Exergy analysis of the triple effect parallel flow water-lithium bromide absorption chiller with three condensers
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Abstract. This paper is devoted to the thermodynamic analysis and investigation of the triple effect parallel flow water/lithium bromide chiller with three condensers. For this purpose, the conservation equations governing the cycle are written and the cycle is investigated regarding the first and second laws of thermodynamics. Next, the thermodynamic states of various points of the cycle, cycle efficiency, work and heat transfer, and also the exergy loss in various components of the cycle, are evaluated. Finally, the exergy analysis is carried out and the effect of effective parameters on the cycle’s better performance has been studied. It was concluded that when the temperature of the high-temperature generator increases, the cycle COP increases and total exergy losses decrease, though the increase in COP and decrease in energy loss become negligible for temperatures higher than 210°C. Therefore, further temperature increase does not particularly contribute to an increase in COP or reduction of exergy losses, and this temperature is the most optimal temperature at which both COP and exergy losses have acceptable values.

© 2013 Sharif University of Technology. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The environmental background of human beings has a direct influence on their mental state, physical situation, and working condition. Since, nowadays the majority of human life is spent inside, providing favourable environmental conditions within buildings is of crucial importance. Nowadays, with the increase of migration to large cities, the ventilation industry has also developed. A central cooling source in buildings includes chillers, which are used to cool the water required for ventilation systems, and in which the absorption systems of water-lithium bromide are widely used.

With the increasing demand for energy and its restrictions on availability, optimization routines of energy consumption have been considered and an essential change in energy consumption patterns has been taken into account. Because of the high price of electric energy generation, its replacement by heating energy will be widespread. This replacement has been achieved in absorption chillers, as their input energy is heat. Compression chillers use carbon-felour-colour refrigerants, which are destructive to the ozone layer [1], as opposed to absorption chillers, which do not use these substances. Apart from these advantages, due to lack of a compressor, absorption chillers have less moving and spinning parts, thus, lower noise and vibration, and, consequently, a higher useful lifetime. However, these systems have some disadvantages, such as the low coefficient of performance, crystallization, and corrosion etc., which have attracted the interest of some investigators.
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The single effect water-lithium bromide cycle has been analyzed based on the first law of thermodynamics [2], second law of thermodynamics [3] and exergy [4, 5]. Lee and Sheriff analysed the single effect cycle for cooling and heating applications [6], Liao et al. focused on combined heat and power generation applications [7], and Ayon et al. focused on combined absorption power and cooling cycles [8]. Similar analysis has also been investigated for a double effect water-lithium bromide cycle [9-11]. Garousi Farshi et al. analysed the exergy of double effect systems economically [12], while others have compared the performances of single and double effect cycles based on the first and second laws of thermodynamics [13-15].

Mancie and Lage analyzed and optimized the triple effect series flow water/lithium bromide absorption cycle with one condenser [16]. The efficiency increase obtained using triple effect absorption cycles have theoretically been proven and some triple effect cycles have been patented, though few have industrial and commercial potential.

Triple effect technology is currently under active development by several of the leading absorption equipment manufacturers. The aim of the triple effect is to raise the gas-fired cooling COP to the range of 1.4 to 1.5 with only a modest increase in initial cost. Since these systems have not yet reached the market, the true potential of triple effect absorption technology has not been well defined. Triple effect inherently implies higher temperatures. The thermodynamic basis of the higher COP values comes from the increased availability of the high temperature heat input. The higher temperature causes significant increases in the corrosion rates for traditional materials of construction. Thus, most triple effect concepts revolve around the solution of the high temperature corrosion challenge.

Thermodynamic analysis of the triple effect water/lithium bromide absorption cycle with one condenser has been carried out [17-19], and Gomri compared the performances of the single, double and triple effect absorption cycles [20]. Moreover, some references considered optimization of the water/lithium bromide absorption cycles [21, 22]. The analyses mentioned are static analysis of the water/lithium bromide absorption cycles, and some references have dynamically analyzed these systems [23-25]. The experimental test results in this field are very limited and sometimes inaccessible [26-28]. On the other hand, the price of various performance tests of the designed set-up is very high. Therefore, the significance of alternative experimental tests, such as numerical analysis, is revealed.

Almost all references have investigated absorption chillers with one condenser. Sedigh and Saffari analyzed a series and parallel flow water/lithium bromide double effect absorption system with two condensers [29], and this paper investigates a parallel flow triple effect water/lithium bromide absorption chiller with three condensers, based on the first and second laws of thermodynamics. Thermodynamic properties, heat transfer rates, the exergy destructed in the various components of the system, and the coefficient of performance, have been calculated. Finally, the exergy analysis is carried out and represented in diagrams to study the effect of effective parameters on the cycle’s better performance.

