Effects of welding operating factor on shipyard panel line's production quantity
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Abstract. Welding processes play a major role in ship production. If the welding process can be performed in less time, ship fabrication can be completed in a shorter time. Therefore, it is a significant issue for welding time to be ended as soon as possible. For this, the operator factor, which is a component of welding time calculation, could be increased. If this is done, welding time could be decreased. The purpose of this study is to determine the effects of operator factor on the production quantity of a panel line. Here, the panel line of a shipyard situated in Turkey was illustrated as an example. Whole workstations of a panel line were taken into consideration and modeled in a SIMIO simulation environment. By changing the operator factor in a web welding work station on a panel line, different welding time values were achieved. These welding time values were then inserted into a simulation model and the model was run for a specified period. Finally, the production quantities of the panel line were obtained as an output. Thus, the effects of a changing operator factor on panel line throughput were determined.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, a really tough competitive environment in global industries such as automobile and ship building industries, etc., can be seen. In order for companies to keep their competitive edge, they need to meet customer demand on time, as customers often expect to receive quality welded products on time [1]. On time delivery in ship production is very significant. Shipbuilding is traditionally a labor-intensive assembly industry that employs the welding process as a basic production technology [2]. In other words, welding is the largest labor component in shipbuilding [3]. To be able to deliver a ship to its owner on time, or prior to delivery time, welding operations in shipbuilding need to be performed as quickly as possible.

Arc welding is used extensively in shipbuilding, and most is performed by humans [4]. This is hard work, due to difficult work conditions because of fumes, high temperatures and welding positions. Furthermore, there are some related welding activities such as supplying parts, loading or unloading parts, removing parts and so on. Therefore, a welder is not able to constantly perform the welding process, and sometimes has to stop welding for these welding related activities or because of welding conditions. The operator factor indicates how much a worker spends his time on actual arc welding.

One of the most critical skills required to fabricate a ship is welding, and welders play a major role in shipbuilding [5]. So, the operator factor is a very significant issue. The operator factor is the ratio of arc hours to clock hours for a welder. In other words, the welding operator factor is the percentage of actual arc time while welding a specific length of weld.

The operator factor is often used in weld time calculation. Therefore, it is a basic component in the weld time equation. Total welding time is calculated
as:

\[ T = \frac{(V \times N \times C)}{(D \times K)}. \]

Here:

- \( T \) : Total welding time (hr);
- \( V \) : Volume of weld (m\(^3\)/m);
- \( N \) : Length of specified weld (m);
- \( C \) : Specified gravity of metal (kg/m\(^3\));
- \( D \) : Deposition rate (kg/hr);
- \( K \) : Operator factor (%).

Correa and Ferraresi [6] compared two welding processes, namely SAW (Submerged Arc Welding) and GMAW (Gas Metal Arc Welding) in terms of operational cost. In their study, while calculating operational costs, the operation factor was defined as the ratio between the open arc time and the total welding time, which is employed in equation [2]. Miller calculated labor and overhead costs by employing the operator factor, which was considered as 30%, which means only 30% of the welder's day is actually spent welding [7]. In the same way, Blodgett made an equation to determine the labour costs for GMAW, which contained an operator factor deemed as 30% [8].

As can be seen from the weld time equation, while the operator factor increases, total welding time decreases. As a result of this, the welding operation is completed in a shorter time, because a welder with a high operator factor can deposit more filler materials in a welding place in less time.

In this study, a panel line belonging to a shipyard situated in Turkey is used as an illustrative example. The shipyard has a capacity of 30,000 tonnes of steel per year and often fabricates containerships. In the shipyard, there is a huge covered steel fabrication plant. The block assembly area is outside and the assembly operations of aft and bow blocks are undertaken in covered buildings. Profile cutting, profile bending, nest cutting, and the pre-fabrication area are placed in the steel fabrication plant, as well as the panel line. The manual welding operation is performed in the web welding station on the panel line. In the work, welding time in the web welding station was calculated according to the weld time equation. In the same way, completion times of the other work stations on the panel line were calculated. Then, the panel line was modeled by SIMIO simulation software and station completion times were inserted in the simulation model. Afterwards, the simulation model was run along a specified time, and the panel line's production quantity was found as the output of the simulation model. In the next step, the operator factor changes and, as a result of this, the web welding station completion time also changes. The panel line simulation model was run again and again, according to the new operator factor values, and, finally, the effect of the operator factor on panel line production quantity was determined.

