Natural circulation in vertical porous annular enclosure with heat generation
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Abstract. Natural convection flow is considered in an annular enclosure filled with a fluid-saturated porous medium. The top and bottom horizontal walls are insulated, while the outer vertical wall is cooled with a constant temperature, and a linear temperature gradient is applied to the inner vertical wall. Dimensionless equations are solved numerically using the Finite Volume Method (FVM) on a collocated non-uniform, orthogonal grid. The Darcy-Forchheimer model is used to simulate the momentum transfer in the porous medium. The effect of Rayleigh, Darcy, Prandtl, solid-fluid Nusselt, heat generation parameters and effective conductivity ratio of phases on the streamlines and isotherms are presented, as well as on the rate of heat transfer from the inner and outer vertical walls of the enclosure. It is concluded that at low values of the effective conductivity ratio of phases, only the heat generation parameter has a significant effect on the temperature field of the solid phase and the heat transfer from inner and outer vertical walls. An analytical solution is obtained for this case and compared with the numerical solution. The results show good agreement with each other.
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1. Introduction

Porous media and the corresponding heat transfer phenomena have attracted considerable attention, due to their relevance to a wide variety of applications in engineering and science, such as underground heat exchangers for energy saving, oil extraction, solar collectors, nuclear reactors, cooling electronic devices, thermal insulations, geothermal energy, etc. These applications are discussed and reviewed by Nield and Bejan [1], Ingham and Pop [2-4], Vafai [5] and Ingham et al. [6].

As the result of thermal boundary conditions and internal heat generation, natural circulation can be developed in a porous environment. In this context, buoyancy driven phenomena in porous media are actively under investigation. Mezey and Merkin [7] investigated steady convective flow within a porous square region with heat generation, and two constant temperature vertical walls and two adiabatic horizontal walls. They concluded that natural convection can occur in a limited range of Ra number and volumetric heat power. Tahmasebi et al. [8] considered a similar problem and investigated the effects of Ra number and volumetric heat power on the strength of vortices and maximum temperature in a porous cavity. Natural convection in enclosures partially filled with a heat-generating porous medium is numerically solved by Du and Bilge [9], and Kim et al. [10]. Kim and Hyun [11] investigated buoyant convection in a rectangular cavity filled with heat-generating porous medium. They used a power-law non-Newtonian fluid in an enclosure and concluded that, as the enclosure aspect ratio increases or the power-law model increases, the flow
enters the boundary-layer regime at higher Reynolds number. Rao and Wang [12] and Haajizadeh et al. [13] considered a uniform heat generation term across an enclosure with isothermal vertical walls and adiabatic horizontal walls. Stewart et al. [14] modeled the case where the lower half of a rectangular container was adiabatic and the upper half was isothermal. Joshi et al. [15] presented an analytic solution for small Rayleigh number for a finite container with isothermal walls, again, for uniform heat generation within the porous medium. Boundary-layer (large Rayleigh) flows in porous media with, also, internal heat generation, is treated by Magyari et al. [16,17].

Very few investigations have been made in the past to focus on natural convection in porous medium with heat generation. In the present work, the steady free convective flow within a porous annular enclosure with heat generation is investigated, where horizontal walls are insulated, while the outer wall is cooled with constant temperature, $\theta_0$, and the inner vertical wall is set at constant temperature, $\theta_i$, at the upper end, linearly decreasing to $\theta_0$ at the lower end. The Darcy-Forchheimer model is used to simulate the momentum transfer in the porous medium. This model was developed by Vafai and Tien [18] in order to bridge the gaps between Darcy and Navier-Stokes equations. It is known that Darcy’s law is an empirical formula relating to the pressure gradient, the gravitational force and the bulk viscous resistance in porous media. Thus, the mathematical formulations based on Darcy’s law neglect the effects of a solid boundary or inertia forces on fluid flow and heat transfer through porous media. In general, the inertia and boundary effects become significant when the fluid velocity is high, the heat transfer is considered in the near wall region [19], and the Darcy-Forchheimer model describes the effect of inertia as well as viscous forces in porous media. In addition, in the present work, the impact of six non-dimensional parameters, including Rayleigh, Darcy, Prandtl, solid-fluid Nusselt, heat generation parameters and the effective conductivity ratio of phases, on the streamlines and isotherms are investigated, as well as on the rate of heat transfer from the inner and outer vertical walls of the enclosure.

