Nonsingular fast terminal sliding-mode stabilizer for a class of uncertain nonlinear systems based on disturbance observer
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Abstract. This paper investigates a novel nonsingular fast terminal sliding-mode control method for the stabilization of the uncertain time-varying and nonlinear third-order systems. The designed disturbance observer satisfies the finite-time convergence of the disturbance approximation error and the suggested finite-time stabilizer assures the presence of the switching behavior around the switching curve in the finite time. Furthermore, this approach can overcome the singularity problem of the fast terminal sliding-mode control technique. Moreover, knowledge about the upper bounds of the disturbances is not required and the chattering problem is eliminated. Usefulness and effectiveness of the offered procedure are confirmed by numerical simulation results.
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1. Introduction

1.1. Background and motivations
The rigorous foundation for the theory of finite-time stabilization was first presented by Bhat and Bernstein [1]. Sliding-Mode Control (SMC) is efficiently applied for the stabilization and controller design of various linear and nonlinear dynamical systems such as singular systems [2], robotic manipulators [3], nonholonomic mobile robots [4,5], fractional order systems [6], chaotic systems [7,8], under-actuated systems [9], etc. SMC is an influential control technique, which has access to the favorite responses in spite of the uncertainties and disturbances [10,11]. The important features of SMC technique are robustness against parametric uncertainties, superior transient performance, quick responses, insensitivity to the bounded external disturbances, and computational simplicity compared to other robust control techniques [12]. The process of SMC design is divided into two phases: (a) the sliding phase, and (b) the reaching phase. Firstly, in sliding phase, a switching curve is specified such that the controlled system displays favorable dynamic performance during the sliding mode [13]. Secondly, in reaching phase, a sliding control law is employed for the state trajectories of the controlled system to converge on the sliding curve. Due to the effect of the sliding curve on the stabilization and transient response of the controlled system, the design procedure of the switching curve is the most important subject in the sliding method [14]. SMC utilizes a discontinuous controller to drive the state trajectories to a predesigned switching surface on which the desired performance besides stability of the system can be obtained [15]. Young et al. [13] proposed SMC observer scheme with only the discontinuous term being fed back through a suitable gain. Generally, traditional SMC has some important problems, such as discontinuous control, which often yields chattering phenomenon [16].
Also, it is required in [14] that the external disturbance should be matched, that is, act in the channels of the inputs. To cope with these problems and attain higher accuracy, some new forms of SMC have been proposed. Moreover, SMC cannot guarantee the converging performance of the state trajectories to the origin in the finite time. To tackle the mentioned problem, the Terminal Sliding-Mode Control (TSMC) procedure has been suggested and performed in several control systems. TSMC technique proposes some excellent specifications such as rapid response and finite-time stability in comparison with linear SMC [17]. TSMC is principally suitable for high-precision stabilization and control as it precipitates the convergence rate near the origin [18]. However, when the state trajectories of the system are far away from the origin, TSMC cannot present appropriate convergence efficiency like SMC [19]. The Fast Terminal Sliding-Mode Control (FTSMC) idea guarantees fast transient convergence and strong robustness [20]. In the last decade, there has been more attention to the utilization of the mentioned technique for various control problems [21]. Nevertheless, it should be mentioned that the FTSMC technique still requires to be further considered in robustness performances to tackle the system disturbances.

