(t) & \mbox{Inventory level at time interval } [t_0, t_1] \\ I_2(t) & \mbox{Inventory level at time interval } [t_2, t_3] \\ I_4(t) & \mbox{Inventory level at time interval} \\ [t_3, T+t_0] \\ TP(t_t, t_t) & \mbox{Total profit per unit time} \\ \end{split}$	D(t)	Demand rate at time t
$I(t)$ Inventory level at time t A Constant term of the stock-dependent demand rate B Coefficient of the inventory level in the stock-dependent demand function I_{max} Maximum inventory level t_0 Starting time of the planning horizon when the maximum shortage occurs t_1 The time when inventory becomes zero for the first time per cycle t_2 The time in which inventory reaches to its maximum level t_3 The time when inventory becomes zero for the second time per cycle T Inventory level at time interval $[t_0, t_1]$ $I_2(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_1, t_2]$ $I_3(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_2, t_3]$ $I_4(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_3, T + t_0]$ $TP(t_1, t_2)$ Total profit per unit time	ε	Stochastic term of the demand function
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	I(t)	Inventory level at time t
dem and rateBCoefficient of the inventory level in the stock-dependent demand function I_{max} Maximum inventory level t_0 Starting time of the planning horizon when the maximum shortage occurs t_1 The time when inventory becomes zero for the first time per cycle t_2 The time in which inventory reaches to its maximum level t_3 The time when inventory becomes zero for the second time per cycle T Inventory cycle time $I_1(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_0, t_1]$ $I_2(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_1, t_2]$ $I_3(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_2, t_3]$ $I_4(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_3, T + t_0]$	A	Constant term of the stock-dependent
BCoefficient of the inventory level in the stock-dependent demand function I_{\max} Maximum inventory level t_0 Starting time of the planning horizon when the maximum shortage occurs t_1 The time when inventory becomes zero for the first time per cycle t_2 The time in which inventory reaches to its maximum level t_3 The time when inventory becomes zero for the second time per cycleTInventory cycle time $I_1(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_0, t_1]$ $I_2(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_1, t_2]$ $I_3(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_2, t_3]$ $I_4(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_3, T + t_0]$ $TP(t_1, t_2)$ Total profit per unit time		demand rate
stock-dependent demand function I_{\max} Maximum inventory level t_0 Starting time of the planning horizon when the maximum shortage occurs t_1 The time when inventory becomes zero for the first time per cycle t_2 The time in which inventory reaches to its maximum level t_3 The time when inventory becomes zero for the second time per cycle T Inventory cycle time $I_1(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_0, t_1]$ $I_2(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_1, t_2]$ $I_3(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_2, t_3]$ $I_4(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_3, T + t_0]$ $TP(t_1, t_2)$ Total profit per unit time	В	Coefficient of the inventory level in the
I_{\max} Maximum inventory level t_0 Starting time of the planning horizon when the maximum shortage occurs t_1 The time when inventory becomes zero for the first time per cycle t_2 The time in which inventory reaches to its maximum level t_3 The time when inventory becomes zero for the second time per cycle T Inventory cycle time $I_1(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_0, t_1]$ $I_2(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_1, t_2]$ $I_3(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_2, t_3]$ $I_4(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_3, T + t_0]$ $TP(t_1, t_2)$ Total profit per unit time		stock-dependent demand function
t_0 Starting time of the planning horizon when the maximum shortage occurs t_1 The time when inventory becomes zero for the first time per cycle t_2 The time in which inventory reaches to its maximum level t_3 The time when inventory becomes zero for the second time per cycle T Inventory cycle time $I_1(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_0, t_1]$ $I_2(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_1, t_2]$ $I_3(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_2, t_3]$ $I_4(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_3, T + t_0]$ $TP(t_1, t_2)$ Total profit per unit time	$I_{ m max}$	Maximum inventory level
t_1 The time when inventory becomes zero for the first time per cycle t_2 The time in which inventory reaches to its maximum level t_3 The time when inventory becomes zero for the second time per cycle T Inventory cycle time $I_1(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_0, t_1]$ $I_2(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_1, t_2]$ $I_3(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_2, t_3]$ $I_4(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_3, T + t_0]$ $TP(t_1, t_2)$ Total profit per unit time	t_0	Starting time of the planning horizon when the maximum shortage occurs
t_2 The time in which inventory reaches to its maximum level t_3 The time when inventory becomes zero for the second time per cycle T Inventory cycle time $I_1(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_0, t_1]$ $I_2(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_1, t_2]$ $I_3(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_2, t_3]$ $I_4(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_3, T + t_0]$ $TP(t_1, t_2)$ Total profit per unit time	t_1	The time when inventory becomes zero for the first time per cycle
its maximum level t_3 The time when inventory becomes zero for the second time per cycleTInventory cycle time $I_1(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_0, t_1]$ $I_2(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_1, t_2]$ $I_3(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_2, t_3]$ $I_4(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_3, T + t_0]$ $TP(t_1, t_2)$ Total profit per unit time	t_2	The time in which inventory reaches to
t_3 The time when inventory becomes zero for the second time per cycleTInventory cycle time $I_1(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_0, t_1]$ $I_2(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_1, t_2]$ $I_3(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_2, t_3]$ $I_4(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_3, T + t_0]$ $TP(t_1, t_2)$ Total profit per unit time		its maximum level
for the second time per cycleTInventory cycle time $I_1(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_0, t_1]$ $I_2(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_1, t_2]$ $I_3(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_2, t_3]$ $I_4(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_3, T + t_0]$ TP(t_t, t_t)Total profit per unit time	t_3	The time when inventory becomes zero
TInventory cycle time $I_1(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_0, t_1]$ $I_2(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_1, t_2]$ $I_3(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_2, t_3]$ $I_4(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_3, T + t_0]$ $TP(t_1, t_2)$ Total profit per unit time		for the second time per cycle
$I_1(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_0, t_1]$ $I_2(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_1, t_2]$ $I_3(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_2, t_3]$ $I_4(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_3, T + t_0]$ $TP(t_1, t_2)$ Total profit per unit time	T	Inventory cycle time
$ \begin{array}{ll} I_2(t) & \text{Inventory level at time interval } [t_1,t_2] \\ I_3(t) & \text{Inventory level at time interval } [t_2,t_3] \\ I_4(t) & \text{Inventory level at time interval} \\ [t_3,T+t_0] \\ \end{array} $	$I_1(t)$	Inventory level at time interval $[t_0, t_1]$
$I_3(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_2, t_3]$ $I_4(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_3, T + t_0]$ $TP(t_1, t_2)$ Total profit per unit time	$I_2(t)$	Inventory level at time interval $[t_1, t_2]$
$I_4(t)$ Inventory level at time interval $[t_3, T + t_0]$ $TP(t_1, t_2)$ Total profit per unit time	$I_3(t)$	Inventory level at time interval $[t_2, t_3]$
$TP(t_1, t_2)$ Total profit per unit time	$I_4(t)$	Inventory level at time interval $[t_2, T + t_0]$
-I I I L L 2 I $-I$ O I O I O I I I O I	$TP(t_1, t_2)$	Total profit per unit time