The numerical calculations were performed using the THERMOUS code, which is an in-house developing software for thermal-hydraulic modeling of multi-phase flow in porous media.

2. Problem formulation

Consider an annular enclosure filled with a porous material, which generates heat. The geometry of the problem, together with the coordinate system is illustrated in Figure 1. The physical properties are assumed constant, except the density in the buoyancy force term, which is satisfied by the Boussinesq approximation. Regarding the axisymmetric configuration of the problem, governing mass and momentum conservation equations for the fluid phase can be written as:

$$
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial ru}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0,
$$

$$
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial ru}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} + v \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) - \frac{u}{r^2} \right) - \left( \frac{v}{K} + \frac{c_F}{\sqrt{K}} \frac{u}{r} + v^2 \right) u,
$$

$$
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial rv}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial vv}{\partial y} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} + v \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) \right) - \left( \frac{v}{K} + \frac{c_F}{\sqrt{K}} \frac{u^2 + v^2}{r^2} \right) v + g \beta (\theta_f - \theta_0),
$$

Due to heat generation in the solid phase, its temperature can differ from the liquid phase. Thus, with local thermal non-equilibrium assumption, the energy equation for the liquid phase is:

$$
\frac{1}{\alpha_f} \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial r f}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \theta_f}{\partial y} \right)
= \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} \right) \right)
+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon k_f} h_{sf,ef} (\theta_s - \theta_f),
$$
for the solid phase is:
\[
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial T_s}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial T_s}{\partial y} \right) = \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon)k_s} h_{sf,eff}(\theta_s - \theta_f) + \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon)k_s} q''_{s} = 0.
\]
(5)

Boundary conditions are:
\[
u = v = 0 \quad \text{for all boundaries},
\]
\[
\theta_f = \theta_s = \theta_0 \quad \text{for} \quad r = r_0,
\]
\[
\theta_f = \theta_s = \theta_w = (\theta_s - \theta_0) \frac{y}{\delta} + \theta_0 \quad \text{for} \quad r = r_i,
\]
\[
\varepsilon k_f \frac{\partial \theta_f}{\partial r} + (1-\varepsilon)k_s \frac{\partial \theta_s}{\partial r} = 0; \quad \theta_s = \theta_f
\]
for \( y = y_0 \) and \( y = \delta \).

Using the following change of variables:
\[
\delta = r_i - r_0, \quad R = \frac{r}{\delta}, \quad Y = \frac{y}{\delta},
\]
\[
U = \frac{u\delta}{\alpha_f}, \quad V = \frac{v\delta}{\alpha_f}, \quad T_f = \frac{\theta_f - \theta_0}{\theta_i - \theta_0},
\]
\[
T_s = \frac{\theta_s - \theta_0}{\theta_i - \theta_0}, \quad \gamma_s = \frac{q''_{s}k^2}{(1-\varepsilon)k_s(\theta_i - \theta_0)},
\]
\[
P = \frac{\rho k^2}{\rho_f \alpha_f}, \quad \text{Pr} = \frac{\nu}{\alpha_f}, \quad \text{Da} = \frac{K}{\delta^2},
\]
\[
Ra = \frac{g 3\nu^3 (\theta_i - \theta_0) Pr^2}{\nu^4}, \quad \text{Nu}_{sf} = \frac{h_{sf,eff} \delta^2}{\varepsilon k_f},
\]
\[
\lambda = \frac{\varepsilon k_f}{(1-\varepsilon)K_s},
\]
(7)