1.1. SIMIO

Simulation has been with us for over 40 years [9]. In this section, general knowledge about SIMIO is given. SIMIO is a simulation software which can model dynamic or complex systems. In SIMIO, some modules are employed to model some events. For instance, if you want to put a machine in your simulation model, you can use a server module. At the beginning of the modelling, you should select the source module in order to define the entities which will be flowing in the system. At the same time, the user can insert the information of how often and how many entities will enter the system. The entities entering the system are processed and for this, the user should drag the server modules. In the server module, the user can insert some data such as processing time, capacity type, failures and so on. After the entities are processed in the server modules, they leave the modules and exit the system. The sink module represents system exit. The system can run as much as the user desires and the outputs, such as throughput, work in process, queues, bottlenecks, waiting time and so on, can be achieved.

2. Methodology

In this study, a methodology which comprises 5 steps was implemented, as shown in Figure 1. In the first
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step, workstations that constitute a panel line were
defined and expounded. After that, in the second
step, the product to be manufactured in the panel
line was identified and some knowledge given about
its structure. Then, a detailed work analysis of
workstations in the panel line was performed and their
completion durations were determined. In the fourth
step, a simulation modeling of the panel line was built
by using SIMO software. In the last step, the effects of
changing the operator factor on panel line throughput
were determined.

3. Application

3.1. Definition of panel line workstations
(Step 1)
There are 9 workstations on a panel line. Figure 2
depicts the general arrangement of the panel line. The
first station on the panel line is the panel production
station. But, prior to the panel production station,
two more workstations: edge cutting and edge
cleaning-sequencing. Therefore, it is considered that a
panel line consists of nine workstations. In this section,
identification of these nine workstations on the panel
line was undertaken.

3.1.1. Edge cutting station
In this study, this station was deemed the first work-
station on the panel line. In this station, the edge
cutting operations of the flat plates are carried out.
Edge cutting operations are needed to be performed on
flat plates, which constitute the panel structure, in
order to get them into specific dimensions. For this,
plates are loaded onto a railed transport vehicle by
means of an overhead crane capable of lifting 15 tons,
then the plates are laid down on the plasma cutting
machine by the other overhead crane, also capable of
lifting 15 tons. Later, the edge cutting operation starts.
After the cutting operation is over, the cut plates are
unloaded onto the buffer area.

3.1.2. Edge cleaning and sequencing station
After the edge cutting operation is over, the plates
are transferred to the edge cleaning and sequencing
station. In this station, slags, which result from the
edge cutting operation, are removed by employing a
grinding machine on a grinding table. Then, the plates
are unloaded to the buffer area by using an overhead
crane capable of lifting 15 tons. Finally, the plates
are sequenced on sequencing areas, according to the
assembly turn.

3.1.3. Panel production station
After the edge cleaning and sequencing station, the
plates are transferred to the panel production station.
Here, the panel structure is fabricated by submerged
arc welding. In the first step, the plates are fixed to
the panel line by a conveyor fixing mechanism. Then,
they are sent to the tolerance plate welding area, where
the tolerance plates are welded with tack welding.
The submerged arc welding operation starts from the
tolerance plates, because the welding becomes more
stabilized. After that, the plates are transferred to a
submerged arc welding machine with a conveyor. Here,
the plates are welded by submerged arc welding and are
then sent to the buffer area.

3.1.4. Panel cutting station
In this station, the cutting, blasting and marking
operations of the panel are performed. The panel
fabricated in the panel production station gets to Buffer
Area 2. Then, the panel is transferred to a panel cutting
machine with a conveyor. Firstly, the set-up operation
of the machine is carried out and blasting and marking
operations are done. Then, the inside and counter
cutting operations of the panel are performed. Finally,
the panel is transferred to Buffer Area 1.

3.1.5. Stiffener mounting station
In this station, stiffeners are mounted on the panel by
tack welding. Stiffeners, which are stacked in a profile
stock area, are transferred to the porter system by an
overhead crane capable of lifting 10 tons. Then, the
porter system carries the stiffeners to the conveyor.
A profile mounting machine with a special transporter
unit carries the stiffeners to the panel and makes their
alignments on the panel. Finally, the stiffeners are
mounted on the panel by spot welding.

