



Intuitionistic fuzzy Choquet aggregation operator based on Einstein operation laws

Dejian Yu*

School of Information, Zhejiang University of Finance and Economics, Hangzhou, 310018, China.

Received 29 October 2011; received in revised form 8 August 2012; accepted 14 May 2013

KEYWORDS

Multi-criteria decision making;
 Intuitionistic fuzzy set;
 Aggregation operator;
 Choquet integral;
 Einstein operation laws.

Abstract. In this paper, we study the intuitionistic fuzzy information aggregation operators based on Einstein operation laws under the condition in which the aggregated arguments are independent. The Einstein-based Intuitionistic Fuzzy Choquet Averaging (EIFCA) operator is proposed. Furthermore, the relationship between the EIFCA operator and the IFCA operator is investigated. The desirable properties of the EIFCA operator, such as boundeness, monotonicity, shift-invariance and homogeneity are discussed. A multi-criteria decision making approach, based on the EIFCA operator is proposed under intuitionistic fuzzy environment. A comparative example is given for demonstrating the applicability of the proposed decision procedure and for finding links with other operators-based decision approach.

© 2013 Sharif University of Technology. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets (IFS) [1-2], introduced by Atanassov, is a generalization of the concept of fuzzy set. IFS is characterized by three functions, expressing degrees of membership, non-membership and indeterminacy, so it is more powerful to deal with uncertainty and vagueness in real applications than type-2 fuzzy set [3-4], type-fuzzy set [3], fuzzy multiset [5-6] and hesitant fuzzy set [7-8] which only consider the membership degree. For example, if a boy wants to find a girlfriend and evaluates the girl from ten aspects, there are six aspects which satisfies the boy, three aspects do not satisfy, and he is uncertain with one aspect of the girl. In such a case, other types of fuzzy set can only reflect the satisfied aspects which lose some uncertain information, while intuitionistic fuzzy set can describe all the satisfied, unsatisfied and uncertain information. Because of its appearance, IFS has attracted much attention [9-34].

Research on the intuitionistic fuzzy information

aggregation method is one of the hot topics of the IFS theory. Based on the famous OWA operator [35-36] and the GOWA operator [37] proposed by American scholar Yager, many extended operators have been appeared. For example, Xu [15] proposed the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Weighted Averaging (IFWA) operator, ordered IFWA (IFOWA) operator and the intuitionistic hybrid aggregation (IFHA) operator. From the geometric point of view, Xu and Yager [38] introduced the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Weighted Geometric (IFWG) operator, ordered IFWG (IFOWG) operator and the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Hybrid Geometric (IFHG) operator. Zhao et al. [39] proposed the generalized forms of the IFWA, IFOWA and IFHA operators, and they proofed that the operators proposed by Xu [15] are special cases of the operators. The above aggregation operators for intuitionistic fuzzy information are under the condition in which the aggregated arguments are independent. However, it cannot meet the requirement of real situations. Choquet integral [40,41] is a powerful tool to deal with this situation, based on which Xu [42], Tan and Chen [10] and Tan [43] developed some intuitionistic fuzzy Choquet operators such as

*. E-mail address: yudejian62@126.com

the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Choquet Average (IFCA) operator and the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Choquet Geometric (IFCG) operator. Tan and Chen [11] proposed the induced intuitionistic fuzzy Choquet integral operator and applied it to decision making. The prominent characteristic of those operators is that they cannot only adapt to the intuitionistic fuzzy environment, but also reflect the interrelationship of the individual criteria.

It needs to be pointed out that the basic operational laws of IFS for the above aggregation operators are the algebraic operational laws. Einstein product and Einstein sum are good alternatives for the algebraic product and algebraic sum, respectively [44]. The purpose of this paper is to investigate intuitionistic fuzzy information aggregation methods based on the Einstein product and Einstein sum under the assumption that the aggregated arguments are correlative. To do this, the remainder of this paper is constructed as follows: Section 2 briefly reviews some basic concepts. In Section 3, we propose the Einstein-based Intuitionistic Fuzzy Choquet Averaging (EIFCA) operator; the desirable properties of the EIFCA are also studied in this section. An approach to multi-criteria decision making based on the proposed operator is proposed in Section 4; a comparative example is also illustrated in this section. Section 5 gives some conclusion remarks.

2. Some basic concepts

As a generalization of fuzzy set, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set (IFS) assigns to each element a membership degree and a non-membership degree. Atanassov [1] gave the definition of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set (IFS) as follows.

Definition 1. If a set X be fixed, the concept of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set (IFS) A on X is defined as follows:

$$A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in X \}, \tag{1}$$

where the functions $\mu_A(x)$ and $\nu_A(x)$ denote the degrees of membership and non-membership of the element $x \in X$ to the set A , respectively, with the condition that $0 \leq \mu_A(x) \leq 1$, $0 \leq \nu_A(x) \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1$. For convenience, Xu [15] named $\alpha = (\mu_\alpha, \nu_\alpha)$ an Intuitionistic Fuzzy Value (IFV). In this paper, we let V be the set of all IFVs.

For three IFVs $\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in V$, some Einstein operational laws were given as follows [44]:

1. $\alpha_1 \oplus_\varepsilon \alpha_2 = \left(\frac{\mu_{\alpha_1} + \mu_{\alpha_2}}{1 + \mu_{\alpha_1} \mu_{\alpha_2}}, \frac{\nu_{\alpha_1} \nu_{\alpha_2}}{1 + (1 - \nu_{\alpha_1})(1 - \nu_{\alpha_2})} \right),$
2. $\alpha_1 \otimes_\varepsilon \alpha_2 = \left(\frac{\mu_{\alpha_1} \mu_{\alpha_2}}{1 + (1 - \mu_{\alpha_1})(1 - \mu_{\alpha_2})}, \frac{\nu_{\alpha_1} + \nu_{\alpha_2}}{1 + \nu_{\alpha_1} \nu_{\alpha_2}} \right),$
3. $\alpha^\lambda = \left(\frac{2\mu_\alpha^\lambda}{(2 - \mu_\alpha)^\lambda + \mu_\alpha^\lambda}, \frac{(1 + \nu_\alpha)^\lambda - (1 - \nu_\alpha)^\lambda}{(1 + \nu_\alpha)^\lambda + (1 - \nu_\alpha)^\lambda} \right),$

$$4. \lambda\alpha = \left(\frac{(1 + \mu_\alpha)^\lambda - (1 - \mu_\alpha)^\lambda}{(1 + \mu_\alpha)^\lambda + (1 - \mu_\alpha)^\lambda}, \frac{2\nu_\alpha^\lambda}{(2 - \nu_\alpha)^\lambda + \nu_\alpha^\lambda} \right).$$

Chen and Tan [45] introduced the score function $s(\alpha) = \mu_\alpha - \nu_\alpha$ to get the score of α , then Hong and Choi [46] defined the accuracy function $h(\alpha) = \mu_\alpha + \nu_\alpha$ to evaluate the accuracy degree of α . Based on the score function s and the accuracy function h , Xu and Yager [38] gave an order relation between two IFVs α_1 and α_2 :

1. If $s(\alpha_1) < s(\alpha_2)$, then $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$;
2. If $s(\alpha_1) = s(\alpha_2)$, then:
 - i) If $h(\alpha_1) = h(\alpha_2)$, then $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$;
 - ii) If $h(\alpha_1) < h(\alpha_2)$, then $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$.