The governing (Eqs. (1)-(5)) reduce to non-dimensional form:
\[
\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial U}{\partial R} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} = 0,
\]
(8)
\[
\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial RU}{\partial R} + \frac{\partial VU}{\partial Y} = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial R} + \text{Pr} \left( \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial U}{\partial R} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} \right) - \frac{U}{R^2} \right)
\]
\[
- \left( \frac{\text{Pr}}{\text{Da}} + \frac{c_F}{\sqrt{\text{Da}}} \sqrt{U^2 + V^2} \right) U,
\]
(9)
\[
\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial RV}{\partial R} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial Y} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial U}{\partial R} \left( \frac{R \frac{\partial U}{\partial R}}{\frac{\partial U}{\partial Y}} \right)
+ \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} \right) - \frac{U}{R^2} \right)
\]
\[
- \left( \frac{\text{Pr}}{\text{Da}} + \frac{c_F}{\sqrt{\text{Da}}} \sqrt{U^2 + V^2} \right) V + \text{Ra} T_f, \quad (10)
\]
\[
\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial U}{\partial R} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} = \left( \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial T_f}{\partial R} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \left( \frac{\partial T_f}{\partial Y} \right)
+ \text{Nu}_{sf} (T_s - T_f), \quad (11)
\]
\[
\left( \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial T_s}{\partial R} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \left( \frac{\partial T_s}{\partial Y} \right)
- \lambda \text{Nu}_{sf} (T_s - T_f) + \gamma_s = 0. \quad (12)
\]

with the boundary conditions:
\[
U = V = 0 \quad \text{for all boundaries},
\]
\[
T_f = T_s = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad R = R_i,
\]
\[
T_f = T_s = T_w = Y \quad \text{for} \quad R = R_i,
\]
\[
\frac{\partial T_s}{\partial Y} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial T_s}{\partial Y} = 0; \quad T_s = T_f \quad \text{for} \quad Y = 0 \text{and} \quad Y = 1. \quad (13)
\]

Alazmi and Vafai [20] reviewed a summary of different models for constant wall heat flux. For insulated walls, it is assumed that heat flux is divided between the two phases on the basis of their effective thermal conductivities and their corresponding temperature gradients.

In Eqs. (11) and (12), \text{Nu}_{sf} is the local volumetric solid-fluid Nusselt number. Numerous correlations are presented in the literatures for \text{Nu}_{sf}. For example, in the case of saturated water, \text{Nu}_{sf} could be defined as [21]:
\[
\text{Nu}_{sf} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon k_f^2 \text{Da}} \left[ (7 - 10\varepsilon + 5\varepsilon^2) (1 + 0.7 \text{Re}^{0.333}) \right. 
+ \left. (1.33 + 2.4\varepsilon + 1.2\varepsilon^2) \text{Re}^{0.7} \text{Pr}^{0.333} \right], (14)
\]

where the Reynolds number is defined as follows:
\[
\text{Re} = \frac{c_F \sqrt{\text{Da}} \sqrt{U^2 + V^2}}{\text{Pr}}. \quad (15)
\]

However, we are interested in investigating the impact of this parameter on flow and heat transfer in an annular enclosure and consider it a general parameter.
3. Numerical solution procedure

The non-dimensional partial differential (Eqs. (8)-(12)), together with the associated boundary conditions, are discretized using the finite volume method on a collocated non-uniform, orthogonal grid. The convective terms of equations are discretized using the QUICK scheme, diffusion and pressure terms and the central difference scheme. The pressure and velocity of Eqs. (8)-(10) are coupled using the SIMPLEC algorithm [22]. The resulting sparse linear systems of equations are solved using the bi-conjugate gradient stabilized method.

When the residuals of mass, momentum and energy equations become less than 1.0E-8, the convergence criteria is satisfied. The difference in maximum annulus temperature is found for grid sizes 50 x 50 and 101 x 101, to be only 0.5 percent when Pr = 0.5, Da = 1.0E - 6, Ra = 1.0E + 6, ε = 0.4, λ = 1.0, \( \frac{L}{h} = 0.1 \), \( \gamma_s = 20.0 \). Therefore, subsequent results are obtained with mesh size 101 x 101.

Meantime, the developed program has been validated by comparison with various published numerical works. The results for single phase flow with no porous media in a square cavity and axisymmetric cylindrical geometry were compared with [23-25] for different Reynolds and Rayleigh numbers, and agreed very well. Moreover, natural convection in a square cavity filled with a porous medium was investigated and the results compared with [26], which uses the finite element method on a 41 x 41 grid point. The results agree very well. For example, in the case of Pr = 0.71, Da = 1.0E - 4, Ra = 1.0E + 6 with a grid size 13 x 13, the average Nu number was obtained at the bottom wall 7.05, whose difference with the reference value is 1.6 percent. Mohammad [27] investigated non-equilibrium natural convection in a differentially heated cavity filled with a saturated porous matrix, and the difference between our results and this reference is very small. For example, in the case of Pr = 10, Da = 1.0E - 5, Ra = 1.0E + 8 and \( \frac{L}{h} = 0.01 \), the average Nu number was computed 1.0066, which differs only 0.3 percent with the reference value. In addition, validation of the numerical code in annular geometry filled with porous material was performed, and the results for temperature distribution were compared with [28], and the agreement was good. Meantime, the difference between average Nusselt numbers did not exceed 1.5 percent.