1.2. Literature review

In most of the considered research, the boundaries of the perturbations are directly employed in the design of the TSMC law [22,23]. To estimate the disturbances, various design procedures based on the disturbance observer have been planned in the recent years [24]. In [25], SMC has been established for the control and stabilization of uncertain and nonlinear dynamical systems using the disturbance observers. In [26], a novel multiple-surface SMC is recommended for the uncertain nonlinear systems and a disturbance-observer-based approach is defined to estimate the mismatched uncertainties of the system. In [27], the design procedure of the adaptive finite reaching time controllers for the first- and second-order dynamical systems with perturbations is investigated, where the suggested controllers are continuous and retain robustness to the disturbances. The combination of FTSMC and Global Sliding-Mode (GSM) surface for the robust tracking control of nonlinear second-order systems with time-varying uncertainties is investigated in [28]. A recursive FTSMC technique for tracking control of nonholonomic systems in the chained form is proposed in [29], where the tracking errors are allowed to decay to the origin in the finite time with an exponential decay rate. A disturbance-observer-based recursive TSMC tracker is presented in [30] for the finite-time tracking control of third-order non-holonomic systems with unknown external disturbances. An adaptive FTSMC technique combined with GSM scheme is suggested in [31] for the tracking problem of uncertain nonlinear third-order systems. A Linear Matrix Inequalities (LMI)-based second-order FTSMC method is investigated in [32] for the tracking control of nonlinear uncertain systems with matched and mismatched uncertainties. However, the singularity problem is not fully resolved in [26-30]. In [33], the robust synchronization problem of disturbed chaotic systems is investigated, where, using an LMI-based disturbance observer, the boundedness conditions of disturbance errors are satisfied. In [22,34], the disturbance observers are applied to estimate the disturbances and some robust control approaches are considered using the outputs of the disturbance observers. To satisfy the approach of the approximation errors to the origin in the finite time, TSMC disturbance observer has been established in [20,35,36]. In [33,34], composite control design procedures of the disturbance-observer-based controller and TSMC are offered for the uncertain structural and nonlinear systems where the proposed disturbance observers are based on the regional pole placement and D-stability theories. In [37], by combining TSMC and second-order SMC approaches, a nonlinear robust control technique and a disturbance observer are designed for the longitudinal dynamics of hypersonic vehicles with uncertainties and disturbances, which can provide high-precision and fast convergence.

1.3. Contributions

To the best of our knowledge, there are two disadvantages of TSMC and FTSMC, which are the singularity problem and the requirement of the bounds of the disturbances. In the recent years, very little attention has been paid to both these problems, which is still open in the literature. In this study, based on the disturbance observer presented in [20], we apply a new Nonsingular Fast Terminal Sliding-Mode Control (NFTSMC) approach for the finite-time control of uncertain and nonlinear third-order systems with external disturbances. The singularity problem of FTSMC method is solved by the designed NFTSMC and the disturbance observer is developed to quickly force the disturbance approximation errors to converge to the origin in a finite time.

1.4. Paper organization

The structure of the paper is as follows: The formulation of the problem is described in Section 2. In Section 3, the proposed control mechanism and stability analysis are introduced. Simulation results of the application of the offered disturbance-observer-based NFTSMC method on an uncertain nonlinear third-order system and an uncertain chaotic system are obtained in Section 4. Finally, Section 5 draws the concluding remarks of this research.
2. Problem Description

Consider the uncertain nonlinear system as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= x_2, \\
\dot{x}_2 &= x_3, \\
\dot{x}_3 &= f(x, t) + \Delta f(x, t) + (b(x, t) + \Delta b(x, t))u + d_0(x, t), \\
y &= x_1,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( x = [x_1, x_2, x_3]^T \) is the state vector; \( u \) is the control signal; \( y \) is the system output; \( b(x, t) \) and \( f(x, t) \) are the bounded nonlinear functions; and \( \Delta b(x, t) \) and \( \Delta f(x, t) \) are the nonlinear functions representing the uncertainties and external disturbances. Furthermore, it is supposed that a positive constant value \( \delta \), which is the lower bound of \( b(x, t) \), is defined, that is, \( \delta = \inf\{b(x, t)\} \). Defining \( d(x, t) = \Delta f(x, t) + \Delta b(x, t)u + d_0(x, t) \), one can obtain System (1) as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= x_2, \\
\dot{x}_2 &= x_3, \\
\dot{x}_3 &= f(x, t) + b(x, t)u + d(x, t), \\
y &= x_1.
\end{align*}
\]

In order to examine the stabilization problem of the uncertain system, we can rewrite Dynamics (2) in the following form:

\[
\dot{x} = Ax + B \begin{bmatrix} f(x, t) + b(x, t)u + d(x, t) \end{bmatrix},
\]

where:

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

Now, based on the pole-placement procedure, a term \( \kappa x \) with \( \kappa = [\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \kappa_2] \) is considered, where \( \kappa_i \)'s are selected such that the deterministic equation \( S^3 + \kappa_2 S^2 + \kappa_1 S + \kappa_0 = 0 \) is stable system. Hence, the exponentially stable dynamics are achieved as:

\[
\dot{x} + \kappa_2 \dot{x} + \kappa_1 \dot{x} + \kappa_0 x = 0,
\]

which designates that \( x \) converges to zero. Consequently, System (3) is modified as:

\[
\dot{x} = (A-B\kappa)x + B \left( \kappa x + f(x, t) + b(x, t)u + d(x, t) \right),
\]

where the control input can be considered by the following transformation:

\[
u = b(x, t)^{-1} \{ \bar{v} - f(x, t) \},
\]

where \( \bar{v} \) is a new control input. The control signal (Eq. (6)) contains two portions: one portion is \( -b(x, t)^{-1} f(x, t) \), which is employed to remove the system nonlinearities, and the other portion is \( b(x, t)^{-1} \bar{v} \), which is used to weaken the impacts of the perturbations. Substituting Eq. (6) into Eq. (5) gives:

\[
\dot{x} = (A-B\kappa)x + B(\bar{v} + \tilde{H}),
\]

where \( \tilde{H} = \kappa x + d(x, t) \). The function \( d(x, t) \) is a continuous function and, hence, \( \tilde{H} \) is also continuous. Then, System (7) is completely controllable and can be controlled by several robust control procedures.

Remark 1. In the case that \( b(x, t) \) is not invertible, similar to the methods planned in control papers such as [38], one can use the term \( b(x, t)^{-1} = (b(x, t)^T b(x, t))^{-1} b(x, t)^T \) as the pseudo-inverse of \( b(x, t) \).

Lemma 1. Consider the candidate positive-definite functional \( V(t) \), which fulfills a differential inequality, as [19]:

\[
\dot{V}(t) \leq -\alpha V(t) - \beta V(t)^{\eta} \quad \forall t \geq t_0, \quad V(t_0) \geq 0,
\]

where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are two positive coefficients, and \( \eta \) is a fraction of two odd positive numbers with \( 1 > \eta > 0 \). As a result, for certain time, \( t_0 \), the above-mentioned function, \( V(t) \), approaches the origin in the finite time as [29]:

\[
t_s = t_0 + \frac{1}{\alpha(1-\eta)} \ln \left( \frac{\alpha V(t_0)^{1-\eta} + \beta}{\beta} \right).
\]

Proof. If two sides of Inequality (8) are divided to \( V^\eta(t) \), we obtain:

\[
\dot{V(t)}^{\eta} \dot{V(t)} \leq -\alpha V(t)^{1-\eta} - \beta,
\]

and thus:

\[
dt \leq \left( -\frac{\dot{V(t)}^{\eta}}{\alpha V(t)^{1-\eta} + \beta} \right) dV(t).
\]

Integrating two sides of Relation (11) from \( t_0 \) to \( t_s \) yields:

\[
t_s - t_0 \leq \int_{V(t_0)}^{V(t_s)} \left( \frac{V(t)^{-\eta}}{\alpha V(t)^{1-\eta} + \beta} \right) dV(t)
\]

\[
= -\frac{1}{\alpha(1-\eta)} \left[ \ln(\beta) - \ln \left( \alpha V(t_0)^{1-\eta} + \beta \right) \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\alpha(1-\eta)} \ln \left( \frac{\alpha V(t_0)^{1-\eta} + \beta}{\beta} \right),
\]

which completes the proof of the lemma.
3. Main results

The auxiliary variable of the sliding disturbance observer can be described as:

\[ s = z - x_3, \]  
(13)

with \( z \), which is specified by:

\[ \dot{z} = -ks - \beta \text{sgn}(s) - \varepsilon s^{p_0/q_0} - |f(x, t)|\text{sgn}(s) + b(x, t)u, \]
(14)

where \( q_0 \) and \( p_0 \) are odd positive integers with \( p_0 < q_0 \). The design coefficients \( k \), \( \beta \), and \( \varepsilon \) are some positive constants and the condition \( \beta \geq |d(x, t)| \) is obtained. The TSMC disturbance estimator \( \dot{d} \) is specified as:

\[ \dot{d} = -ks - \beta \text{sgn}(s) - \varepsilon s^{p_0/q_0} - |f(x, t)|\text{sgn}(s) - f(x, t). \]  
(15)