3.1.6. Stiffener welding station
Here, stiffeners are welded onto the panel by TIG
welding method. Welding operation are performed automatically. After the welding operation is completed, the panel with stiffeners are transferred to the buffer area.

3.1.7. Web mounting station
In this station, web structures are mounted on a flat panel assembly and a major sub assembly is fabricated. The porter system transports the web structures to the web mounting area and a crane capable of lifting 2 \times 6 \text{ tons} takes the web structures from the porter system and aligns them onto a flat panel assembly. Web structures are fixed onto the flat panel assembly by tack welding.

3.1.8. Web welding station
In this station, the major sub assembly, which is fabricated in the web mounting station, is transferred from the buffer area to the web welding area by a conveyor system, and the TIG welding operations of the structure are carried out.

3.1.9. Grinding station
This is the last work station of the panel line. The major sub assembly is transferred from the buffer area to the grinding area by a conveyor system. In the grinding area, the grinding operations of the welding places of the web structures are performed. So, slags are removed from the web structures.

3.2. Identification of product to be manufactured (Step 2)
The panel line is a kind of assembly line and it produces flat structures in a logic of group technology. The panel line manufactures flat panels with stiffeners, as well as major sub assembly. In this study, it is assumed that the major sub assembly structure is fabricated on the panel line. Figure 3 shows the major sub assembly. As can be seen from Figure 3, it consists of some single section parts and sub assemblies. After the flat panel is fabricated, stiffeners are mounted on it and then minor and sub assemblies are assembled. Finally, a major sub assembly structure is produced at the end of the panel line.

3.3. Work analysis and determination of workstations’ completion duration (Step 3)
In this step, a detailed process analysis of workstations on the panel line is carried out. Every workstation was investigated in a comprehensive way and work activities determined. The durations of the work activities were calculated and finally the completion times of the workstations were achieved. The completion durations of the workstations are shown in Table 1.

Here, in the web welding station, completion time was calculated in accordance with the weld time equation. In this calculation, the operator factor is deemed as 0.1. Table 2 shows how to calculate the web welding station completion time.

3.4. Simulation modeling of panel line with SIMIO (Step 4)
Figure 4 depicts the simulation model of the panel line. The panel line was modeled using SIMIO software.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Completion durations of workstations on panel line [10].</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Station name</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edge cutting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edge cleaning and sequencing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel cutting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiffener mounting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiffener welding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web mounting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web welding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grinding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Welding time calculation in web welding station.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Welding parts</th>
<th>Welding length (m)</th>
<th>Volume of weld (m³/m)</th>
<th>Specific gravity of metal (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Deposition rate (kg/hr)</th>
<th>Operator factor</th>
<th>Welding time (hr)</th>
<th>Worker quantity</th>
<th>Completion time (min.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Web structure welding</td>
<td>85.4</td>
<td>0.0000315</td>
<td>2870</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>60.488</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>907.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plate part welding</td>
<td>492.712</td>
<td>0.000021</td>
<td>2870</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>232.658</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3489.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section part welding</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>0.000224</td>
<td>2870</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>22.362</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>333.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total web welding station completion time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4731.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Server’s contents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module name</th>
<th>Capacity type</th>
<th>Work schedule</th>
<th>Ranking rule</th>
<th>Processing time (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Edge cutting</td>
<td>Work schedule</td>
<td>Standard week</td>
<td>First in first out</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edge cleaning and sequencing</td>
<td>Work schedule</td>
<td>Standard week</td>
<td>First in first out</td>
<td>119.217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel production</td>
<td>Work schedule</td>
<td>Standard week</td>
<td>First in first out</td>
<td>368.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel cutting</td>
<td>Work schedule</td>
<td>Standard week</td>
<td>First in first out</td>
<td>227.344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiffener mounting</td>
<td>Work schedule</td>
<td>Standard week</td>
<td>First in first out</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiffener welding</td>
<td>Work schedule</td>
<td>Standard week</td>
<td>First in first out</td>
<td>214.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web mounting</td>
<td>Work schedule</td>
<td>Standard week</td>
<td>First in first out</td>
<td>476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web welding</td>
<td>Work schedule</td>
<td>Standard week</td>
<td>First in first out</td>
<td>4731.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grinding</td>
<td>Work schedule</td>
<td>Standard week</td>
<td>First in first out</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Simulation model of panel line.