The proposed model in this paper is developed based on the following assumptions:

- 1. The production-inventory system involves a single product;
- 2. The demand rate is sensitive to the stock level when $I(t) \ge 0$;
- 3. The production rate is finite and constant;
- 4. The lead time is assumed to be zero;
- 5. Deterioration process occurs as soon as a product is produced;
- 6. Deterioration rate is constant over the time period;
- 7. There is no replacement or repair for deteriorating products over the time period and the deteriorated products are removed from the system immediately;
- 8. Shortages are allowed and fully backordered;
- 9. Setup cost is incurred per cycle;
- 10. Holding cost is only applied to the product units;
- 11. The demand function is stochastic.

3. Model formulation

The behavior of the production-inventory model is depicted by Figure 1. According to this model, the inventory system could be divided in four intervals. During time interval $[t_0, t_1]$, the inventory level increases due to the fact that the production rate is higher than the demand rate. At this interval, the demand rate is equal to constant A due to negative inventory level. Subsequently, on interval $[t_1, t_2]$, the inventory level continues to increase because the production rate is higher than the demand rate and the deterioration occurs until the inventory level reaches the maximum level I_{max} . The demand rate is dependent on the stock A + BI(t) at this interval. During the next time interval $[t_2, t_3]$, the inventory level decreases owing to the demand and deterioration rates till the inventory level becomes zero at time t_3 . Finally, a shortage occurs as the demand grows only during time interval $[t_3, T + t_0]$. The shortage continues up to the end of the current inventory cycle.

The demand function is considered as follows:

$$D(t) = \begin{cases} A + BI(t) + \varepsilon & I(t) \ge 0\\ A + \varepsilon & I(t) < 0 \end{cases}$$
(1)



Figure 1. Inventory level illustration.

where ε represents the stochastic term of the demand function. It means that demand function, D(t), is a stochastic variable with the expected value E[D(t)]:

$$E[D(t)] = \begin{cases} A + BI(t) & I(t) \ge 0\\ A & I(t) < 0 \end{cases}$$

and random term ε . Without loss of generality, let us assume that $t_0 = 0$ and $I_1(t)$ indicates the inventory level at time t ($0 \le t \le t_1$), then we have:

$$\frac{dI_1(t)}{dt} = P - D(t) = P - A \qquad 0 \le t \le t_1.$$
(2)

With boundary condition $I_1(t_1) = 0$, it is concluded that:

$$I_1(t) = (P - A)(t - t_1) \qquad 0 \le t \le t_1.$$
(3)

As it is obvious from Figure 1, $I_1(0) = -S$; hence, it can be obtained that:

$$S = (P - A)t_1. \tag{4}$$

Assume that $I_2(t)$ represents the inventory level at time t ($t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$), then we have:

$$\frac{dI_2(t)}{dt} + \theta I_2(t) = P - D(t) \qquad t_1 \le t \le t_2.$$
 (5)

Considering D(t) = A + BI(t) for $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$ and boundary condition $I_2(t_1) = 0$, it is concluded that:

$$I_2(t) = \left(\frac{P-A}{\theta+B}\right) \left[1 - e^{(\theta+B)(t_1-t)}\right] \quad t_1 \le t \le t_2.$$
 (6)

Let $I_3(t)$ represent the inventory level at time t ($t_2 \le t \le t_3$), then it is concluded that:

$$\frac{dI_3(t)}{dt} + \theta I_2(t) = -D(t) \qquad t_2 \le t \le t_3.$$
(7)

Considering D(t) = A + BI(t) for $t_2 \le t \le t_3$ with the boundary condition $I_3(t_3) = 0$:

$$I_3(t) = \frac{A}{(\theta + B)} \left[e^{(\theta + b)(t_3 - t)} - 1 \right] \quad t_2 \le t \le t_3.$$
 (8)

It is obvious from Figure 1 that $I_2(t_2) = I_3(t_2) = I_{\text{max}}$; therefore, we can obtain t_2 in terms of t_1 and t_3 as follows:

$$t_2 = \frac{-1}{(\theta + B)} \log \left[\frac{P}{Ae^{(\theta + B)t_3} + (P - A)e^{(\theta + B)t_1}} \right].$$
 (9)

By substituting t_2 into $I_2(t)$ or $I_3(t)$, maximum inventory level I_{max} can be calculated in terms of t_1 and t_3 as follows:

$$I_{\max} = \frac{A(P-A)}{(\theta+B)} \left[\frac{e^{(\theta+B)t_3} - e^{(\theta+B)t_1}}{Ae^{(\theta+B)t_3} + (P-A)e^{(\theta+B)t_1}} \right].(10)$$

Assume that $I_4(t)$ represents the inventory level at time t ($t_3 \leq t \leq T$), then we have:

$$\frac{dI_4(t)}{dt} = -D(t) = -A \qquad t_3 \le t \le t_4.$$
(11)

With boundary condition $I_4(t_3) = 0$, it is concluded that:

 $I_4(t) = (-A)(t - t_3)$ $t_3 \le t \le T.$ (12)