2. The triple effect parallel flow water/lithium bromide absorption chiller with three condensers

Figure 1 shows a schematic illustration of the analyzed system. As can be seen, the system contains three generators, an absorber, three condensers evapora- tor, three pumps, three expansion valves, and three Solution Heat Exchangers (SHE). The system has seven temperature levels (LTG, MTG, HTG, HTC, MTC, condenser and absorber) and four pressure levels (low pressure in the evaporator and absorber, medium pressure in the condenser and the LTG, medium pressure in the MTG and MTC, and high pressure in the HTG and HTC). The first generation works by external heat input at high temperature generation (HTG). This

![Figure 1. Triple effect parallel flow water/lithium bromide absorption chiller with three condensers.](image-url)
cycle includes two internal heat exchange processes between a condenser and a generator. The second and third generations work by internal heat exchange at Medium Temperature Generation (MTG) and Low Temperature Generation (L TG), respectively. Thus, each unit of heat is used in three different generators to generate vapor, hence the name, triple effect. This particular cycle is a three-stage machine.

As shown in Figure 1, the vapor refrigerant coming from the evaporator (10) is absorbed by a liquid solution (6). This liquid solution is then pumped through the solution heat exchanger (LHX) (1-2-3) to LTG. The weak solution is then pumped through the strong solution heat exchanger (MHX) (11-12-13) to MTG, where it is boiled out by a strong solution coming from the HTG. In the next step, the liquid solution passes the pump and HHX (21-23), sent to the HTG and heated by an external heat input. The produced vapor is sent to the HTC and the strong solution comes back to the MTG. The vapor passes the HTG and, after cooling, enters the expansion valve as a saturated liquid, where it exits as a two-phase fluid (28-29). The two-phase fluid enters the MTC, from where it is mixed with exit vapor. The two-phase water exits MTC as a saturated liquid at MTC temperature. The same process occurs in the condenser and, finally, the saturated liquid passes the expansion valve (8-9), enters the evaporator and produces the required cooling capacity.

3. Assumptions and input parameters

In order to simulate the triple effect absorption refrigeration system, several assumptions are made, including the following:

1. The analysis is made under steady conditions.
2. The refrigerant (water) at the outlet of the condenser is saturated liquid.
3. The refrigerant (water) at the outlet of the evaporator is saturated vapor.
4. The Lithium bromide solution at the absorber outlet is a strong solution and is at absorber temperature.
5. The outlet temperatures from the absorber and condenser correspond to equilibrium conditions of mixing and separation, respectively.
6. Pressure losses in the pipelines and all heat exchangers are negligible.
7. Heat exchange between the system and surroundings, other than that prescribed by heat transfer at the HPG, evaporator, condenser and absorber, does not occur.
8. The referenced environmental state for the system is water at an environmental temperature, $T_0$, of 25°C and 1 atmospheric pressure ($P_0$).
9. The system produces chilled water.

The system rejects heat to cool the water at the condenser and absorber.

Fixed data used in the simulation are summarized in Table 1.

Investigation of this cycle requires some working and fixed parameters. These parameters, given in Table 1, are obtained from [20] and include the following: Required cooling load, $Q_{cv}$, efficiency of heat exchangers, $E_{ff}$, condenser temperature, $T_{cv}$, absorber temperature, $T_{ab}$, evaporator temperature, $T_{ev}$, high-pressure generator temperature, $T_{gh}$, temperature of inlet water to absorber, temperature of outlet water from condenser, temperatures of inlet and outlet water to and from evaporator, and temperature of inlet steam to high temperature generator.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fixed data</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Refrigeration capacity</td>
<td>$Q_{cv}$</td>
<td>300 (kW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat exchanger effectiveness</td>
<td>$E_{ff}$</td>
<td>70 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condensation temperature</td>
<td>$T_{cd}$</td>
<td>35 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorber temperature</td>
<td>$T_{ab}$</td>
<td>35 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaporator temperature</td>
<td>$T_{ev}$</td>
<td>8 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTG temperature</td>
<td>$T_{gh}$</td>
<td>180 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet temperature of cooling water to absorber</td>
<td>$T_{35}$</td>
<td>27 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet temperature of cooling water from condenser</td>
<td>$T_{35}$</td>
<td>32 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet temperature of chilled water</td>
<td>$T_{30}$</td>
<td>11 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet temperature of chilled water</td>
<td>$T_{37}$</td>
<td>16 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet temperature of hot water</td>
<td>$T_{30}$</td>
<td>200 °C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
When heat exchanger effectiveness increases, the COP of the cycle increases. The reason is that if heat exchanger effectiveness is higher, less heat is lost from the system and, therefore, the COP of the cycle is higher. Here, however, heat exchanger effectiveness is considered constant and equal to 70% according to [20].