Let $\rho(\{x_i\})$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be the weights of the elements $x_i \in X$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) where ρ is a fuzzy measure; Sugeno [47], Wang and Klir [48] and Denneberg [49] defined a fuzzy measure as follows.

Definition 2. A fuzzy measure ρ on the set X is a function $\rho : \theta(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfying the following axioms:

1. $\rho(\emptyset) = 0, \rho(X) = 1$;
2. $B \subseteq C$ implies $\rho(B) \leq \rho(C)$, for all $B, C \subseteq X$;
3. $\rho(B \cup C) = \rho(B) + \rho(C) + \tau\rho(B)\rho(C)$, for all $B, C \subseteq X$ and $B \cap C = \emptyset$, where $\tau > -1$.

Based on Definition 2, Xu [42], Tan and Chen [10] and Tan [43] defined Intuitionistic Fuzzy Choquet Averaging (IFCA) and Intuitionistic Fuzzy Choquet Geometric (IFCG) operators as follows.

Definition 3. Let ρ be a fuzzy measure on X , and $\alpha(x_j) = (\mu_\alpha(x_j), \nu_\alpha(x_j))$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be a collection of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{IFCA}(\alpha(x_1), \alpha(x_2), \dots, \alpha(x_n)) &= \bigoplus_{j=1}^n (\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})\alpha(x_{\sigma(j)})) \\ &= \left(1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \prod_{j=1}^n (\nu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \right), \tag{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{IFCG}(\alpha(x_1), \alpha(x_2), \dots, \alpha(x_n)) &= \bigotimes_{j=1}^n (\alpha(x_{\sigma(j)}))^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \\ &= \left(\prod_{j=1}^n (\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. 1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \nu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \right), \tag{3} \end{aligned}$$

are called the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Choquet Averaging (IFCA) and Intuitionistic Fuzzy Choquet Geometric (IFCG) operators, respectively, where $(\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(n))$ is a permutation of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$, such that $\alpha(x_{\sigma(1)}) \geq \alpha(x_{\sigma(2)}) \geq \dots \geq \alpha(x_{\sigma(n)})$, $B_{\sigma(k)} = \{x_{\sigma(j)} | j \leq k\}$, for $k \geq 1$, and $B_{\sigma(0)} = \emptyset$.

3. Intuitionistic fuzzy Choquet operator based on Einstein operation laws

In this section, we shall investigate the intuitionistic fuzzy information aggregation operator combined with Choquet integral. Based on the Einstein operational laws described by Definition 2, we give the definition of the Einstein-based Intuitionistic Fuzzy Choquet Averaging (EIFCA) operator as follows:

Definition 4. Let ρ be a fuzzy measure on X and $\alpha_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of IFVs, an Einstein-based Intuitionistic Fuzzy Choquet Averaging (EIFCA) operator is a mapping $V^n \rightarrow V$, and:

$$E(C) \int \alpha d\rho = \text{EIFCA}(\alpha(x_1), \alpha(x_2), \dots, \alpha(x_n)) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n (\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})\alpha(x_{\sigma(j)})), \quad (4)$$

where $(C) \int \alpha d\rho$ denotes the Choquet integral and $(\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(n))$ is a permutation of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$, such that $\alpha(x_{\sigma(1)}) \geq \alpha(x_{\sigma(2)}) \geq \dots \geq \alpha(x_{\sigma(n)})$, $B_{\sigma(k)} = \{x_{\sigma(j)} | j \leq k\}$, for $k \geq 1$, and $B_{\sigma(0)} = \emptyset$.

Based on the operational laws of the IFVs described in Section 2, we can derive Theorem 1 easily.

Theorem 1. Let ρ be a fuzzy measure on X , $\alpha_j = (\mu_{\alpha_j}, \nu_{\alpha_j}) (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of IFVs and $\alpha_{\sigma(j)}$ be the j th largest of them, then their aggregated value by using the EIFCA operator is also an IFVs, and is defined in Eq. (5) which is shown in Box I.

Proof. The proof including Eqs. (6) to (13) is shown in Box II. It should be noted that the proof of Theorem 1 was done by many references such as [15,39-44].

In order to analyze the relationship between the EIFCA and IFCA operators proposed by Tan and

Chen [10] and Xu [42], we introduce the following lemma [50].

Lemma 1. Let $x_j > 0, \omega_j > 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j = 1$, then:

$$\prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{\omega_j} \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j x_j, \quad (14)$$

with equality if and only if $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$.

Theorem 2. Let ρ be a fuzzy measure on X , $\alpha_j = (\mu_{\alpha_j}, \nu_{\alpha_j}) (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of IFVs and $\alpha_{\sigma(j)}$ be the j th largest of them, then:

$$\text{EIFCA}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \leq \text{IFCA}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n). \quad (15)$$

Proof. On one hand, since $\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)}) \geq 0$ for all j and $\sum_{j=1}^n \rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)}) = 1$, then based on Lemma 1, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{j=1}^n (1 + \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \\ & + \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)}) (1 + \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^n \rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)}) (1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) \\ & = \sum_{j=1}^n \rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)}) (1 + \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^n \rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)}) (1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) \\ & = \sum_{j=1}^n \rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{EIFCA}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = \left(\frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 + \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 + \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} + \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}, \frac{2 \prod_{j=1}^n \nu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (2 - \nu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} + \prod_{j=1}^n \nu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}} \right). \quad (5)$$

Box I.

$$\text{EIFCA}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = \left(\frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 + \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 + \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} + \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}, \frac{2 \prod_{j=1}^n v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (2 - v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} + \prod_{j=1}^n v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}} \right), \tag{6}$$

by using mathematical induction on n :