In the present work, the effects of Rayleigh number (Ra = 1.0E + 3 - 1.0E + 9), Darcy number (Da = 1.0E - 8 - 1.0E - 6), Prandtl number (Pr = 0.71 - 5.0), local volumetric solid-fluid Nusselt number (Nu_s,f = 1.0E - 3 - 1.0E + 5), effective conductivity ratio of phases (\( \lambda = 1.0E - 2 - 1.0E + 1 \)) and heat generation parameter (\( \gamma_s = 0.0 - 20.0 \)) are investigated, while other dimensionless parameters, including \( \varepsilon = 0.4 \), and \( \frac{L}{h} = 0.1 \), are kept constant.

4. Evaluation of stream function and Nusselt numbers

4.1. Stream function

In the present work, stream function is used to display fluid motion. The relationships between stream function, \( \psi \), and velocity components are as follows [29]:

\[
U = \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta}, \quad V = -\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \phi}.
\]

From this definition, it is possible to obtain a single equation for the stream function:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \phi^2} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial \phi} - \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta}.
\]

In Eq. (17), the positive sign of \( \psi \) denotes anticlockwise circulation and the negative sign of \( \psi \) denotes clockwise circulation. Due to the no slip boundary condition, the value of \( \psi \) is set zero for all boundaries.

4.2. Nusselt numbers

The heat transfer from the inner and outer walls of an annular enclosure in terms of local Nusselt numbers for fluid and solid phases, can be obtained as follows:

\[
\text{Nu}_{\text{wf}} = -\frac{\partial T_f}{\partial R}, \quad \text{Nu}_{\text{ws}} = -\frac{\partial T_s}{\partial R},
\]

\[
\text{Nu}_{\text{wf}} = \frac{h_{\text{wf}} \delta}{\varepsilon k_f}, \quad \text{Nu}_{\text{ws}} = \frac{h_{\text{ws}} \delta}{(1 - \varepsilon)k_s}.
\]

Moreover, total heat transfer from the inner and outer walls in terms of local Nusselt number can be obtained as follows:

\[
\text{Nu}_{\text{w}} = \text{Nu}_{\text{wf}} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \text{Nu}_{\text{ws}}.
\]

The average Nusselt numbers at inner and outer walls are defined by:

\[
\overline{\text{Nu}_{\text{w}}} = \frac{1}{\Delta \theta} \int_{0}^{\theta} \text{Nu}_{\text{w}} d\theta.
\]

5. Numerical experiments

5.1. Effect of solid-fluid Nusselt number (\( \text{Nu}_{\text{sf}} \))

Figures 2 and 3 show the effect of local volumetric solid-fluid Nusselt number on fluid flow and temperature fields for \( \text{Nu}_{\text{sf}} = 1.0E - 3 \) and \( 1.0E + 5 \), respectively, while Da = 1.0E - 3, Ra = 1.0E + 6, \( \gamma_s = 5.0 \), \( \lambda = 0.01 \) and Pr = 5.0.
Figure 2. (a) Streamlines, (b) fluid phase isotherms, and (c) solid phase isotherms for Da = 1.0E − 3, Ra = 1.0E + 6, \( \gamma_s = 5.0 \), \( \lambda = 0.01 \), Pr = 5.0, and Nu\(_{sf} \) = 1.0E − 3.

Figure 3. (a) Streamlines, (b) fluid phase isotherms and (c) solid phase isotherms for Da = 1.0E − 3, Ra = 1.0E + 6, \( \gamma_s = 5.0 \), \( \lambda = 0.01 \), Pr = 5.0, and Nu\(_{sf} \) = 1.0E + 5.