**Theorem 1.** Consider the disturbed third-order system (Eq. (1)) and TSMC disturbance observer given by Eqs. (13)-(15). Then, the disturbance approximation error of the suggested TSM disturbance observer converges to the origin in the finite time.

**Proof.** Considering Eqs. (1) and (14) and differentiating Eq. (13), one achieves:

\[ \dot{s} = \dot{z} - \dot{x}_3 = -ks - \beta \text{sgn}(s) - \varepsilon s^{p_0/q_0} - |f(x, t)|\text{sgn}(s) - f(x, t) - d(x, t). \]
(16)

Construct the candidate Lyapunov function as:

\[ V(s) = \frac{1}{2} s^2. \]  
(17)

The time derivative of \( V(s) \) is given by:

\[ \dot{V}(s) = s\dot{s} = s \left( -ks - \beta \text{sgn}(s) - \varepsilon s^{p_0/q_0} - |f(x, t)|\text{sgn}(s) - f(x, t) - d(x, t) \right) \]

\[ \leq -k s^2 - \varepsilon s^{p_0/q_0} \]

\[ -|f(x, t)||s| - f(x, t)||s| - s f(x, t) \]

\[ \leq -k s^2 - \varepsilon s^{p_0/q_0} \]

\[ -2k V(s) - 2s^{p_0/q_0}/2, \]

\[ -2k V(s) - 2s^{p_0/q_0}/2, \]

\[ -2k V(s) - 2s^{p_0/q_0}/2, \]

where based on Lemma 1 and Eq. (18), one obtains that the auxiliary variable \( s \) can converge to the origin in the finite time. Consequently, the error \( \dot{d} \) is obtained using Eqs. (1), (13), and (15) as:

\[ \dot{d} = \dot{d} - d(x, t) = -ks - \beta \text{sgn}(s) - \varepsilon s^{p_0/q_0} \]

\[ -|f(x, t)|\text{sgn}(s) - f(x, t) - d(x, t) = -ks \]

\[ -\beta \text{sgn}(s) - \varepsilon s^{p_0/q_0} - |f(x)|\text{sgn}(s) - x_3 \]

\[ + b(x, t)u = \dot{z} - \dot{x}_3 = \dot{s}. \]
(19)

It clarifies that according to the finite-time convergence of the switching surface, \( s \), to the origin, the approximation error, \( d \), reaches zero in the finite time. \( \square \)

In order to develop FTSMC stabilizer using the disturbance observer, the following switching surface is proposed:

\[ \sigma = \dot{s} + \lambda s + \mu s^\eta, \]  
(20)

where \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \) are two positive coefficients and \( \eta \) is a fraction of two odd positive integer numbers with \( 1 > \eta > \frac{1}{2} \).

In order to assure that FTSMC curve approaches zero in the finite time and system states quickly converge to zero, the following theorem is proposed.

**Theorem 2.** The uncertain third-order system (Eq. (2)) is considered. If the control law is employed as:

\[ \dot{u} = b(x, t)^{-1} \left( k \text{sgn}(\sigma)|\sigma|^\eta + \gamma \sigma + (\lambda + \mu \eta s^\eta) \dot{s} \right. \]

\[ + s - f(x, t) - b(x, t)u + \dot{\bar{y}} - \dot{\bar{d}} \right), \]  
(21)

where \( \gamma \) and \( \kappa \) are two arbitrary positive coefficients, then the trajectories of Eq. (2) are enforced to move to the switching curve (Eq. (13)) in the finite time and to stay on it.