For $n = 2$: since:

$$\rho(B_{\sigma(1)} - B_{\sigma(1-1)})\alpha(x_{\sigma(1)}) = \left(\frac{(1 + \mu_{\sigma(1)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(1)} - B_{\sigma(1-1)})} - (1 - \mu_{\sigma(1)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(1)} - B_{\sigma(1-1)})}}{(1 + \mu_{\sigma(1)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(1)} - B_{\sigma(1-1)})} + (1 - \mu_{\sigma(1)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(1)} - B_{\sigma(1-1)})}}, \frac{2v_{\sigma(1)}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(1)} - B_{\sigma(1-1)})}}{(2 - v_{\sigma(1)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(1)} - B_{\sigma(1-1)})} + v_{\sigma(1)}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(1)} - B_{\sigma(1-1)})}} \right), \tag{7}$$

$$\rho(B_{\sigma(2)} - B_{\sigma(2-1)})\alpha(x_{\sigma(2)}) = \left(\frac{(1 + \mu_{\sigma(2)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(2)} - B_{\sigma(2-1)})} - (1 - \mu_{\sigma(2)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(2)} - B_{\sigma(2-1)})}}{(1 + \mu_{\sigma(2)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(2)} - B_{\sigma(2-1)})} + (1 - \mu_{\sigma(2)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(2)} - B_{\sigma(2-1)})}}, \frac{2v_{\sigma(2)}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(2)} - B_{\sigma(2-1)})}}{(2 - v_{\sigma(2)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(2)} - B_{\sigma(2-1)})} + v_{\sigma(2)}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(2)} - B_{\sigma(2-1)})}} \right). \tag{8}$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho(B_{\sigma(1)} - B_{\sigma(1-1)})\alpha(x_{\sigma(1)}) \oplus \rho(B_{\sigma(2)} - B_{\sigma(2-1)})\alpha(x_{\sigma(2)}) \\ &= \left(\frac{\frac{(1 + \mu_{\sigma(1)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(1)} - B_{\sigma(1-1)})} - (1 - \mu_{\sigma(1)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(1)} - B_{\sigma(1-1)})}}{(1 + \mu_{\sigma(1)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(1)} - B_{\sigma(1-1)})} + (1 - \mu_{\sigma(1)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(1)} - B_{\sigma(1-1)})}} + \frac{(1 + \mu_{\sigma(2)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(2)} - B_{\sigma(2-1)})} - (1 - \mu_{\sigma(2)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(2)} - B_{\sigma(2-1)})}}{(1 + \mu_{\sigma(2)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(2)} - B_{\sigma(2-1)})} + (1 - \mu_{\sigma(2)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(2)} - B_{\sigma(2-1)})}}}{1 + \frac{(1 + \mu_{\sigma(1)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(1)} - B_{\sigma(1-1)})} - (1 - \mu_{\sigma(1)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(1)} - B_{\sigma(1-1)})}}{(1 + \mu_{\sigma(1)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(1)} - B_{\sigma(1-1)})} + (1 - \mu_{\sigma(1)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(1)} - B_{\sigma(1-1)})}} \frac{(1 + \mu_{\sigma(2)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(2)} - B_{\sigma(2-1)})} - (1 - \mu_{\sigma(2)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(2)} - B_{\sigma(2-1)})}}{(1 + \mu_{\sigma(2)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(2)} - B_{\sigma(2-1)})} + (1 - \mu_{\sigma(2)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(2)} - B_{\sigma(2-1)})}}}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\frac{2v_{\sigma(1)}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(1)} - B_{\sigma(1-1)})}}{(2 - v_{\sigma(1)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(1)} - B_{\sigma(1-1)})} + v_{\sigma(1)}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(1)} - B_{\sigma(1-1)})}} + \frac{2v_{\sigma(2)}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(2)} - B_{\sigma(2-1)})}}{(2 - v_{\sigma(2)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(2)} - B_{\sigma(2-1)})} + v_{\sigma(2)}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(2)} - B_{\sigma(2-1)})}}}{1 + \left(1 - \frac{2v_{\sigma(1)}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(1)} - B_{\sigma(1-1)})}}{(2 - v_{\sigma(1)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(1)} - B_{\sigma(1-1)})} + v_{\sigma(1)}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(1)} - B_{\sigma(1-1)})}} \right) \left(1 - \frac{2v_{\sigma(2)}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(2)} - B_{\sigma(2-1)})}}{(2 - v_{\sigma(2)})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(2)} - B_{\sigma(2-1)})} + v_{\sigma(2)}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(2)} - B_{\sigma(2-1)})}} \right)} \right) \tag{9} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(\frac{\prod_{j=1}^2 (1 + \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} - \prod_{j=1}^2 (1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}{\prod_{j=1}^2 (1 + \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} + \prod_{j=1}^2 (1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{2 \prod_{j=1}^2 v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}{\prod_{j=1}^2 (2 - v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} + \prod_{j=1}^2 v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}} \right). \tag{10} \end{aligned}$$

Box II. Continued on the next page.

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \sum_{j=1}^n \rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)}) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}} \rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)}) \\ &- \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}} \rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)}) = 2. \tag{16} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\prod_{j=1}^n (2 - v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \\ &+ \prod_{j=1}^n v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)}) (2 - v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^n \rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)}) v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}} = 2, \tag{18} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Eq. (17) is given in Box III.

On the other hand, since:

then Eq. (19) is given in Box IV. Let EIFCA($\alpha_1, \alpha_2,$

If Eq. (6) holds for $n = k$, that is:

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^k (\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})\alpha(x_{\sigma(j)})) = \left(\frac{\prod_{j=1}^k (1 + \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} - \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}{\prod_{j=1}^k (1 + \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} + \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{2 \prod_{j=1}^k v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}{\prod_{j=1}^k (2 - v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} + \prod_{j=1}^k v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}} \right), \quad (11)$$

then, when $n = k + 1$, by the operational laws of IFVs, we have:

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^{k+1} (\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})\alpha(x_{\sigma(j)})) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^k (\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})\alpha(x_{\sigma(j)})) \oplus (\rho(B_{\sigma(k+1)} - B_{\sigma(k)})\alpha(x_{\sigma(k+1)})) \\ = \left(\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{k+1} (1 + \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} - \prod_{j=1}^{k+1} (1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}{\prod_{j=1}^{k+1} (1 + \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} + \prod_{j=1}^{k+1} (1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{2 \prod_{j=1}^{k+1} v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}{\prod_{j=1}^{k+1} (2 - v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} + \prod_{j=1}^{k+1} v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}} \right), \quad (12)$$

i.e. Eq. (6) holds for $n = k + 1$. Thus, Eq. (6) holds for all n . Then:

$$\text{EIFCA}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = \left(\frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 + \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 + \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} + \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{2 \prod_{j=1}^n v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (2 - v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} + \prod_{j=1}^n v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}} \right), \quad (13)$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

Box II. Continued.

$$\frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 + \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 + \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} + \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}} \\ = 1 - \frac{2 \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 + \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} + \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}} \leq 1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}, \quad (17)$$

where the equality holds if and only if $\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}} (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ are equal.

Box III.