Figures 2(a) and 3(a) illustrate the streamlines of fluid flow. Increasing the local volumetric solid-fluid Nusselt number creates a secondary cell in the left bottom corner of the porous annular enclosure and develops until it fills the left side completely and increases the strength of vortices. Indeed, increasing the Nu\(_{sf} \) number increases the heat transfer to the fluid phase, which results in an increase of fluid temperature difference in the annular enclosure and the buoyancy effect.

Figures 2(b) and 3(b) show temperature distribution in the fluid phase. As expected, an increase in Nu\(_{sf} \) decreases the convective resistance between two phases and, thus, the temperature difference between the two phases is decreased.

Figures 2(c) and 3(c) illustrate temperature distribution in the solid phase, and it can be seen that Nu\(_{sf} \) variation has no significant influence on solid phase temperature. This is due to the low ratio of effective conductivities of phases (\( \lambda = 0.01 \)). Indeed, because of the low conductive resistance of the solid phase compared with fluid phase, most of the generated heat current passes through the solid phase, and the fluid temperature cannot affect solid temperature.

Figure 4 shows the variations of the Nu\(_{sw} \) and Nu\(_{sf} \) along the inner and outer walls for different values of Nu\(_{sf} \). Variation of Nu\(_{sf} \) has no significant effect on the value of Nu\(_{sw} \) and this is because of the low conductive resistance of the solid phase compared with the fluid phase. However, the variation of Nu\(_{sf} \) has a significant effect on the value of Nu\(_{sw} \), and, for high value of Nu\(_{sf} \) (Nu\(_{sf} \) = 1.0E+5), the value of Nu\(_{sw} \) will be equal. Also, the value of average wall Nusselt number (\( \text{Nu}_{sw} \)) indicates that with an increase in Nu\(_{sf} \), heat transfer from the inner and outer walls is slightly increased.

5.2. Effect of heat generation (\( \gamma_s \))

Figures 2, 5 and 6 show the effect of the heat generation parameter on fluid flow and temperature fields for
Figure 5. (a) Streamlines, (b) fluid phase isotherms, and (c) solid phase isotherms for Da = 1.0E−3, Ra = 1.0E + 6, γ_s = 0.0, λ = 0.01, Pr = 5.0 and Nu_f = 1.0E−3.

Figure 6. (a) Streamlines, (b) fluid phase isotherms, and (c) solid phase isotherms for Da = 1.0E−3, Ra = 1.0E + 6, γ_s = 20.0, λ = 0.01, Pr = 5.0 and Nu_f = 1.0E−3.

γ_s = 5.0, 0.0 and 20.0, respectively, while Da = 1.0E−3, Ra = 1.0E + 6, λ = 0.01, Pr = 5.0 and Nu_f = 1.0E−3. Figure 5 shows that in the absence of a heat generation parameter, i.e. γ_s = 0.0, flow and temperature fields are affected by boundary conditions. However, by increasing the heat generation parameter (Figures 2(a) and 6(a)), the strength of vortices increases and a secondary cell is created in the left bottom corner of the porous annular enclosure and develops until fills the left side completely. In Figures 2(b), 2(c), 5(b), 5(c) and 6(b), 6(c), the fluid and solid isotherms show that by increasing the heat generation, the temperature of both phases and the temperature difference between two phases increases. Comparing Figures 2, 5 and 6 indicates that by increasing γ_s, the effect of thermal boundary conditions on flow and temperature fields decreases. Figure 7 shows variation of Nu_ws and Nu_wf along the inner and outer walls. The value of γ_s has a significant effect on Nu_ws and Nu_wf. Also, the value of average wall Nusselt number (Nu_avg) indicates that, with an increase in γ_s, heat transfer from the inner and outer walls is increased.

5.3. Effect of effective conductivity ratio (λ)

Figures 2 and 8 show the effect of heat generation parameter on fluid flow and temperature fields for}

\[ \lambda = 0.01 \text{ and } 10.0, \text{ respectively, and for } Da = 1.0E−3, Ra = 1.0E + 6, \gamma_s = 5.0, Pr = 5.0 \text{ and } Nu_f = 1.0E−3. \]

Comparing Figures 2(a) and 8(a) show that by increasing the effective conductivity ratio, the secondary cells in the left bottom corner of the porous annular enclosure vanish and the strength of the vortices decreases. Figures 2(b) and 8(b) explain the reason for these phenomena. Due to high effective conductivity or, in another words, the low conductivity resistance
Figure 8. (a) Streamlines, (b) fluid phase isotherms, and (c) solid phase isotherms for $Da = 1.0E \pm 3$, $Ra = 1.0E + 6$, $\gamma_s = 5.0$, $\lambda = 10.0$, $Pr = 5.0$ and $Nu_{sf} = 1.0E - 3$.