It is obvious from Figure 1 that $I_1(0) = I_4(T) = -S$; therefore, we can obtain T in terms of t_1 and t_3 as follows:

$$T = t_3 + \left(\frac{P-A}{A}\right)t_1. \tag{13}$$

Since the production is carried out in interval $[0, t_2]$ with rate P, production quantity per cycle Q is given by Pt_2 . Substituting t_2 into Q, it (t_2) can be calculated in terms of t_1 and t_3 . Therefore, all of the required information, including $I_1(t)$, $I_2(t)$, $I_3(t)$, $I_4(t)$, I_{\max} , S, and Q, are calculated in terms of t_1 and t_3 . Hence, we can form the total profit in terms of t_1 and t_3 as follows:

- (i) Setup cost per cycle R
- (ii) Production Cost (PC):

$$PC = cPt_2. \tag{14}$$

Substituting t_2 into PC, it is concluded that:

$$PC = \frac{-cP}{(\theta+B)} \log \left[\frac{P}{Ae^{(\theta+B)t_3} + (P-A)e^{(\theta+B)t_1}}\right].$$
 (15)

(iii) Inventory Holding Cost (HC):

$$HC = h \left[\int_{t_1}^{t_2} I_2(t) dt + \int_{t_2}^{t_3} I_3(t) dt \right]$$

= $\frac{h}{(\theta + B)^2} \left[A \left(e^{(\theta + B)(t_3 - t_2)} - 1 \right) - A(\theta + B)(t_3 - t_2) + (P - A)(\theta + B)(t_2 - t_1) + (P - A) \left(e^{-(\theta + B)(t_2 - t_1) - 1} \right) \right].$ (16)

Substituting t_2 into HC, the holding cost can be rewritten in terms of t_1 and t_3 as shown in Box I.

(iv) Shortage Cost (SC):

$$SC = b \left[\int_{0}^{t_{1}} \left[-I_{1}(t) \right] dt + \int_{t_{3}}^{T} \left[-I_{4}(t) \right] dt \right]$$
$$= \frac{P b t_{1}^{2} (P - A)}{2A}.$$
(18)

(v) Sales Revenue (SR) is calculated based on the difference between the quantity of products produced per cycle and the quantity of products deteriorated per cycle:

$$SR = k \left[Q - \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \theta I_2(t) dt + \int_{t_2}^{t_3} \theta I_3(t) dt \right) \right] - \frac{1}{(\theta + B)^2} \left[\theta(A - P) \left(e^{(\theta + B)(t_1 - t_2)} - \theta(t_1 - t_2) - 1 \right) - \theta B(A - P)(t_1 - t_2) - \theta A(e^{(\theta + B)(t_3 - t_2)} + \theta t_2 - \theta A t_3 - 1) + \theta A B(t_3 - t_2) \right] + Pkt_2.$$
(19)

Substituting t_2 into SR, it yields:

$$SR = \frac{k\theta}{(\theta+B)^2} \left(A\theta(t_3-t_1) + P\theta t_1 - \frac{PB}{\theta} \log\left(\frac{P}{Ae^{(\theta+B)t_3} + (P-A)e^{(\theta+B)t_1}}\right) - AB\left(t_3 + (P-1)t_1\right) \right).$$
(20)

Hence, the total profit per time unit can be written as a function of t_1 and t_3 as follows:

$$HC = -\frac{Ph \log \left[\frac{P}{Ae^{(\theta+B)t_3} + (P-A)e^{(\theta+B)t_1}}\right] + A\theta(t_3 - t_1) + ABh(t_3 - t_1) + Pht_1(\theta+B)}{(\theta+B)^2}.$$
(17)

2142

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma P(t_1, t_3) &= \frac{SR - D - PC - HC - SC}{T} \\ &= \frac{1}{(\theta + B)^2 \left(A(t_3 - t_1) + Pt_1\right)} \\ \left(APh - AD(\theta^2 + B^2) - 2A\theta BD + \left(A^2h(\theta + B) + (A\theta k)^2 + A^2k^2\theta B\right)(t_3 - t_1) + \left(-0.5P^2b(\theta^2 + B^2) + A^2k^2\theta B\right)(t_3 - t_1) + \left(-0.5P^2b(\theta^2 + B^2) + A\theta BPb(1 - P)\right)t_1^2 + AP(\theta + B) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\right)t_1^2 + AP(\theta + B) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\right)t_1^2 + AP(\theta + B) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + A\theta BPb(1 - P)\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\right)t_1^2 + AP(\theta + B) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + A\theta BPb(1 - P)\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + A\theta BPb(1 - P)\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + A\theta BPb(1 - P)\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + A\theta BPb(1 - P)\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + A\theta BPb(1 - P)\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + A\theta BPb(1 - P)\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + A\theta BPb(1 - P)\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + B^2\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + B^2\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + B^2\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + B^2\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + B^2\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + B^2\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + B^2\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + B^2\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + B^2\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + B^2\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + B^2\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + B^2\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + B^2\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + B^2\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + B^2\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + B^2\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + B^2\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + B^2\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + B^2\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + B^2\right) \\ &+ A\theta BPb(1 - P)\left(b_1^2 + b_1^2h(\theta^2 + B^2) + B^2\right)$$

This random demand function is utilized within all of the above equations. Hence, the total profit (Eq. (21)) has also a stochastic term because the demand function appears in its formulation. According to the above expressions, the problem can be formulated as a nonlinear optimization model with a stochastic demand function as follows:

Maximize $TP(t_1, t_3)$

s.t.

$$t_3 \ge t_1 \qquad t_1, t_3 \ge 0$$
 (22)

4. Solution algorithm

A deep investigation into the problem formulated in the previous section reveals that it is rarely possible to obtain optimal production and inventory policies analytically. Additionally, the stochastic term of the demand function makes the problem more intractable. Therefore, we propose a computational approach as a simulation-based optimization algorithm to solve the problem. The simulation part is responsible for handling the uncertainty existing in the problem and evaluating the fitness function. In order to achieve the global optimal solution, a simulator is combined with an optimizer. The optimizer is utilized to find



Figure 2. The solution algorithm flowchart.

the best set of the solutions, and then the simulation is used to evaluate the quality of the generated solutions and guide the search movements. The optimization part in the proposed simulation-based optimization approach aims to calculate the values of the decision Our proposed approach considers a grid variables. search as an optimizer. It first recognizes a feasible region with an equally divided grid, and then finds the best local solutions in that region. After that, it investigates the space around each local solution. During the search procedure, the algorithm may shift between spaces so as to find better solutions. Briefly, the algorithm consists of three main parts: (i) The initializing procedure; (ii) The neighborhood search process; and (iii) The simulation-based evaluation. Figure 2 presents a general procedure of the proposed approach.