$\dots, \alpha_n) = (\mu_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha}) = \alpha$ and $\text{IFCA}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = (\mu'_{\alpha}, v'_{\alpha}) = \alpha'$, then Eq. (19) can be transformed to:

$$\mu_{\alpha} \leq \mu'_{\alpha}, \quad \text{and} \quad v_{\alpha} \geq v'_{\alpha}. \quad (20)$$

Based on Eq. (20), we have:

$$s(\alpha) = \mu_{\alpha} - v_{\alpha} \leq \mu'_{\alpha} - v'_{\alpha} = s(\alpha'). \quad (21)$$

If $s(\alpha) < s(\alpha^+)$, then:

$$\text{EIFCA}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) < \text{IFCA}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n). \quad (22)$$

If $s(\alpha) = \mu_{\alpha} - v_{\alpha} = \mu'_{\alpha} - v'_{\alpha} = s(\alpha')$, i.e. Eqs. (23) to (25) are given in Box V. Therefore:

$$h(\alpha) = \mu_{\alpha} + v_{\alpha} = h'(\alpha) = \mu'_{\alpha} + v'_{\alpha}. \quad (26)$$

Thus, it follows that:

$$\text{EIFCA}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = \text{IFCA}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n). \quad (27)$$

From Eqs. (22) and (27), we know that Eq. (15) always holds.

$$\frac{2 \prod_{j=1}^n v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (2 - v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} + \prod_{j=1}^n v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}} \geq \prod_{j=1}^n v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \tag{19}$$

Box IV.

$$\frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 + \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 + \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} + \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}} - \frac{2 \prod_{j=1}^n v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (2 - v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} + \prod_{j=1}^n v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}$$

$$= \left(1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \right) - \prod_{j=1}^n v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n \tag{23}$$

Then we have:

$$\frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 + \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 + \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} + \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}} = \left(1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \right) \tag{24}$$

$$\frac{2 \prod_{j=1}^n v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (2 - v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} + \prod_{j=1}^n v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}} = \prod_{j=1}^n v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \tag{25}$$

Box V.

Theorem 3 (Idempotency). Let ρ be a fuzzy measure on X , $\alpha_j = (\mu_{\alpha_j}, v_{\alpha_j})$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be a collection of IFVs and $\alpha_{\sigma(j)}$ be the j th largest of them. If all α_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) are equal, i.e. $\alpha_j = \alpha$, for all j , then:

$$\text{EIFCA}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = \alpha. \tag{28}$$

Proof. By Definition 4, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{EIFCA}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \\ &= \bigoplus_{j=1}^n (\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})\alpha(x_{\sigma(j)})) \\ &= (\rho(B_{\sigma(1)} - B_{\sigma(1-1)})\alpha(x_{\sigma(1)})) \\ &\oplus (\rho(B_{\sigma(2)} - B_{\sigma(2-1)})\alpha(x_{\sigma(2)})) \\ &\oplus (\rho(B_{\sigma(n)} - B_{\sigma(n-1)})\alpha(x_{\sigma(n)})) \\ &= (\rho(B_{\sigma(1)} - B_{\sigma(1-1)})\alpha) \\ &\oplus (\rho(B_{\sigma(2)} - B_{\sigma(2-1)})\alpha) \cdots \\ &\oplus (\rho(B_{\sigma(n)} - B_{\sigma(n-1)})\alpha) \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})) \alpha \right) \\ &= (\rho(B_{\sigma(n)} - B_{\sigma(1-1)})\alpha) = \alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Theorem 4 (Boundedness). Let ρ be a fuzzy measure on X , $\alpha_j = (\mu_{\alpha_j}, v_{\alpha_j})$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be a collection of IFVs and $\alpha_{\sigma(j)}$ be the j th largest of them, and also let:

$$\alpha^- = \left(\min_j (\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}), \max_j (v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) \right),$$

$$\alpha^+ = \left(\max_j (\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}), \min_j (v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) \right).$$

Then:

$$\alpha^- \leq \text{EIFCA}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \leq \alpha^+. \tag{30}$$

Proof. Let $f(x) = \frac{1-x}{1+x}$, $x \in [0, 1]$, then $f'(x) = \frac{-2}{(1+x)^2} < 0$, i.e. $f(x)$ is a decreasing function. Since, $\min_j (\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) \leq \mu_{\alpha_j} \leq \max_j (\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})$ for all j , then:

$$f(\max_j (\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})) \leq f(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) \leq f(\min_j (\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})), \tag{31}$$

i.e.:

$$\frac{1 - \max_j (\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{1 + \max_j (\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})} \leq \frac{1 - (\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{1 + (\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})} \leq \frac{1 - \min_j (\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{1 + \min_j (\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}, \tag{32}$$

$$j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Since $\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)}) \geq 0$ for all j , then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1 - \max_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{1 + \max_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})} \right)^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1 - (\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{1 + (\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})} \right)^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1 - \min_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{1 + \min_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})} \right)^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}, \end{aligned}$$

$j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$ (33)

Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{1 - \max_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\delta(j)}})}{1 + \max_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\delta(j)}})} \right)^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \\ & \leq \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{1 - (\mu_{\alpha_{\delta(j)}})}{1 + (\mu_{\alpha_{\delta(j)}})} \right)^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \\ & \leq \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{1 - \min_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\delta(j)}})}{1 + \min_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\delta(j)}})} \right)^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}, \end{aligned}$$

(34)

$$\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow \left(\frac{1 - \max_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{1 + \max_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})} \right)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \\ & \leq \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{1 - (\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{1 + (\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})} \right)^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1 - \min_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{1 + \min_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})} \right)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}, \end{aligned}$$

(35)

$$\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow \frac{1 - \max_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{1 + \max_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})} \\ & \leq \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{1 - (\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{1 + (\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})} \right)^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \\ & \leq \frac{1 - \min_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{1 + \min_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}, \end{aligned}$$

(36)

$$\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow \frac{2}{1 + \max_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})} \\ & \leq 1 + \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{1 - (\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{1 + (\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})} \right)^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \\ & \leq \frac{2}{1 + \min_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}, \end{aligned}$$

(37)

$$\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow \frac{1 + \min_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{2} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{1 + \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{1 - (\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{1 + (\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})} \right)^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}} \\ & \leq \frac{1 + \max_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

(38)

$$\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow 1 + \min_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) \\ & \leq \frac{2}{1 + \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{1 - (\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{1 + (\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})} \right)^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}} \\ & \leq 1 + \max_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}), \end{aligned}$$

(39)

$$\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow \min_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) \\ & \leq \frac{2}{1 + \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{1 - (\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{1 + (\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})} \right)^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}} - 1 \\ & \leq \max_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}). \end{aligned}$$

(40)

And Eq. (41) is shown in Box VI.

Let $g(y) = \frac{2-y}{y}$, $y \in (0, 1]$, then $g'(y) = \frac{-2}{y^2} < 0$, i.e. $g(y)$ is a decreasing function. Since $\min_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) \leq v_{\alpha_j} \leq \max_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})$, for all j , then:

$$f \left(\max_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) \right) \leq f(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) \leq f \left(\min_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) \right),$$

(42)

i.e.:

$$\frac{2 - \max_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{\max_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})} \leq \frac{2 - v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}}{v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}} \leq \frac{2 - \min_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{\min_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})},$$

$j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$ (43)

$$\Rightarrow \min_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) \leq \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (1+\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)}-B_{\sigma(j-1)})} - \prod_{j=1}^n (1-\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)}-B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1+\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)}-B_{\sigma(j-1)})} + \prod_{j=1}^n (1-\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)}-B_{\sigma(j-1)})}} \leq \max_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}). \quad (41)$$

Box VI.