Figure 9. Effect of effective conductivity ratio on heat transfer from inner and outer wall of annular enclosure while $Da = 1.0E - 3$, $Ra = 1.0E + 6$, $\gamma_s = 5.0$, $Pr = 5.0$ and $Nu_{sf} = 1.0E - 3$.

Figure 10. (a) Streamlines, (b) fluid phase isotherms, and (c) solid phase isotherms for $Da = 1.0E - 8$, $Ra = 1.0E + 6$, $\gamma_s = 5.0$, $\lambda = 0.01$, $Pr = 5.0$ and $Nu_{sf} = 1.0E - 3$.

of the fluid phase compared with the solid phase, the effect of the thermal boundary condition on the fluid phase increases and the temperature gradient and, thus, the buoyancy force in the fluid phase decreases, which causes the strength of the vortices to decrease.

Figures 2(c) and 8(c) show the solid temperature distribution. It is noticed that by increasing $\lambda$, due to a reduction in fluid temperature, the solid temperature in the center of the annular enclosure decreases. Figure 9 indicates that increasing $\lambda$ changes the direction of the heat transfer from the inner wall and decreases the average Nusselt number of the outer wall. Note that although the value of $Nu_{w}$ on the outer wall decreases, increasing $\lambda$ increases heat transfer from the outer wall.

5.4. Effect of Darcy number ($Da$)
Figures 2 and 10 show the effect of Darcy number for $Da = 1.0E - 3$ and $1.0E - 8$, respectively, while $Ra = 1.0E + 6$, $\gamma_s = 5.0$, $\lambda = 0.01$, $Pr = 5.0$ and $Nu_{sf} = 1.0E - 3$. Figures 2(a) and 10(a) indicate
that by decreasing the Darcy number, the strength of the vortices decreases. This phenomenon is expected, because decreasing the Darcy number decreases the permeability of the porous media, or, in other words, increases resistance against fluid flow.

Figures 2(b) and 10(b) show temperature distribution in the fluid phase. By decreasing Darcy number and, thus, weak flow circulation, the heat transfer mechanism is changed to conduction. Figures 2(c) and 10(c) indicate that due to the low ratio of effective conductivities of phases, the value of the Darcy number has no significant effect on temperature distribution in the solid phase.

Figure 11 shows variations of the $N_{uf}$ and $N_{uf}$ along the inner and outer wall and for different values of Da number. Variation of the Da number has no significant effect on the value of $N_{uf}$, and this is because of the low conductive resistance of the solid phase compared to the fluid phase. In addition, Figure 11 indicates that a change of Da number slightly affects heat transfer from inner and outer walls.

5.5. Effect of Rayleigh number (Ra)
Figures 2 and 12 show the effect of Rayleigh number for $Ra = 1.0E + 6$ and $1.0E + 3$, respectively, while $Da = 1.0E-3$, $\gamma_s = 5.0$, $\lambda = 0.01$, $Pr = 5.0$ and $N_{uf} = 1.0E-3$.

![Figure 11](image_url)

**Figure 11.** Effect of Darcy number on heat transfer from inner and outer wall of annular enclosure while $Ra = 1.0E + 6$, $\gamma_s = 5.0$, $\lambda = 0.01$, $Pr = 5.0$ and $N_{uf} = 1.0E-3$.

Da = $1.0E-3$, $\gamma_s = 5.0$, $\lambda = 0.01$, $Pr = 5.0$ and $N_{uf} = 1.0E-3$.

5.6. Effect of Prandtl number (Pr)
Figures 2 and 14 show the effect of Prandtl number for $Pr = 5.0$ and 0.71, respectively, while $Ra = 1.0E + 6$, $Da = 1.0E-3$, $\gamma_s = 5.0$, $\lambda = 0.01$ and $N_{uf} = 1.0E-3$. Figures 2(a) and 14(a) indicate that by decreasing the Prandtl number, the strength of the vortices increases. Indeed, reduction of the Pr number leads to a reduction in diffusion and enhancement of convection in the momentum transport equations (Eqs. (9) and (10)).