There are nine server modules and ten conveyor steps in the simulation model and each of them represents the workstations and connections between workstations.

The server module in the simulation represents the workstations on the panel line and its content is illustrated in Figure 5 and Table 3. Accordingly, capacity type means whether or not the working period is fixed. In other words, it shows labour hours. In this study, labour hours are based on a work schedule. This is deemed as a standard week, which means the company works eight hours per day. The ranking rule was chosen as the first in first out rule, which means that whatever comes first is processed first. It is the processing time in the last column, and it shows the completion durations of the workstations.

The conveyor step represents the conveyors be-
tween workstations. In this study, conveyor speed was thought to have a desired speed of 12 meters per minute. Furthermore, each conveyor between workstations has a length of 20 meters. The contents of the conveyor step were shown in Figure 6 and Table 4.

After the simulation model was built, the system was run for four months, and the production quantity was attempted to be found.

3.5. Determination of effects of changing operator factor on panel line throughput (Step 5)

In this section, the effects of changing operator factor on panel line production quantity were investigated. The operator factor was altered from 0.1 to 1.0. When this was done, the welding duration of the web welding station was also changed. This time change was put in the simulation model and the model was run for 4 months. At the end, the production quantity of the panel line was found. Therefore, it was found how much the change of operator factor affected the panel line throughput. In Table 5, this effect can be seen clearly.

As can be seen from Table 5, while the operator factor is increasing, panel line production quantity (throughput) is increasing as well. Figure 7 represents the relation between operator factor and panel line throughput. According to Figure 7, the relation between the operator factor and panel line throughput is proportional.

Figure 8 depicts production quantity enhance-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module name</th>
<th>Initial desired speed</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Drawn to scale</th>
<th>Logical length (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conveyor 1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Meters per minute</td>
<td>False</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conveyor 2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Meters per minute</td>
<td>False</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conveyor 3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Meters per minute</td>
<td>False</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conveyor 4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Meters per minute</td>
<td>False</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conveyor 5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Meters per minute</td>
<td>False</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conveyor 6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Meters per minute</td>
<td>False</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conveyor 7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Meters per minute</td>
<td>False</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conveyor 8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Meters per minute</td>
<td>False</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conveyor 9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Meters per minute</td>
<td>False</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conveyor 10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Meters per minute</td>
<td>False</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Changing of system throughput according to operator factor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operator factor</th>
<th>Total activity duration (hr)</th>
<th>Total activity duration (min.)</th>
<th>No. of welding team</th>
<th>Web welding completion time (min.)</th>
<th>Panel line throughput (unit)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>315.41</td>
<td>18924.6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4731.15</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>157.705</td>
<td>9462.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2365.575</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>105.136</td>
<td>6308.16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1577.04</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>78.852</td>
<td>4731.12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1182.78</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>63.062</td>
<td>3784.92</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>946.23</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>52.568</td>
<td>3154.08</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>788.52</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>45.058</td>
<td>2703.48</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>675.87</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>39.126</td>
<td>2365.36</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>591.39</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>35.015</td>
<td>2102.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>525.675</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>31.541</td>
<td>1892.46</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4731.15</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 7. Relation between operator factor and panel line’s production quantity.](image1)

Figure 7. Relation between operator factor and panel line’s production quantity.

![Figure 8. Production quantity enhancement between operator factors.](image2)

Figure 8. Production quantity enhancement between operator factors.

In this study, it was aimed to determine the effects of operator factor changes on panel line production quantity. As can be seen above, the increasing of the operator factor directly affects panel line production quantity. In other words, a higher operator factor increases production quantity. By increasing the operator factor, less welding time can be achieved on the panel line and this situation leads to higher production quantity on the panel line. This means that a shipyard can fabricate major sub assembly structures in less time. So, shipyard production engineers have to focus on how to increase the actual arc welding of the welder.

4. Conclusion

In this study, it was aimed to determine the effects of operator factor changes on panel line production quantity. As can be seen above, the increasing of the operator factor directly affects panel line production quantity. In other words, a higher operator factor increases production quantity. By increasing the operator factor, less welding time can be achieved on the panel line and this situation leads to higher production quantity on the panel line. This means that a shipyard can fabricate major sub assembly structures in less time. So, shipyard production engineers have to focus on how to increase the actual arc welding of the welder.
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