Since $\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)}) \geq 0$ for all j , then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{2 - \max_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{\max_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})} \right)^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)}-B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{2 - v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}}{v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}} \right)^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)}-B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{2 - \min_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{\min_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})} \right)^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)}-B_{\sigma(j-1)})}, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{2 - \max_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{\max_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})} \right)^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)}-B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \\ & \leq \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{2 - v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}}{v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}} \right)^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)}-B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \\ & \leq \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{2 - \min_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{\min_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})} \right)^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)}-B_{\sigma(j-1)})}, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow \left(\frac{2 - \max_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{\max_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})} \right)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \rho(B_{\sigma(j)}-B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{2 - v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}}{v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}} \right)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \rho(B_{\sigma(j)}-B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{2 - \min_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{\min_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})} \right)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \rho(B_{\sigma(j)}-B_{\sigma(j-1)})}, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow \frac{2 - \max_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{\max_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{2 - v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}}{v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}} \right)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \rho(B_{\sigma(j)}-B_{\sigma(j-1)})} \\ & \leq \frac{2 - \min_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{\min_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}, \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow \frac{2}{\max_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{2 - v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}}{v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}} \right)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \rho(B_{\sigma(j)}-B_{\sigma(j-1)})} + 1 \\ & \leq \frac{2}{\min_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}, \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow \frac{\min_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{2} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\left(\frac{2 - v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}}{v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}} \right)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \rho(B_{\sigma(j)}-B_{\sigma(j-1)})} + 1} \\ & \leq \frac{\max_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow \min_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) \\ & \leq \frac{2}{\left(\frac{2 - v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}}{v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}} \right)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \rho(B_{\sigma(j)}-B_{\sigma(j-1)})} + 1} \\ & \leq \max_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}), \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

and Eq. (51) is shown in Box VII. Let:

$$\text{EIFCA}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = \alpha = (\mu_\alpha, v_\alpha), \quad (52)$$

we have:

$$\min_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) \leq \mu_\alpha \leq \max_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}), \quad (53)$$

$$\min_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) \leq v_\alpha \leq \max_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}), \quad (54)$$

$$s(\alpha) = \mu_\alpha - v_\alpha \leq \max_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) - \min_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) = s(\alpha^+), \quad (55)$$

$$s(\alpha) = \mu_\alpha - v_\alpha \geq \min_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) - \max_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) = s(\alpha^-). \quad (56)$$

$$\Rightarrow \min_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) \leq \frac{2 \prod_{j=1}^n v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (2 - v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})} + \prod_{j=1}^n v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}^{\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})}} \leq \max_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}). \quad (51)$$

Box VII.

If $s(\alpha) < s(\alpha^+)$ and $s(\alpha) > s(\alpha^-)$, then by order relation between two IFVs which was described in Section 2, we have:

$$\alpha^- < \text{EIFCA}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) < \alpha^+. \quad (57)$$

If $s(\alpha) = s(\alpha^+)$, i.e. $\mu_\alpha - v_\alpha = \max_j(\mu_{\alpha_{s(j)}}) - \min_j(v_{\alpha_{s(j)}})$, we have:

$$\mu_\alpha = \max_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}), \quad v_\alpha = \min_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}). \quad (58)$$

Therefore:

$$h(\alpha) = \mu_\alpha + v_\alpha = \max_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) + \min_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) = h(\alpha^+), \quad (59)$$

then:

$$\text{EIFCA}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = \alpha^+. \quad (60)$$

If $s(\alpha) = s(\alpha^-)$, i.e. $\mu_\alpha - v_\alpha = \min_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) - \max_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}})$, then we have:

$$\mu_\alpha = \min_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}), \quad v_\alpha = \max_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}). \quad (61)$$

Therefore:

$$h(\alpha) = \mu_\alpha + v_\alpha = \min_j(\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) + \max_j(v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}}) = h(\alpha^-). \quad (62)$$

Thus, it follows that:

$$\text{EIFCA}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = \alpha^-. \quad (63)$$

From Eqs. (57), (60) and (63), we know that Eq. (30) always holds. It should be noted that the proof of Theorems 2 and 4 are referred to the proved methods provided by Wang and Liu [44].

The Monotonicity of the EIFCA operator can be obtained by a similar proving method.

Theorem 5 (Monotonicity). Let ρ be a fuzzy measure on X , $\alpha_j = (\mu_{\alpha_j}, v_{\alpha_j})$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) and $\alpha_j^* = (\mu_{\alpha_j^*}, v_{\alpha_j^*})$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be two collections of IFVs, $\alpha_{\sigma(j)}$ be the j th largest of α_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) and $\alpha_{\sigma^*(j)}^*$ be the j th largest of α_j^* ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$), $\lambda > 0$. If $\mu_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}} \leq \mu_{\alpha_{\sigma^*(j)}^*}$ and $v_{\alpha_{\sigma(j)}} \geq v_{\alpha_{\sigma^*(j)}^*}$, for all j , then:

$$\text{EIFCA}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \leq \text{EIFCA}(\alpha_1^*, \alpha_2^*, \dots, \alpha_n^*). \quad (64)$$

Theorem 6. Let ρ be a fuzzy measure on X , $\alpha_j = (\mu_{\alpha_j}, v_{\alpha_j})$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be a collection of IFVs and $\alpha_{\sigma(j)}$ be the j th largest of them. If $(\alpha'_1, \alpha'_2, \dots, \alpha'_n)$ is any permutation of α_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$), then:

$$\text{EIFCA}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = \text{EIFCA}(\alpha'_1, \alpha'_2, \dots, \alpha'_n). \quad (65)$$

Proof. According to Definition 4, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{EIFCA}(\alpha(x_1), \alpha(x_2), \dots, \alpha(x_n)) \\ = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n (\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})\alpha(x_{\sigma(j)})), \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{EIFCA}(\alpha'(x_1), \alpha'(x_2), \dots, \alpha'(x_n)) \\ = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n (\rho(B_{\sigma(j)} - B_{\sigma(j-1)})\alpha'(x_{\sigma(j)})). \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

Since $(\alpha'_1, \alpha'_2, \dots, \alpha'_n)$ is any permutation of α_j , we have:

$$\alpha(\sigma_{\sigma(i)}) = \alpha'(\sigma_{\sigma(i)}) \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (68)$$

Therefore, $\text{EIFCA}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = \text{EIFCA}(\alpha'_1, \alpha'_2, \dots, \alpha'_n)$, which completes the proof of Theorem 6.

From Definition 4, the following property of the EIFCA operator can be obtained easily.