4.1. Initializing procedure

The algorithm is initialized by selecting a divider factor, τ , which is a real value. The grid network in our problem has two axes: t_1 and t_3 . Figure 3 shows the structure of grid network in our problem.



Figure 3. Grid network structure.



Figure 4. Inventory level for the case with $t_1 = 0$ and $t_3 > 0$.

The factor divides the axes of the grid network equally, and then creates the points by marking intersections of the horizontal and vertical grid lines. Figure 2 illustrates a grid network whose axes are divided by divider factor $\tau = 2$. The algorithm recognizes the points that are feasible subject to constraint $t_3 \geq t_1$. Figure 3 shows the feasible region of the grid network for the current problem. The set of feasible solutions is marked by the small dots in this figure. After that, all the feasible solutions in the grid network are evaluated by the simulator, and the fitness values are estimated. Here, we can identify the local optimal solutions by finding the points with greater fitness values among their neighbors. In Figure 3, the arrows show the directions where the fitness value of the feasible solutions increases. The local optimal solutions found so far are depicted by large dots in the grid network of Figure 3. These solutions are utilized as the initial solutions for the neighborhood search process. To this end, the smaller grid is formed around the local optimal solutions to further investigate the solution space.

For further analysis of the grid network, we consider two extreme cases in the solution space. Let us first consider a case in which $t_1 = 0$ and $t_3 > 0$. On the grid network, the corresponding point of this case lies on the vertical axes. In such a case, we have no shortage during the inventory cycle, and hence $t_1 = t_0$ and $t_3 = T$. This case occurs when the shortage cost is much greater than other cost parameters in the model. Figure 4 depicts the inventory curve for the first case.

As another extreme case, assume that $t_1 = t_3$ with a value greater than zero. It means that we have no holding cost during the inventory cycle. On the grid network, the corresponding points of this case lie on 45-degree line. This case occurs when the holding cost is much greater than other cost parameters in the model. Figure 5 depicts the inventory curve for the second case.

4.2. Neighborhood search process

As described in the previous section, the simulationbased optimization initializes the local optimal solution and feeds it into the neighborhood search process as a starting point. Indeed, for each local solution, the algorithm utilizes the neighborhood search procedure and updates the best solution through an iterative



Figure 5. Inventory level for the case with $t_1 = t_3$.



Figure 6. The neighborhood search process.

search procedure. For this purpose, neighborhood grids are established around each solution to search for better solutions. A neighborhood grid is a smaller grid network inside the master grid network whose center is placed on the current solution and includes new points on the interstitial points between the points around the current solution. As an example, Figure 6 shows a neighborhood grid. The green circles show new points found on the grid network to be further investigated. These interstitial green points are obtained by adding $\tau/(2Iter)$ to the current solution where *Iter* represents the total number of iterations. Whenever the current solution is replaced by a new better solution, a neighborhood grid is established around the new solution. If there is no better solution than the current one on the neighborhood grid, a new narrower neighborhood grid is established around the current solution for further investigation.

4.3. Evaluation by simulation

This step uses simulation to estimate the total profit of a given set of solutions. As mentioned before, the complicated relationships and the uncertainty existing in the problem make it difficult to achieve the total profit via an analytical approach. In such a situation, simulation can reasonably estimate the objective function for each solution in the grid network and evaluate the quality of the solutions generated by the



Figure 7. The simulation-based optimization procedure.

grid search. The simulation experiments are conducted several times. In each experiment, the demand function is randomly generated from the associated distribution and the total profit is estimated for the current solution. This brings about the conversion of the problem into a special deterministic one at each iteration. After all the experiments are implemented, the expected value of the total profit is calculated as the average amount of the special total profits estimated. Figure 7 depicts a general scheme of the simulationbased optimization procedure.

5. Experimental results

This section aims at discussing some experiments that have been carried out to investigate the performance of the proposed approach for the production-inventory problem. As mentioned earlier, it is rarely possible to analytically solve the current production-inventory problem for perishable products with shortage and stochastic stock-dependent demands. For further analysis, the shape of TP function is investigated for an instance problem. Figures 8 and 9 show the behavior of TP in terms of variables t_1 and t_3 . These figures reveal that the Total Profit, TP, is neither concave nor convex globally. Moreover, as can be seen, it is not differentiable in some potentially optimal points, which makes the problem more intractable.

5.1. A numerical example

In this sub-section, we aim at presenting a numerical



Figure 8. The total profit surface in terms of t_1 and t_3 .



Figure 9. The total profit plot in terms of t_1 and t_3 .

example in order to illustrate the procedure of the suggested approach step by step. The data considered in this example are as follows: production rate, P =300; constant term of the demand function, A = 50; variable term of demand function, B = 8; deterioration rate, $\theta = 0.01$; selling price, k = 100; holding cost, h = 2; shortage cost, b = 20; production cost, c = 50; setup cost, R = 300; and ε follows the standard normal distribution, N(0,1). To construct the mathematical model for this example, the inventory levels at cycle Tare obtained by substituting the above values. Then, to implement the simulation-based grid search, the inventory levels are used to compute the total profit function. The results of experiments for divider factor $\tau=10,25,40,60$ are shown by Tables 1-4 . As can be seen, increasing divider factor leads to increasing the total profit for a fixed number of iterations (Iter =100) in this example. The best obtained solution is $t_1 = 0.0000, t_3 = 87.9802$ with TP = 2974233.2264 which is resulted from the algorithm with divider factor $\tau = 60$. Moreover, Figures 10-13 show the convergence curves of the algorithm with different divider factors. As shown by these figures, the convergence behavior of the algorithm with the divider factor $\tau = 60$ is outstandingly faster than other values.