Figures 2(b) and 14(b) show temperature distribution in the fluid phase, and it can be seen that due to enhancement of flow circulation, the fluid temperature in the center of the annular enclosure decreases. Figures 2(c) and 14(c) show temperature distribution in the solid phase, where, due to the low value of $\lambda$, there is no significant difference between them.

![Figure 12](image_url)

**Figure 12.** (a) Streamlines, (b) fluid phase isotherms and (c) solid phase isotherms for $Da = 1.0E-3$, $Ra = 1.0E + 3$, $\gamma_s = 5.0$, $\lambda = 0.01$, $Pr = 5.0$ and $N_{uf} = 1.0E-3$. 
Figure 14. (a) Streamlines, (b) fluid phase isotherms and (c) solid phase isotherms for Da = 1.0E−3, Ra = 1.0E + 6, γ_s = 5.0, λ = 0.01, Pr = 0.71, Nu_s,f = 1.0E−3.

Figure 15. Effect of Prandtl number on heat transfer from inner and outer walls of annular enclosure while Da = 1.0E − 3, Ra = 1.0E + 6; γ_s = 5.0, λ = 0.01, Nu_s,f = 1.0E − 3.

Table 1. Relative change of Nu_w for variation of different non-dimensional parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nu_s,f</th>
<th>Ra</th>
<th>Da</th>
<th>γ_s</th>
<th>λ</th>
<th>Pr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inner wall</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>99.4%</td>
<td>99.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer wall</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>275.3%</td>
<td>99.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 15 shows the effect of Prandtl number on heat transfer from the inner and outer walls of the annular enclosure. It can be seen that decreasing the Pr number increases heat transfer from both inner and outer walls, and, thus, fluid temperature in the annular enclosure is decreased.

6. Analytical solution

In the previous section, the impact of six non-dimensional parameters on the flow and temperature fields, and heat transfer from the inner and outer vertical walls of the annular enclosure were investigated. Table 1 indicates the relative change of Nu_w in percent, for variations of different non-dimensional parameters. From numerical tests, it is concluded that for a low value of an effective conductivity ratio, the impact of the other four non-dimensional parameters, including Ra, Da, Pr and Nu_s,f, on the solid temperature field and heat transfer from the inner and outer walls is negligible.

As a result, from the above discussion, for low values of λ, it is possible to simplify Eqs. (8)-(12) to Eq. (21), and from an analytical solution, find the solid temperature field and heat transfer from the inner and outer walls of the annular enclosure:

\[
\left( \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( R \frac{\partial T_s}{\partial R} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \left( \frac{\partial T_s}{\partial Y} \right) \right) + \gamma_s = 0. \tag{21}
\]

With the boundary conditions:

\[
T_s = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad R = R_o,
\]

\[
T_s = Y \quad \text{for} \quad R = R_i,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial T_s}{\partial Y} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad Y = 0 \text{ and } Y = 1. \tag{22}
\]

Using Fourier cosine transforms and after applying boundary conditions, the solution of Eq. (21) is:

\[
T_s(R, Y) = -\frac{\gamma_s R^2}{4} + A_0 \ln R + B_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ A_n I_0(n\pi R) + B_n K_0(n\pi R) \right] \cos(n\pi Y),
\]

\[
A_0 = \frac{1}{\ln(R_o/R_i)F_2} \left[ \frac{\gamma_s}{2} (R_o^2 - R_i^2) - 1 \right],
\]

\[
B_0 = \frac{\gamma_s R^2}{4} - \frac{\ln R_o}{\ln(R_o/R_i)F_2} \left[ \frac{\gamma_s}{2} (R_o^2 - R_i^2) - 1 \right],
\]

\[
A_n = \frac{I_0(n\pi R_o)}{K_0(n\pi R_o)} - \frac{I_0(n\pi R_i)}{K_0(n\pi R_i)} A_n,
\]