Property 1 (Shift-invariance). Let ρ be a fuzzy measure on X and $\alpha_j = (\mu_{\alpha_j}, v_{\alpha_j})$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be a collection of IFVs. If $\beta = (\mu_\beta, v_\beta)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy value on X , then:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{EIFCA}(\alpha_1 \oplus \beta, \alpha_2 \oplus \beta, \dots, \alpha_n \oplus \beta) \\ = \text{EIFCA}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \oplus \beta. \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

Property 2 (Homogeneity). Let ρ be a fuzzy measure on X and $\alpha_j = (\mu_{\alpha_j}, v_{\alpha_j})$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be a collection of IFVs. If $\lambda > 0$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{EIFCA}(\lambda\alpha_1, \lambda\alpha_2, \dots, \lambda\alpha_n) \\ = \lambda \text{EIFCA}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n). \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

Property 3. Let ρ be a fuzzy measure on X and $\alpha_j = (\mu_{\alpha_j}, \nu_{\alpha_j})$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be a collection of IFVs. If $\beta = (\mu_\beta, \nu_\beta)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy value on X and if $\lambda > 0$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{EIFCA}(\lambda\alpha_1 \oplus \beta, \lambda\alpha_2 \oplus \beta, \dots, \lambda\alpha_n \oplus \beta) \\ &= \lambda \text{EIFCA}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \oplus \beta. \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

Property 4. Let ρ be a fuzzy measure on X , $\alpha_j = (\mu_{\alpha_j}, \nu_{\alpha_j})$ and $\beta_j = (\mu_{\beta_j}, \nu_{\beta_j})$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be two collections of IFVs, then:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{EIFCA}(\alpha_1 \oplus \beta_1, \alpha_2 \oplus \beta_2, \dots, \alpha_n \oplus \beta_n) \\ &= \text{EIFCA}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \\ &\oplus \text{EIFCA}(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n). \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

4. An approach to multi-criteria decision making under intuitionistic fuzzy environment

The multi-criteria decision making is a very practical method in the real world, and have very significant effect on both theory and practical. It aims to find the best alternatives from a finite number of options. Multi-criteria decision making, using IFVs, is an important variety of decision making theory [15-18]. In the following, we utilize the EIFCA operator to develop an approach for multi-criteria decision making using IFVs, which includes the following steps:

Step 1. The intuitionistic fuzzy decision making matrix $B = (b_{ij})_{m \times n}$ always needs to be standardized, since there are cost attributes (the smaller the attribute values the better). Xu and Hu [51] have proposed a standardization method.

$$\begin{aligned} r_{ij} = (\mu_{ij}, \nu_{ij}) &= \begin{cases} b_{ij}, & \text{for benefit attribute } C_j \\ \bar{b}_{ij}, & \text{for cost attribute } C_j \end{cases} \\ i = 1, 2, \dots, m & \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

where \bar{b}_{ij} is the complement of b_{ij} such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{b}_{ij} &= (f_{ij}, t_{ij}), \\ i = 1, 2, \dots, m, & \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

Based on Eqs. (94) and (95), the standardized decision making matrix $R = (r_{ij})_{m \times n}$ can be obtained.

Step 2. By the order relation between IFVs, r_{ij} is reordered such that $r_{i(1)} \geq r_{i(2)} \geq \dots \geq r_{i(n)}$.

Step 3. Based on Choquet integral, calculate the correlations between the criteria, using the method given in Section 2 [10,11].

Step 4. Utilize the EIFCA operator to aggregate all the performance values r_{ij} ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) of the i th line, and get the overall performance value r_i corresponding to the alternative A_i .

Step 5. Calculate the score function and accuracy function of the overall values r_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$), then utilize order relation between IFVs described in Section 2 to rank the overall performance values r_i and select the best one.

Example 1. Suppose a multinational corporation in China is planning its financial strategy for the next year, according to the group strategy objective. After preliminary screening, the four alternatives are produced.

A_1 : To invest in the Southeast Asian markets;

A_2 : To invest in the Eastern European market;

A_3 : To invest in the North American market;

A_4 : To invest in the local market.

This evaluation proceeds from the following four aspects, such as the growth analysis (c_1), the risk analysis (c_2), the sociopolitical impact analysis (c_3) and the environmental impact analysis (c_4). The four alternatives A_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 4$) are to be evaluated by corresponding experts, using the IFVs, as shown in Table 1.

In order to choose the most appropriate investment program, the main steps are as follows (based on the EIFCA operator):

Step 1: Since the criteria c_2 and c_4 are the cost criteria, the decision matrix need normalization. Normalized decision matrix is shown in Table 2.

Step 2: According to Table 2, by the order relation between IFVs, the evaluation r_{ij} of the candidate A_i such that $r_{i(1)} \geq r_{i(2)} \geq \dots \geq r_{i(n)}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 4$), is

Table 1. The evaluation information on the projects.

	c_1	c_2	c_3	c_4
A_1	(0.6,0.2)	(0.2,0.8)	(0.8,0.1)	(0.5,0.3)
A_2	(0.4,0.1)	(0.1,0.6)	(0.5,0.2)	(0.2,0.8)
A_3	(0.7,0.3)	(0.2,0.8)	(0.6,0.3)	(0.1,0.4)
A_4	(0.5,0.5)	(0.1,0.9)	(0.8,0.1)	(0.2,0.7)

Table 2. The normalized evaluation information on the projects.

	c_1	c_2	c_3	c_4
A_1	(0.6,0.2)	(0.8,0.2)	(0.8,0.1)	(0.3,0.5)
A_2	(0.4,0.1)	(0.6,0.1)	(0.5,0.2)	(0.8,0.2)
A_3	(0.7,0.3)	(0.8,0.2)	(0.6,0.3)	(0.4,0.1)
A_4	(0.5,0.5)	(0.9,0.1)	(0.8,0.1)	(0.7,0.2)

reordered as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 r_{1(1)} &= (0.8, 0.1), & r_{1(2)} &= (0.8, 0.2), \\
 r_{1(3)} &= (0.6, 0.2), & r_{1(4)} &= (0.3, 0.5), \\
 r_{2(1)} &= (0.8, 0.2), & r_{2(2)} &= (0.6, 0.1), \\
 r_{2(3)} &= (0.5, 0.2), & r_{2(4)} &= (0.4, 0.1), \\
 r_{3(1)} &= (0.8, 0.2), & r_{3(2)} &= (0.7, 0.3), \\
 r_{3(3)} &= (0.6, 0.3), & r_{3(4)} &= (0.4, 0.1), \\
 r_{4(1)} &= (0.9, 0.1), & r_{4(2)} &= (0.8, 0.1), \\
 r_{4(3)} &= (0.7, 0.2), & r_{4(4)} &= (0.5, 0.5).
 \end{aligned}$$

Step 3. Suppose the fuzzy measures of criteria of C and criteria sets of C are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho(\emptyset) &= 0, & \rho(c_1) &= 0.38, & \rho(c_2) &= 0.27, \\
 \rho(c_3) &= 0.36, & \rho(c_4) &= 0.21, \\
 \rho(c_1, c_2) &= 0.77, & \rho(c_1, c_3) &= 0.64, \\
 \rho(c_1, c_4) &= 0.51, & \rho(c_2, c_3) &= 0.44, \\
 \rho(c_2, c_4) &= 0.31, & \rho(c_3, c_4) &= 0.45, \\
 \rho(c_1, c_2, c_3) &= 0.83, & \rho(c_1, c_2, c_4) &= 0.69, \\
 \rho(c_1, c_3, c_4) &= 0.78, & \rho(c_2, c_3, c_4) &= 0.55, \\
 \rho(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4) &= 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Step 4. Utilize the EIFCA operator to aggregate all the performance values $r_{ij}(j = 1, 2, \dots, 4)$ of the i th line, and get the overall performance value r_i corresponding to the alternative A_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$). r_1 is calculated and shown in Box VIII. Similarly:

$$\begin{aligned}
 r_2 &= (0.5804, 0.1372), & r_3 &= (0.6879, 0.2251), \\
 r_4 &= (0.7291, 0.2318),
 \end{aligned}$$

Step 5. Calculate the scores of r_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$), respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_1 &= 0.4819, & S_2 &= 0.4432, \\
 S_3 &= 0.4628, & S_4 &= 0.4973.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since:

$$S_4 > S_1 > S_3 > S_2,$$

we have:

$$A_4 \succ A_1 \succ A_3 \succ A_2.$$

Hence, the best financial strategy is A_4 , i.e. to invest in the local market.