5.2. Sensitivity analysis

This subsection aims at performing a sensitivity analysis on the various parameters using the numerical example discussed in the previous subsection. We set divider factor at $\tau = 60$ in this subsection. The results of analysis are presented in Table 5.

The output of the system considered here is the Total Profit, TP. The last column calculates the range of variations for the total profit by changing the parameters from -20% to +20%. As the results show, the total profit is less sensitive to changes in h, b, and D. It is moderately sensitive to changes in P, A, B, θ , and c, and highly sensitive to changes in k. Moreover, it reveals that there is an increase in the Total Profit, TP, value when P, A, B, θ , and k increase, and there is an increase in the Total Profit, TP, value when h, c, and D decrease.

			/				
Total profit	Variables						
rotar pront	t_1	t_2	t_3	T			
1288565.709	20.0000	79.7763	80.0000	180.0000			
1393075.336	17.5000	79.7763	80.0000	167.5000			
1471213.485	15.8333	79.7763	80.0000	159.1667			
1535048.367	14.5833	79.7763	80.0000	152.9167			
1589772.141	13.5833	79.7763	80.0000	147.9167			
1638123.893	12.7500	79.7763	80.0000	143.7500			
1681737.761	12.0357	79.7763	80.0000	140.1786			
1721672.883	11.4107	79.7763	80.0000	137.0536			
1758657.993	10.8552	79.7763	80.0000	134.2758			
1793217.683	10.3552	79.7763	80.0000	131.7758			
	:	:	:				
2964436.736	0.0499	79.7763	80.9368	81.1863			
2964447.433	0.0499	79.7763	80.9868	81.2363			
	Total profit 1288565.709 1393075.336 1471213.485 1535048.367 1589772.141 1638123.893 1681737.761 1721672.883 1758657.993 1793217.683 2964436.736 2964447.433	Total profit t1 1288565.709 20.0000 1393075.336 17.5000 1471213.485 15.8333 1535048.367 14.5833 1589772.141 13.5833 1638123.893 12.7500 1681737.761 12.0357 1721672.883 11.4107 1758657.993 10.8552 1793217.683 10.3552 2964436.736 0.0499 2964447.433 0.0499	Variable t_1 t_2 1288565.70920.000079.77631393075.33617.500079.77631471213.48515.833379.77631535048.36714.583379.77631589772.14113.583379.77631638123.89312.750079.77631681737.76112.035779.77631721672.88311.410779.77631758657.99310.855279.77631793217.68310.355279.7763 \vdots \vdots \vdots 2964436.7360.049979.77632964447.4330.049979.7763	Variables t1 t2 t3 1288565.709 20.0000 79.7763 80.0000 1393075.336 17.5000 79.7763 80.0000 1471213.485 15.8333 79.7763 80.0000 1535048.367 14.5833 79.7763 80.0000 1589772.141 13.5833 79.7763 80.0000 1638123.893 12.7500 79.7763 80.0000 1681737.761 12.0357 79.7763 80.0000 1721672.883 11.4107 79.7763 80.0000 1758657.993 10.8552 79.7763 80.0000 1793217.683 10.3552 79.7763 80.0000 1.93217.683 10.3552 79.7763 80.0000 1.92964436.736 0.0499 79.7763 80.9368 2964447.433 0.0499 79.7763 80.9368			

Table 1. Results with divider factor, $\tau = 10$.

Table 2. Results with divider factor $\tau = 25$.

Itoration	Total profit	Variables						
neration	rotar pront	t_1	t_2	t_3	T			
1	1604837.228	12.5000	74.7763	75.0000	137.5000			
2	2093205.03	6.2500	74.7763	75.0000	106.2500			
3	2609685.109	2.0833	74.7763	75.0000	85.4167			
4	2622819.157	2.0833	77.9013	78.1250	88.5417			
5	2632677.207	2.0833	80.4013	80.6250	91.0417			
6	2640487.929	2.0833	82.4846	82.7083	93.1250			
7	2921187.196	0.2976	82.4846	82.7083	84.1964			
8	2922291.842	0.2976	84.0471	84.2708	85.7589			
9	2923240.496	0.2976	85.4360	85.6597	87.1478			
10	2924068.796	0.2976	86.6860	86.9097	88.3978			
	:	:	:	:	:			
99	2973050.195	0.0069	87.5423	87.5697	87.8743			
100	2973062.647	0.0069	87.5660	87.5847	87.9993			

Table 3. Results with divider factor $\tau = 40$.

Itoration	Total profit	Variables						
	rotar pront	t_1	t_2	t_3	T			
1	2186325.369	5.0000	69.7763	70.0000	95.0000			
2	2238488.721	5.0000	76.4430	76.6667	101.6667			
3	2973114.378	0.0000	76.4430	76.6667	76.6667			
4	2973545.887	0.0000	80.4430	80.6667	80.6667			
5	2973874.085	0.0000	83.7763	84.0000	84.0000			
6	2974135.348	0.0000	86.6335	86.8571	86.8571			
7	2973907.711	0.0000	84.1335	84.3571	84.3571			
8	2974110.704	0.0000	86.3557	86.5794	86.5794			
9	2973928.490	0.0000	79.7763	84.5794	84.5794			
10	2973942.596	0.0000	86.1739	86.3975	86.3975			
÷	:	÷	:	:	:			
99	2974215.932	0.0000	87.5664	87.5880	87.7780			
100	2974221.210	0.0000	87.6043	87.6080	87.9780			

Itoration	Total profit	Variables						
	rotar pront	t_1	t_2	t_3	T			
1	1091489.606	25.0000	29.7763	30.0000	155.0000			
2	2959577.777	10.0000	29.7763	30.0000	80.0000			
3	2964025.517	0.0000	29.7763	30.0000	30.0000			
4	2966479.443	0.0000	37.2763	37.5000	37.5000			
5	2968060.581	0.0000	43.2763	43.5000	43.5000			
6	2969177.433	0.0000	48.2763	48.5000	48.5000			
7	2970015.778	0.0000	52.5620	52.7857	52.7857			
8	2970672.806	0.0000	56.3120	56.5357	56.5357			
9	2971204.563	0.0000	59.6454	59.8690	59.8690			
10	2971645.770	0.0000	62.6454	62.8690	62.8690			
÷		÷	÷	÷	:			
99	2974203.723	0.0000	86.6066	87.6272	87.8172			
100	2974233.226	0.0000	86.5896	87.6402	87.9802			

Table 4. Results with divider factor $\tau = 60$.