**Proof.** The candidate Lyapunov function is given as:

\[ V(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^T \sigma. \]  
(22)

From Eqs. (2), (13), and (20), the derivative of \( \sigma \) can be obtained as:

\[ \dot{\sigma} = \dot{s} + \lambda s + \mu \eta s^{\eta-1} \dot{s} = (\lambda + \mu \eta s^{\eta-1}) \dot{s} + \ddot{s} - f(x, t) \]

\[ - b(x, t)u - b(x, t)\dot{u} - d(x, t). \]  
(23)

Differentiating \( V(\sigma) \) and using Eq. (23), one can find:

\[ \dot{V}(\sigma) = \sigma^T \dot{\sigma} = \sigma^T \left( (\lambda + \mu \eta s^{\eta-1}) \dot{s} + \ddot{s} - f(x, t) \right. \]

\[ - b(x, t)u - b(x, t)\dot{u} + \dot{s} - \dot{\bar{d}} \]  
(24)

where, substituting Eq. (21) in Eq. (24), we obtain:
\[ V(\sigma) = -\sigma^T \text{sgn}(\sigma) |\sigma|^\alpha - \sigma^T \gamma \sigma \]
\[ = -\gamma |\sigma|^\alpha - k |\sigma|^\alpha+1 \]
\[ = -\alpha V(\sigma) - \beta V^\alpha(\sigma). \]  
(25)

where \( \tilde{\eta} = (\eta + 1)/2 < 1, \alpha = 2\gamma > 0 \) and \( \beta = 2^\alpha \kappa > 0 \). Then, the Lyapunov function (Eq. (22)) converges gradually to zero and the switching curve approaches zero in the finite time. \( \square \)

Now, considering the FTSMC law demonstrated in Eq. (21), the term \( \mu \eta s^{-\tilde{\eta}} \hat{s} \) may lead to a singularity problem if \( s \neq 0 \) when \( s = 0 \) due to the negative power of \( s \). Thus, the FTSMC cannot satisfy a bounded control action if \( \hat{s} \neq 0 \) when \( s = 0 \). Then, the NFTSMC is suggested to overcome the singularity phenomenon of the FTSMC.

Define the NFTSMC stabilizer based on the disturbance observer as follows:
\[ \sigma = s + \frac{1}{\mu} (s + \lambda s)^{\tilde{\eta}}. \]  
(26)

In order to dominate the singularity phenomenon of the FTSMC and guarantee the finite-time convergence of the state trajectories to zero, the following theorem is proposed.

**Theorem 3.** The uncertain and nonlinear third-order system (Eq. (2)) is considered. Applying the NFTSMC law:
\[ \dot{\hat{s}} = b(x, t)^{-1} \left( \mu \eta (s + \lambda s)^{-\tilde{\eta}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \right)^\alpha + \gamma \sigma \]
\[ + \tilde{\xi} - f(x, t) - \tilde{b}(x, t)u + \lambda \hat{s} + \hat{s} - \tilde{d} \]  
(27)

with some positive coefficients \( \gamma \) and \( \kappa \), the states of Eq. (2) are forced to move from the initial conditions to the switching curve (Eq. (13)) in the finite time and to stay on it.

**Proof.** The Lyapunov function is considered as:
\[ V(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^T \sigma. \]  
(28)

From Eqs. (2), (13), and (26), the derivative of \( \sigma \) can be found as:
\[ \dot{\sigma} = \dot{s} + \frac{1}{\mu} \eta (s + \lambda s)^{-\tilde{\eta}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) |\sigma|^\alpha + \gamma \sigma \]
\[ + \frac{1}{\mu} \eta \left( \tilde{\xi} - f(x, t) - \tilde{b}(x, t)u - b(x, t)\hat{s} \right) \]
\[ - \tilde{d}(x, t) + \lambda \hat{s} \]  
\[ = \dot{s} + \frac{1}{\mu} \eta (s + \lambda s)^{-\tilde{\eta}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) |\sigma|^\alpha + \gamma \sigma \]
\[ + \frac{1}{\mu} \eta \left( \tilde{\xi} - f(x, t) - \tilde{b}(x, t)u - b(x, t)\hat{s} \right) \]
\[ - \tilde{d}(x, t) + \lambda \hat{s} \]  
(29)