If we use the IFCA operator proposed by Xu [42] and Tan and Chen [10] (i.e., Eq. (2) described in Section 2) to get the overall values r''_i of the options A_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) then:

$$\begin{aligned}
 r''_1 &= (0.6757, 0.1821), & r''_2 &= (0.5915, 0.1366), \\
 r''_3 &= (0.6922, 0.2231), & r''_4 &= (0.7381, 0.2227).
 \end{aligned}$$

According to the overall values r''_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$), by the order relations of IFVs, we can obtain that:

$$r''_4 > r''_1 > r''_3 > r''_2,$$

i.e.:

$$A_4 \succ A_1 \succ A_3 \succ A_2.$$

Hence, the best financial strategy is A_4 , i.e. to invest in the local market. The optimal financial strategy and the ranking of the financial strategies are the same as the ones obtained by EIFCA Operator. Furthermore, we find that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 r_1 &= (0.6676, 0.1857) < (0.6757, 0.1821) = r''_1, \\
 r_2 &= (0.5804, 0.1372) < (0.5915, 0.1366) = r''_2, \\
 r_3 &= (0.6879, 0.2251) < (0.6922, 0.2231) = r''_3, \\
 r_4 &= (0.7291, 0.2318) < (0.7381, 0.2227) = r''_4.
 \end{aligned}$$

These results satisfy Theorem 2.

5. Concluding remarks

In this paper, we have proposed the Einstein-based Intuitionistic Fuzzy Choquet Averaging (EIFCA) operator. Various properties of EIFCA operator have been studied in this paper. Furthermore, the relationships between EIFCA operator and some existing operator such as IFCA have been discussed. The EIFCA operator were distinguished from the existing operators

$$r_1 = \left(\frac{(1+0.8)^{0.36}(1+0.8)^{0.44-0.36}(1+0.6)^{0.83-0.44}(1+0.3)^{1-0.83} - (1-0.8)^{0.36}(1-0.8)^{0.44-0.36}(1-0.6)^{0.83-0.44}(1-0.3)^{1-0.83}}{(1+0.8)^{0.36}(1+0.8)^{0.44-0.36}(1+0.6)^{0.83-0.44}(1+0.3)^{1-0.83} + (1-0.8)^{0.36}(1-0.8)^{0.44-0.36}(1-0.6)^{0.83-0.44}(1-0.3)^{1-0.83}}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{2 \times 0.1^{0.36} 0.2^{(0.44-0.36)} 0.2^{(0.83-0.44)} 0.5^{(1-0.83)}}{(2-0.1)^{0.36} (2-0.2)^{(0.44-0.36)} (2-0.2)^{(0.83-0.44)} (2-0.5)^{(1-0.83)} + 0.1^{0.36} 0.2^{(0.44-0.36)} 0.2^{(0.83-0.44)} 0.5^{(1-0.83)}} \right) \\ = (0.6676, 0.1857)$$

Box VIII.

not only due to the EIFCA operator, using the Einstein operations, but also due to the consideration of the inter-dependent phenomena among the evaluated criteria, which makes the method proposed in this paper to have more wide practical application potentials. The results of this paper can be extended to the dual hesitant fuzzy environment and applied to supplier selection, personnel evaluation and so on.

Acknowledgments

This work has been supported by China National Natural Science Foundation (No.71301142) and Zhejiang Natural Science Foundation of China (No. LQ13G010004).

References

- Atanassov, K. "Intuitionistic fuzzy sets", *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **20**(1), pp. 87-96 (1986).
- Atanassov, K., *Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets: Theory and Applications*, Physica-Verlag, Heidelberg (1999).
- Dubois, D. and Prade, H., *Fuzzy Sets and Systems: Theory and Applications*, New York, Academic Press (1980).
- Miyamoto, S. "Remarks on basics of fuzzy sets and fuzzy multisets", *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **156**(3), pp. 427-431 (2005).
- Yager, R.R. "On the theory of bags", *International Journal of General Systems*, **13**(1), pp. 23-37 (1986).
- Miyamoto, S. "Multisets and fuzzy multisets", In *Soft Computing and Human-Centered Machines*, Z.-Q. Liu, S. Miyamoto, Eds., Berlin, Springer (2000).
- Torra, V. and Narukawa, Y. "On hesitant fuzzy sets and decision", *The 18th IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems*, Jeju Island, Korea, pp. 1378-1382 (2009).
- Torra, V. "Hesitant fuzzy sets", *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, **25**(6), pp. 529-539 (2010)
- Xu, Z.S. and Cai, X.Q. "Nonlinear optimization models for multiple attribute group decision making with intuitionistic fuzzy information", *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, **25**(6), pp. 489-513 (2010)
- Tan, C.Q. and Chen, X.H. "Intuitionistic fuzzy Choquet integral operator for multi-criteria decision making", *Expert Systems with Applications*, **37**(1), pp. 149-157 (2010).
- Tan, C.Q. and Chen, X.H. "Induced intuitionistic fuzzy Choquet integral operator for multicriteria decision making", *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, **26**(7), pp. 659-686 (2011).
- Zhang, Q.S. and Jiang, S.Y. "Relationships between entropy and similarity measure of interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets", *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, **25**(11), pp. 1121-1140 (2010).
- Chen, T.Y. "A comparative analysis of score functions for multiple criteria decision making in intuitionistic fuzzy settings", *Information Sciences*, **181**(17), pp. 3652-3676 (2011).
- Beliakov, G., Bustince, H., Goswami, D.P., Mukherjee, U.K. and Pal, N.R. "On averaging operators for Atanassov's intuitionistic fuzzy sets", *Information Sciences*, **181**(6), pp. 1116-1124 (2011).
- Xu, Z.S. "Intuitionistic fuzzy aggregation operators", *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, **15**(6), pp. 1179-1187 (2007).
- Xu, Z.S. "A deviation-based approach to intuitionistic fuzzy multiple attribute group decision making", *Group Decision and Negotiation*, **19**(1), pp. 57-76 (2010a).
- Yager, R.R. "OWA aggregation of intuitionistic fuzzy set", *International Journal of General Systems*, **38**(6), pp. 617-641 (2009).
- Liu, P.D. "Multi-attribute decision-making method research based on interval vague set and TOPSIS method", *Technological and Economic Development of Economy*, **15**(3), pp. 453-463 (2009).
- Bustince, H., Barrenechea, E. and Pagola, M. "Generation of interval-valued fuzzy and atanassov's intuitionistic fuzzy connectives from fuzzy connectives and from K operators: Laws for conjunctions and disjunctions, amplitude", *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, **23**(6), pp. 680-714 (2008).
- Chen, T.Y. and Li, C.H. "Determining objective weights with intuitionistic fuzzy entropy measures: a comparative analysis", *Information Sciences*, **180**(21), pp. 4207-4222 (2010).
- Li, D.F. "Multi-attribute decision making models and methods using intuitionistic fuzzy sets", *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, **70**(1), pp. 73-85 (2005).
- Li, D.F. "A ratio ranking method of triangular intuitionistic fuzzy numbers and its application to MADM