Figure 10. Convergence curve with divider factor $\tau = 10$.



5.3. Comparisons

In order to investigate the quality of the solutions obtained by the suggested approach, the performances of a Genetic Algorithm (GA) and a full enumeration







Figure 13. Convergence curve with divider factor $\tau = 60$.

algorithm are compared with our approach in this subsection. To this end, the GA structure is devised as follows. The chromosome is designed by a string with

Parameter change (%)	-20%	-10%	0	+10%	+20%	Percentage of change in TP
Р	2973165.148	2973725.195	2974233.226	2974698.943	2975129.723	0.0661%
A	2973165.148	2973725.195	2974233.226	2974698.943	2975129.723	0.0661%
B	2973441.233	2973880.747	2974233.226	2974518.737	2974761.020	0.0444%
θ	2973165.148	2973725.195	2974233.226	2974698.943	2975129.723	0.0661%
k	1898099.363	2406273.687	2974233.226	3601977.979	4289507.947	125.989%
h	2974245.672	2974239.449	2974233.226	2974227.003	2974220.780	-0.0008%
b	2974233.226	2974233.226	2974233.226	2974233.226	2974233.226	0.0000%
С	2975729.412	2975729.412	2974233.226	2972737.040	2971240.854	-0.1508%
D	2974233.908	2974233.567	2974233.226	2974232.885	2974232.544	-0.0000%

Table 5. Sensitivity analysis results.

Table 6. The result of comparisons among full enumeration, genetic algorithm, and grid search.

	Solling	Production]	Full enui	nerati	on		Genetic	algorithm	Grid s	\mathbf{earch}
Problem	Sennig	1 routetion	Grid si	ze = 2	Grid siz	ze = 5	Grid siz	ze = 10				
no.	price	cost	тр	CPU	тр	\mathbf{CPU}	тр	CPU	тD	\mathbf{CPU}	тD	CPU
	(κ)	(c)	11	(s)	11	(s)	IF	(s)	11	(\mathbf{s})	ΙΓ	(s)
1	50	15	99398	206.14	99398	49.67	99398	26.34	99398	113.14	99398	55.29
2		30	106234	218.87	106200	52.19	106254	25.75	106232	112.41	106234	53.65
3		45	68726	207.80	68719	48.92	68702	25.05	68678	112.36	68726	53.84
4		60	780597	208.57	780430	48.56	780115	25.64	780044	112.25	780597	53.54
5	100	15	399398	205.12	399398	49.53	399398	25.24	399434	112.82	399408	52.79
6		30	598812	211.74	598823	49.87	598771	26.05	598652	112.63	598887	55.10
7		45	715025	231.49	714953	60.07	714834	27.57	714287	112.53	715034	54.07
8		60	1079243	216.11	1079100	49.10	1078935	25.67	1078965	113.08	1079251	55.41
9	150	15	966655	207.03	966620	52.55	966570	27.60	966414	112.50	966664	52.74
10		30	1780862	209.45	1780600	52.06	1780400	25.33	1779383	113.13	1780875	56.18
11		45	2445542	209.27	2445200	59.48	2444700	25.69	2443134	112.60	2445582	56.21
12		60	3407145	215.63	3406800	50.23	3406262	26.16	3404735	112.71	3407182	55.63
13	200	15	1823903	209.11	1823800	49.69	1823641	26.15	1823271	112.54	1823933	56.85
14		30	3435516	209.27	3435210	48.64	3434634	25.87	3432534	115.68	3436627	56.77
15		45	4868174	225.93	4867528	58.58	4866575	26.57	4864035	114.90	4868541	55.04
16		60	6666234	218.17	6665517	52.81	6664475	26.93	6659767	114.97	6666764	56.14
Average			1827592	213.11	1827394	52.00	1827104	26.10	1826185	113.14	1827731	54.95

two genes $\{t_1, t_3\}$. The initial population is produced by assigning a random real number to each gene. The crossover operates on two parent solutions, P_1 and P_2 , in order to create new offspring solutions. For this purpose, a random number, $\lambda \in [0,1]$, is generated and the offsprings are obtained as $\lambda P_1 + (1 - \lambda)P_2$ and $\lambda P_2 + (1 - \lambda)P_1$. The mutation alters the value of the genes in order to make a random change to the solution. For this purpose, random binary number, γ , is generated and the mutation operator sets, $t_1 = 0$, if $\gamma = 0$, and $t_3 = t_1$; otherwise, the current solution (t_1, t_3) is transmitted to the boundary of the feasible region $(t_3 \ge t_1)$. For example, if we have (2.5, 4.0)as the current solution, mutation turns it to (0.0, 4.0)if $\gamma = 0$, and to (2.5, 2.5) if $\gamma = 1$. The standard features of the GA algorithm used in this subsection are as follows: crossover rate = 0.3, mutation rate = 0.1, population size = 40, generation number = 300, and replication number = 5. In order to implement the algorithms, we need a wide range of test problems. To this end, we generate the instances using the uniform distribution. We categorize the instances based on selling price, k, and production cost, c, as the most sensitive parameters.

Table 6 summarizes the results of the comparison for the suggested grid search with the genetic algorithm and the full enumeration algorithm in terms of the Total Profit (TP) and the CPU time. Since the performance of the full enumeration algorithm is greatly dependent on the grid size, we examine three values for the grid size $\{2,5,10\}$. As expected, among the three full enumeration algorithms, Grid size = 2 obtained better solutions than the two others. As the results presented in this table reveal, the suggested grid search algorithm obtains better solutions compared to both the full enumeration and genetic algorithms with less computational time.