\[
B_n = -\frac{I_0(n\pi R_o)}{K_0(n\pi R_o)} A_n.
\]
Table 2. Comparison of $\overline{\text{Nu}}_w$ for $\lambda = 0.01$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\gamma_s$</th>
<th>Numerical</th>
<th></th>
<th>Analytical</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inner wall</td>
<td>Outer wall</td>
<td>Inner wall</td>
<td>Outer wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>195.97</td>
<td>20.57</td>
<td>195.43</td>
<td>19.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>-368.92</td>
<td>241.98</td>
<td>-373.94</td>
<td>237.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>-2062.49</td>
<td>907.98</td>
<td>-2082.07</td>
<td>891.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$$\delta^* = \frac{2}{(n\pi)^2} \left[(-1)^n - 1\right]. \quad (23)$$

Table 2 compares the values of $\overline{\text{Nu}}_w$ found from Eq. (23) for $\gamma_s = 0.0$, 5.0 and 20.0, with numerical results for the same values of $\gamma_s$ and with Da = 1.0E-3, Ra = 1.0E + 6, $\lambda = 0.01$, Pr = 5.0 and Nu$_{fj} = 1.0E - 3$. There is good agreement between numerical and analytical results.

7. Conclusions

Numerically, natural convection in a porous annular enclosure with heat generation was investigated. While its horizontal walls are insulated, the outer vertical wall is cooled with a constant temperature, $\theta_0$, and the inner vertical wall has a constant temperature, $\theta_L$, on the top, which linearly decreases to $\theta_0$ on the bottom. The focus of this study was on the effect of Rayleigh, Darcy, Prandtl, solid-fluid Nusselt and heat generation parameters for low values of effective conductivity ratios. The results show that although variations of Rayleigh, Darcy, Prandtl and solid-fluid Nusselt numbers affects the flow and temperature fields of the fluid phase, at low values of $\lambda$, they cannot affect the temperature field of the solid phase. Indeed, at low values of $\lambda$, because of the low conductive resistance of the solid phase compared with the fluid phase, most of the generated heat current passes through the solid phase and only the heat generation parameter has a significant effect on the temperature field of the solid phase and the heat transfer from the inner and outer walls. Therefore, it is possible to decouple the solid temperature equation from other equations to find its temperature distribution and heat transfer from the vertical walls. This is important because in most porous media applications, the ratio of heat conductivity coefficients of phases is low, and solving solid energy equations instead of all continuity, momentums and energy equations can be good approximation for heat transfer. An analytical solution for the solid phase temperature equation is presented and the values of average inner and outer wall Nusselt numbers from analytical and numerical solutions are compared. The results show good agreement.

Nomenclature

- $c_F = 1.75/\sqrt{150 \pi^3}$ Forchheimer dimensionless coefficient
- Da Dimensionless permeability (Darcy number)
- $g$ Acceleration due to gravity
- $h$ Convection heat transfer coefficient
- $K$ Permeability of porous media
- $k$ Thermal conductivity
- Nu Dimensionless convection heat transfer coefficient (Nusselt number)
- $p$ Pressure of fluid
- $P$ Dimensionless pressure of fluid
- Pr Prandtl number
- $q''_w$ Volumetric heat generation
- $r$ Radial component of coordinate
- $R$ Dimensionless radial component of coordinate
- Ra Rayleigh number
- Re Reynolds number
- $T$ Dimensionless temperature
- $u$ $r$ component of velocity
- $U$ Dimensionless $R$ component of velocity
- $v$ $y$ component of velocity
- $V$ Dimensionless $Y$ component of velocity
- $y$ Vertical component of coordinate
- $Y$ Dimensionless vertical component of coordinate

Greek symbols

- $\rho$ Density of fluid
- $\beta$ Thermal expansion coefficient of fluid
- $\nu$ Effective kinematic viscosity of fluid
- $\alpha$ Thermal diffusivity
- $\varepsilon$ Porosity
- $\theta$ Temperature
- $h$ Height and thickness of annular enclosure
- $\gamma$ Dimensionless heat generation parameter
- $\lambda$ Ratio of effective conductivities
- $\mu$ Effective dynamic viscosity
- $\psi$ Stream function

Subscripts

- $f$ Fluid phase
- $s$ Solid phase
**w** Wall of annular enclosure

**o** Outer radius of annular enclosure

**i** Inner radius of annular enclosure
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