- problems”, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **60**(6), pp. 1557-1570 (2010a).
23. Li, D.F. “A new methodology for fuzzy multi-attribute group decision making with multi-granularity and non-homogeneous information”, *Fuzzy Optimization and Decision Making*, **9**(1), pp. 83-103 (2010b).
 24. Li, D.F. “Mathematical-programming approach to matrix games with payoffs represented by Atanassov’s interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets”, *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, **18**(6), pp. 1112-1128 (2010c).
 25. Li, D.F. “TOPSIS-based nonlinear-programming methodology for multiattribute decision making with interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets”, *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, **18**(2), pp. 299-311 (2010d).
 26. Li, D.F. “Linear programming method for MADM with interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets”, *Expert Systems with Applications*, **37**(8), pp. 5939-5945 (2010e).
 27. Li, D.F. “Multi-attribute decision making method based on generalized OWA operators with intuitionistic fuzzy sets”, *Expert Systems with Applications*, **37**(12), pp. 8673-8678 (2010f).
 28. Li, D.F., Nan, J.X. and Zhang, M.J. “A ranking method of triangular intuitionistic fuzzy numbers and application to decision making”, *International Journal of Computational Intelligence Systems*, **3**(5), pp. 52-530 (2010a).
 29. Li, D.F. and Wang, L.L. and Chen, G.H. “Group decision making methodology based on the Atanassov’s intuitionistic fuzzy set generalized OWA operator”, *International Journal of Uncertainty, Fuzziness and Knowledge-Based Systems*, **18**(6), pp. 801-817 (2010b).
 30. Li, D.F. “Closeness coefficient based nonlinear programming method for interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy multi-attribute decision making with incomplete preference information”, *Applied Soft Computing*, **11**(4), pp. 3402-3418 (2011a).
 31. Li, D.F. “The GOWA operator based approach to multi-attribute decision making using intuitionistic fuzzy sets”, *Mathematical and Computer Modeling*, **53**(5-6), pp. 1182-1196, (2011b).
 32. Li, D.F. “Extension principles for interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets and algebraic operations”, *Fuzzy Optimization and Decision Making*, **10**(1), pp. 45-58 (2011c).
 33. Li, D.F. and Nan, J.X. “Extension of the TOPSIS for multi-attribute group decision making under Atanassov IFS environments”, *International Journal of Fuzzy System Applications*, **1**(4), pp. 47-61 (2011).
 34. Li, D.F. “A fast approach to compute fuzzy values of matrix games with payoffs of triangular fuzzy numbers”, *European Journal of Operational Research*, **223**(2), pp. 421-429 (2012).
 35. Yager, R.R. “On ordered weighted averaging aggregation operators in multi-criteria decision making”, *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics*, **18**(1), pp. 183-190 (1988).
 36. Yager, R.R. and Kacprzyk, J., *The Ordered Weighted Averaging Operators: Theory and Applications*, Boston, MA, Kluwer (1997).
 37. Yager, R.R. “Generalized OWA aggregation operators”, *Fuzzy Optimization and Decision Making*, **3**(1), pp. 93-107 (2004a).
 38. Xu, Z.S. and Yager, R.R. “Some geometric aggregation operators based on intuitionistic fuzzy sets”, *International Journal of General Systems*, **35**(4), pp. 417-433 (2006).
 39. Zhao, H., Xu, Z.S., Ni, M.F. and Liu, S.S. “Generalized aggregation operators for intuitionistic fuzzy sets”, *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, **25**(1), pp. 1-30 (2010).
 40. Choquet, G. “Theory of capacities”, *Annales de l’Institut Fourier (Crenoble)*, **5**, pp. 131-295 (1953).
 41. Yager, R.R. “Choquet aggregation using order inducing variables”, *International Journal of Uncertainty, Fuzziness and Knowledge-Based Systems*, **12**(1), pp. 69-88 (2004b).
 42. Xu, Z.S. “Choquet integrals of weighted intuitionistic fuzzy information”, *Information Sciences*, **180**(5), pp. 726-736 (2010b).
 43. Tan, C.Q. “Generalized intuitionistic fuzzy geometric aggregation operator and its application to multi-criteria group decision making”, *Soft Computing*, **15**(5), pp. 867-876 (2011).
 44. Wang, W.Z. and Liu, X.W. “Intuitionistic fuzzy geometric aggregation operators based on Einstein operations”, *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, **26**(11), pp. 1049-1075 (2011).
 45. Chen, S.M. and Tan, J.M. “Handling multi-criteria fuzzy decision making problems based on vague set theory”, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **67**(2), pp. 163-172 (1994).
 46. Hong, D.H. and Choi, C.H. “Multi-criteria fuzzy decision-making problems based on vague set theory”, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **114**(1), pp. 103-113 (2000).
 47. Sugeno, M. “Theory of fuzzy integral and its application”, Doctoral dissertation, Tokyo Institute of Technology (1974).
 48. Wang, Z. and Klir, G., *Fuzzy Measure Theory*, Plenum Press, New York (1992).
 49. Denneberg, D., *Non-additive Measure and Integral*, Boston, MA, Kluwer Academic Press (1994).
 50. Xu, Z.S. “On consistency of the weighted geometric mean complex judgment matrix in AHP”, *European Journal of Operational Research*, **126**(3), pp. 683-687 (2000).

51. Xu, Z.S. and Hu, H. "Projection models for intuitionistic fuzzy multiple attribute decision making", *International Journal of Information Technology and Decision Making*, **9**(2), pp. 267-280 (2010).

Biography

Dejian Yu received the BS degree in Information Management and Information System from Heilongjiang institute of Science and Technology, Harbin, China,

in 2006. The MS degree in System Engineering from Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing, China, in 2010, and the PhD degree in Management Science and Engineering from Southeast University, Nanjing, China, in 2012. He is currently a Lecturer with the School of Information, Zhejiang University of Finance and Economics, Hangzhou, China. His current research interests include aggregation operators, information fusion, and multi-criteria decision making.