6. Conclusions

As marketing researchers have recognized, the demand for many products is directly proportional to the amount of stock displayed. It is usually observed by the practitioners that a large amount of goods displayed in a supermarket attracts more customers, and conversely, low inventory of goods might make the perception that they are not fresh, and therefore decrease the demand. Consequently, building up the inventory level often has a positive impact on sales and profits. Therefore, in such a case, the demand has no longer a constant rate, but it depends on the stock level. Hence, this paper dealt with a stockdependent demand for an EPQ model. The products are perishable and the shortage is permitted and fully backordered. In order to determine the appropriate production-inventory policies, the inventory level was formulated at different time horizons, and then the total profit function was derived. Since the problem is mathematically intractable, designing an analytical approach was a challenging task. Therefore, this paper developed a simulation-based optimization algorithm where a grid search was combined with a simulation model. The grid search plays the role of an optimizer to determine the values of the model variables, and the simulation model is utilized to evaluate the quality of the solutions obtained by the optimizer within an iterative procedure. A numerical example was discussed and a sensitivity analysis was carried out with respect to the parameters of the model. The results showed that the total profit is highly sensitive to changes in k and moderately sensitive to changes in P, A, B, θ , and c. Moreover, the results of a comparison study demonstrate that the suggested approach is superior to genetic algorithm and full enumeration algorithms in terms of both accuracy and efficiency features.

As for future study, it would be interesting to consider joint pricing and inventory policy. We also can extend the model by considering both stock- and price-dependent demand functions. As for another extension, the partial backordering can be investigated.

References

1. Pan, E., Jin, Y., Wang, S. and Cang, T. "An integrated EPQ model based on a control chart for an imperfect

production process", International Journal of Production Research, **50**(23), pp. 6999-7011 (2012).

- Wee, H.M., Wang, W.T. and Yang, P.C. "A production quantity model for imperfect quality items with shortage and screening constraint", *International Journal* of Production Research, 51(6), pp. 1869-1884 (2013).
- Dash, B., Pattnaik, M. and Pattnaik, H. "Deteriorated economic production quantity (EPQ) model for declined quadratic demand with time value of money and shortages", *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 8(73), pp. 3607-3618 (2014).
- Karimi-Nasab, M. and Sabri-Laghaie, K. "Developing approximate algorithms for EPQ problem with process compressibility and random error in production/inspection", *International Journal of Production Research*, **52**(8), pp. 2388-2421 (2014).
- Nasr, W.W., Salameh, M.K. and Moussawi-Haidar, L. "Integrating the economic production model with deteriorating raw material over multi-production cycles", *International Journal of Production Research*, 52(8), pp. 2477-2489 (2014).
- Pacheco-Velazquez, E.A. and Cardenas-Barron, L.E. "An economic production quantity inventory model with backorders considering the raw material costs", *Scientia Iranica*, 23(2), pp. 736-746 (2016).
- Jawad, H., Jaber, M.Y., Bonney, M. and Rosen, M.A. "Deriving an exergetic economic production quantity model for better sustainability", *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 40(11-12), pp. 6026-6039 (2016).
- Sadeghi, J., Akhavan Niaki, S.T., Malekian, M.R. and Sadeghi, S. "Optimising multi-item economic production quantity model with trapezoidal fuzzy demand and backordering: two tuned meta-heuristics", *European J. of Industrial Engineering*, **10**(2), pp. 170-195 (2016).
- Al-Salamah, M. "Economic production quantity in batch manufacturing with imperfect quality, imperfect inspection, and destructive and non-destructive acceptance sampling in a two-tier market", *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 93(1), pp. 275-285 (2016).
- Wang, S.-P. and Lee, W. "A note on A two-level supply chain with consignment stock agreement and stock-dependent demand", *International Journal of Production Research*, 54(9), pp. 2750-2756 (2016).
- Naimi Sadigh, A., Chaharsooghi, S.K. and Sheikhmohammady, M. "A game theoretic approach to coordination of pricing, advertising, and inventory decisions in a competitive supply chain", *Journal of Industrial* and Management Optimization, **12**(1), pp. 337-355 (2016a).

- Naimi Sadigh, A., Chaharsooghi, S.K. and Sheikhmohammady, M. "Game-theoretic analysis of coordinating pricing and marketing decisions in a multi-product multi-echelon supply chain", *Scientia Iranica E*, 23, pp. 1459-1473 (2016).
- Gupta, R. and Vrat, P. "Inventory model with multiitems under constraint systems for stock dependent consumption rate", *Operations Research*, 24(1), pp. 41-42 (1986).
- Chang, C.T., Teng, J.T. and Goyal, S.K. "Optimal replenishment policies for non-instantaneous deteriorating items with stock-dependent demand", *International Journal of Production Economics*, **123**(1), pp. 62-68 (2010).
- Yang, H.L., Teng, J.T. and Chern, M.S. "An inventory model under inflation for deteriorating items with stock-dependent consumption rate and partial backlogging shortages", *International Journal of Production Economics*, **123**(1), pp. 8-19 (2010).
- Shah, N.H., Patel, A.R. and Lou, K.R. "Optimal ordering and pricing policy for price sensitive stock-dependent demand under progressive payment scheme", *International Journal of Industrial Engineering Computations*, 2(3), pp. 523-532 (2011).
- Sarkar, B. and Sarkar, S. "An improved inventory model with partial backlogging, time varying deterioration and stock-dependent demand", *Economic Modelling*, **30**(1), pp. 924-932 (2013).
- Soni, N.H. "Optimal replenishment policies for deteriorating items with stock sensitive demand under two-level trade credit and limited capacity", *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 37(8), pp. 5887-5895 (2013).
- Singh, S.R. and Sharma, S. "Optimal trade-credit policy for perishable items deeming imperfect production and stock dependent demand", *International Journal* of *Industrial Engineering Computations*, 5(1), pp. 151-168 (2014).
- Krommyda, I.P., Skouri, K. and Konstantaras, I. "Optimal ordering quantities for substitutable products with stock-dependent demand", *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, **39**(1), pp. 147-164 (2015).
- Wu, C.F. and Zhao, Q.H. "An inventory model for deteriorating items with inventory-dependent and linear trend demand under trade credit", *Scientia Iranica*, 22(6), pp. 2558-2570 (2015).
- Tripathi, R.P. and Singh, D. "Inventory model with stock dependent demand and different holding cost functions", *International Journal of Industrial and* Systems Engineering, **21**(1), pp. 68-82 (2015).
- Tsoularis, A. "An optimal inventory pricing and ordering strategy subject to demand dependent on stock level and price", *International Journal of Mathematics* in Operational Research, 7(5), pp. 595-608 (2015).