Differentiating \( V(\sigma) \) and using Eq. (29), we obtain:
\[ \dot{V}(\sigma) = \sigma^T \dot{\sigma} = \sigma^T \left( \dot{s} + \frac{1}{\mu} \eta (s + \lambda s)^{-\tilde{\eta}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) |\sigma|^\alpha + \gamma \sigma \right) \]
\[ - \dot{b}(x, t)\hat{s} - \lambda \hat{s} + \frac{1}{\mu} \eta \left( \tilde{\xi} - f(x, t) - \tilde{b}(x, t)u - b(x, t)\hat{s} \right) \]
\[ - \tilde{d}(x, t) + \lambda \hat{s} \]  
\[ = \frac{1}{\mu} \eta \left( \frac{1}{\mu} \eta \right) \text{sgn}(\sigma) |\sigma|^\alpha + \gamma \sigma \]
\[ - \dot{b}(x, t)\hat{s} - \lambda \hat{s} + \frac{1}{\mu} \eta \left( \tilde{\xi} - f(x, t) - \tilde{b}(x, t)u - b(x, t)\hat{s} \right) \]
\[ - \tilde{d}(x, t) + \lambda \hat{s} \]  
(30)

where, substituting Eq. (27) in Eq. (30), one can achieve:
\[ \dot{V}(\sigma) = -\sigma^T \text{sgn}(\sigma) |\sigma|^\alpha - \sigma^T \gamma \sigma = -\gamma |\sigma|^\alpha - k |\sigma|^\alpha+1 \]
\[ = -\alpha V(\sigma) - \beta V^\alpha(\sigma), \]  
(31)

where \( \tilde{\eta} = (\eta + 1)/2 < 1, \alpha = 2\gamma > 0 \) and \( \beta = 2^\alpha \kappa > 0 \). Thus, the NFTSMC surface converges to the origin in the finite time and the states of the system quickly converge to zero. \( \square \)

Since the discontinuous switching function \( \text{sgn}(\cdot) \) shown in Eqs. (21) and (27) can result in chattering problem, undesired responses can occur in the nonlinear third-order system. To avoid this problem, the function \( \text{sgn}(\cdot) \) can be replaced by the following continuous saturation function:
\[ \text{sat}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \text{sgn}(\sigma), & |\sigma| > \Phi \\ \frac{\sigma}{\Phi}, & |\sigma| \leq \Phi \end{cases} \]  
(32)

where \( \Phi \) is the boundary-layer thickness. Furthermore, although the existence of the proposed NFTSMC can be guaranteed outside \( \Phi \), it cannot be satisfied inside \( \Phi \). In the worst situation, the state trajectories of the system would only reach \( \Phi \). This will considerably influence the steady-state characteristics of the system.

**Remark 2.** From Eq. (31), the derivative of the Lyapunov function is negative semi-definite and guarantees that \( V(\sigma) \) and \( \sigma \) are bounded. It is deduced from Eq. (20) that \( s \) and \( \hat{s} \) are two bounded functions. Since \( V(0) \) is a bounded scalar and \( V(\sigma) \) is non-increasing, it can be concluded that \( \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_0^t |\sigma| dt \) and \( \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_0^t |\sigma| dt \) are also bounded. Thus, according to Barbalat’s lemma and based on the boundedness of \( \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_0^t |\sigma| dt \) and \( \dot{s} \), the auxiliary variable \( s \) converges asymptotically to zero, that is, \( \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_0^t s dt = 0 \).