- Chakraborty, D., Kumar Jana, D. and Kumar Roy, T. "Multi-item integrated supply chain model for deteriorating items with stock dependent demand under fuzzy random and bifuzzy environments", *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 88(1), pp. 166-180 (2015).
- Palanivel, M. and Uthayakumar, R. "An inventory model with imperfect items, stock dependent demand and permissible delay in payments under inflation", *RAIRO-Operations Research*, **50**(3), pp. 473-489 (2016).
- Ghare, P.M. and Schrader, G.H. "A model for exponentially decaying inventory system", *International Journal of Productions Research*, **21**(1), pp. 449-460 (1963).
- Goyal, S.K. and Giri, B.C. "Recent trends in modeling of deteriorating inventory", *European Journal of* Operational Research, 134(1), pp. 1-16 (2001).
- Balkhi, Z. "Optimal economic ordering policy with deteriorating items under different supplier trade credits for finite horizon case", *International Journal of Production Economics*, **133**(1), pp. 216-223 (2011).
- Bansal, K.K. "Inventory model for deteriorating items with the effect of inflation", International Journal of Application and Innovation in Engineering and Management, 2(5), pp. 143-150 (2013).
- Vahdani, M., Dolati, A. and Bashiri, M. "Single-item lot-sizing and scheduling problem with deteriorating inventory and multiple warehouses", *Scientia Iranica*, 20(6), pp. 2177-2187 (2013).
- Bhaula, B. and Kumar, M.R. "An economic order quantity model for weibull deteriorating items with stock dependent consumption rate and shortages under inflation", *International Journal of Computing and Technology*, 1(5), pp. 196-204 (2014).
- 32. Giri, B.C. and Sharma, S. "An integrated inventory model for a deteriorating item with allowable shortages and credit linked wholesale price", *Optimization Letters*, 9(6), pp. 1149-1175 (2015).
- Li, N., Chan, F.T.S., Chung, S.H. and Tai, A.H. "An EPQ model for deteriorating production system and items with rework", *Mathematical Problems in* Engineering, 957970 (2015).
- 34. Jaggi, C.K., Eduardo Cárdenas-Barrón, L., Tiwari, S. and Shafi, A.A. "Two-warehouse inventory model for deteriorating items with imperfect quality under the conditions of permissible delay in payments", *Scientia Iranica*, 24, pp. 390-412 (2017).
- Kouki, C., Zied Babai, M., Jemai, Z. and Minner, S. "A coordinated multi-item inventory system for perishables with random lifetime", *International Journal* of Production Economics, **181**, pp. 226-237 (2016).
- 36. Teimoury, E. and Kazemi, S.M.M. "An integrated pricing and inventory model for deteriorating products in a two stage supply chain under replacement and shortage", *Scientia Iranica*, 24, pp. 342-354 (2017).
- 37. Timmer, J., Chess, M. and Boucherie, R.J. "Cooperation and game-theoretic cost allocation in stochastic

inventory models with continuous review", *European Journal of Operational Research*, **231**(3), pp. 567-576 (2013).

- 38. Juan, A.A., Grasman, S.E., Caceres-Cruz, J. and Bektaş, T. "A simheuristic algorithm for the singleperiod stochastic inventory-routing problem with stock-outs", *Simulation Modelling Practice and The*ory, **46**(1), pp. 40-52 (2014).
- Bieda, B. "Application of stochastic approach based on Monte Carlo (MC) simulation for life cycle inventory (LCI) to the steel process chain: Case study", Science of the Total Environment, 481(1), pp. 649-655 (2014).
- Tamjidzad, S. and Mirmohammadi, S.H. "An optimal (r, Q) policy in a stochastic inventory system with allunits quantity discount and limited sharable resource", *European Journal of Operational Research*, 247(1), pp. 93-100 (2015).
- Wu, Z., Chen, D. and Yu, H. "Coordination of a supply chain with consumer return under vendor-managed consignment inventory and stochastic demand", *International Journal of General Systems*, 45(5), pp. 502-516 (2016).
- Purohit, A.K., Choudhary, D. and Shankar, R. "Inventory lot-sizing with supplier selection under nonstationary stochastic demand", *International Journal* of Production Research, 54(8), pp. 2459-2469 (2016).
- Chuang, J.P.-C., Chou, W.-S. and Julianne, P. "Inventory models with stochastic ramp type demand", Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics, 19(1), pp. 55-64 (2016).

Biographies

Hadi Mokhtari is currently an Assistant Professor of Industrial Engineering in University of Kashan, Iran. His current research interests include the applications of operations research and artificial intelligence techniques to the areas of project scheduling, production scheduling, and supply chain management. He also published several papers in international journals such as Computers and Operations Research, International Journal of Production Research, Applied Soft Computing, Neurocomputing, International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management, Expert Systems with Applications and Scientia Iranica.

Ali Naimi-Sadigh is an Assistant Professor of Industrial Engineering in the Information Technology Management Faculty of the Iranian Research Institute for Information Science and Technology (IRANDOC). He received his BS, MS, and PhD degrees in Industrial Engineering from K.N. Toosi University of Technology, Amirkabir University of Technology, and Tarbiat Modares University, respectively. His research interests include pricing, supply chain, game theory, and optimization.

Ali Salmasnia is currently an Assistant Professor in University of Qom, Qom, Iran. His research interests include quality engineering, multi-response optimization, applied multivariate statistics, and multi-criterion decision making. He is the author or co-author of various papers published in Applied Soft Computing, Neurocomputing, IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management, International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, Applied Mathematical Modelling, Expert Systems with Applications, International Journal of Information Technology and Decision Making, and TOP.