**Remark 3.** In the situations that some of the variables such as:
\[ \tilde{\xi}, \hat{s}, \tilde{d}, \text{ and } f(x, t) \]
are not measurable, one can employ the delayed-feedback control method to model these variables. Specially, the delay term in the form of Euler approximation of the derivative function can be applied for the derivatives of the variables [31]. The variable \( \tilde{\xi} \) is replaced by a delay function, \( \tilde{\xi} = \frac{1}{h} [\tilde{\xi}(t) - \tilde{\xi}(t - h)] \), if the delay \( h > 0 \) is sufficiently small.
4. Simulation results

Example 1. Consider the following uncertain non-linear third-order system [39]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= x_2, \\
\dot{x}_2 &= x_3, \\
\dot{x}_3 &= -3x_3 - 4x_2 - 2x_1 + x_1x_2 + \Delta f(x, t) + u + d(x, t),
\end{align*}
\]

(33)

where \( \Delta f(x, t) = 0.39 \sin(x_1x_2 + x_3 \sqrt{t}) \) and \( d(x, t) = 0.6 \sin(10t) \). The initial conditions are chosen as: \( x(0) = [-1 \ 1.5 \ 1]^T \). The constant parameters are chosen as: \( k = 2, \beta = 1, \kappa = 1, \lambda = 10, \mu = 2, \gamma = 3, \varepsilon = 1, \rho_0 = 3, q_0 = 5, \) and \( \eta = \frac{3}{5} \). The trajectories of the states \( x_1, x_2, \) and \( x_3 \) are demonstrated in Figure 1. The time response of the control signal is demonstrated in Figure 2. It is shown that the suggested method can obtain superior performance and high robustness and is capable to control the parametric uncertainties and system nonlinearities. The time responses of the auxiliary variable \( s \) and the NFTSMC surface \( \sigma \) are demonstrated in Figure 3. Clearly, in can be seen that these sliding curves approach zero quickly. These numerical simulations approve the proposed technique.

![Figure 1. State trajectories](image1)

![Figure 2. Control input u.](image2)

![Figure 3. Sliding surfaces s and \( \sigma \).](image3)

![Figure 4. State trajectories.](image4)

Example 2. Consider the Lur’e-like chaotic system with an additive control input defined by [40]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= x_2, \\
\dot{x}_2 &= x_3, \\
\dot{x}_3 &= -6.8x_1 - 3.9x_2 - x_3 + 12X(x_1) - 0.8 \cos(5t) + u,
\end{align*}
\]

(34)

where:

\[
X(x_1) = \begin{cases} 
  P_{x_1} & \text{if } |x_1| < \frac{1}{P} \\
  \operatorname{sgn}(x_1) & \text{if } |x_1| \geq \frac{1}{P}
\end{cases}
\]

(35)

System (34) represents a chaotic behavior for \( P = 1.5 \). This chaotic system is numerically simulated using the offered control law with the following initial parameters and initial conditions:

\[
\begin{align*}
  k &= 2, \quad \beta = 1.5, \quad \kappa = 2, \quad \lambda = 8, \quad \mu = 2, \quad \varepsilon = 1, \\
  \rho_0 &= 3, \quad q_0 = 5, \quad \eta = \frac{3}{5}, \quad x(0) = [0.5 \ 1 \ 1]^T.
\end{align*}
\]

The tracking trajectories of states \( x_1, x_2, \) and \( x_3 \) are shown in Figure 4. It is demonstrated in these figures that all of the states are stabilized. The dynamic
control input, \( u \), is demonstrated in Figure 5. The time responses of \( s \) and \( \sigma \) are shown in Figure 6. Obviously, it can be found that the switching surface and NFTSMC surface converge to the origin quickly. Therefore, the uncertain chaotic system is stabilized by applying the planned controller.

5. Conclusions

A new disturbance-observer-based finite-time stabilizer for the uncertain and nonlinear third-order systems is considered in this work. A novel reaching law is suggested to assure the presence of the switching behavior around the designed NFTSMC curve in the finite time. Moreover, in order to dominate the singularity phenomenon of FTSMC, a nonsingular control approach is proposed. Intensive numerical simulation results are displayed to confirm the effectiveness of the suggested technique and acceptable results are obtained. It is pointed out that the suggested approach can be employed for the tracking control and stabilization of higher-order uncertain and nonlinear dynamical systems.
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