4. Thermodynamic analysis

4.1. Mass conservation
The mass conservation law for each component is written as:
\[ \sum \dot{m}_1 = \sum \dot{m}_a. \]  
(1)

4.2. Concentration of concentration
The law of concentration conservation for each component is written as:
\[ \sum \dot{m}_1 X_1 = \sum \dot{m}_a X_a. \]  
(2)

4.3. Analysis of the first law of thermodynamics for the system
The first law of thermodynamics yields the energy balance of each component of the absorption system as follows (each component can be treated as a control volume with inlet and outlet streams, heat transfer and work interaction):
\[ \left( \sum \dot{m}_1 h_1 - \sum \dot{m}_a h_a \right) + \left( \sum Q_i - \sum Q_o \right) + W = 0. \]  
(3)

The energy balance equations for some of the components of the single effect system are expressed as follows:

LHX:
\[ E f_{\text{LHX}} = T_4 - T_5 \]  
(4)
\[ \dot{Q}_{\text{LHX}} = \dot{m}_1 (h_1 - h_2). \]  
(5)
\[ \dot{Q}_{\text{LHX}} = \dot{m}_1 (h_4 - h_5). \]  
(6)

MIX:
\[ E f_{\text{MIX}} = \frac{T_{14} - T_{15}}{T_{14} - T_{12}}. \]  
(7)
\[ \dot{Q}_{\text{MIX}} = \dot{m}_{11} (h_{13} - h_{12}). \]  
(8)
\[ \dot{Q}_{\text{MIX}} = \dot{m}_{14} (h_{14} - h_{15}). \]  
(9)

NIX:
\[ E f_{\text{NIX}} = \frac{T_{24} - T_{25}}{T_{24} - T_{22}}. \]  
(10)
\[ \dot{Q}_{\text{NIX}} = \dot{m}_{21} (h_{23} - h_{22}). \]  
(11)
\[ \dot{Q}_{\text{NIX}} = \dot{m}_{24} (h_{24} - h_{25}). \]  
(12)

HTC:
\[ Q_{\text{HTC}} = \dot{m}_{27} h_{27} - \dot{m}_{28} h_{28}. \]  
(13)

MTC:
\[ Q_{\text{MTC}} = \dot{m}_{17} h_{17} - \dot{m}_{18} h_{18} + \dot{m}_{20} h_{20}. \]  
(14)

Condenser:
\[ Q_{cd} = \dot{m}_{17} h_{17} + \dot{m}_{19} h_{19} - \dot{m}_{18} h_{18} \]  
(15)
\[ Q_{cd} = \dot{m}_{34} c_p (T_{35} - T_{34}). \]  
(16)

HTG:
\[ Q_{gh} = \dot{m}_{27} h_{27} + \dot{m}_{28} h_{28} - \dot{m}_{29} h_{29}. \]  
(17)
\[ Q_{gh} = \dot{m}_{30} c_p (T_{30} - T_{31}). \]  
(18)

MTG:
\[ 0 = Q_{gm} + \dot{m}_{13} h_{13} + \dot{m}_{20} h_{20} - \dot{m}_{14} h_{14} - \dot{m}_{21} h_{21} \]  
(19)
\[ \dot{Q}_{\text{HTC}} = Q_{gm}. \]  
(20)

LTG:
\[ 0 = Q_{gl} + \dot{m}_{3} h_{3} + \dot{m}_{10} h_{10} - \dot{m}_{4} h_{4} - \dot{m}_{11} h_{11} - \dot{m}_{7} h_{7}. \]  
(21)
\[ Q_{\text{MTC}} = Q_{gl}. \]  
(22)

Evaporator:
\[ Q_{ev} = \dot{m}_{10} (h_{10} - h_{0}). \]  
(23)
\[ Q_{ev} = \dot{m}_{30} c_p (T_{30} - T_{31}). \]  
(24)

Absorber:
\[ \dot{m}_{10} h_{10} + \dot{m}_{9} h_{9} - Q_{ab} - \dot{m}_{1} h_{1} = 0. \]  
(25)
\[ Q_{ab} = \dot{m}_{32} c_p (T_{32} - T_{32}). \]  
(26)

4.4. Analysis of the system exergy
Many researchers report that the best method for evaluation of a process is the exergy analysis [4,5]. Exergy is the maximum work that a flow or a system can do when it goes from the present state to the state of equilibrium with its surroundings. The exergy analysis of a system is a combination of the first and second laws of thermodynamics, and is defined as the maximum work that a system or flow can do when it is in equilibrium with special conditions. Analysis of the system exergy is as follows:
\[ \sum \dot{m}_{i e} - \sum \dot{m}_{a e} + \dot{Q} \left( 1 - \frac{T_o}{T} \right) - W - E_D = 0. \]  
(27)
in which the first two terms are the sum of inlet exergy flow and outlet exergy flow. The third term is the heat exergy, where it is positive when the heat is transferred to the system. \( W \) is the mechanical work transferred to or from the system, and the last term, \( E_D \), is the exergy destroyed due to inside irreversibility. By neglecting the kinetic and potential energies and noting that the chemical exergy is zero, the specific exergy is defined as \([15]\):

\[
e_{x} = (h - h_0) - T_0(s - s_0).
\] (28)

And the exergy losses for each of the components of the system are written as:

\[
\Delta E_{HTC} = \dot{m}_{21}e_{21} - \dot{m}_{28}e_{28},
\] (29)

\[
\Delta E_{MTC} = \dot{m}_{29}e_{29} + \dot{m}_{17}e_{17} - \dot{m}_{18}e_{18},
\] (30)

\[
\Delta E_{cd} = \dot{m}_{10}e_{10} + \dot{m}_{34}e_{34} - \dot{m}_{56}e_{56} - \dot{m}_{25}e_{25},
\] (31)

\[
\Delta E_{ce} = \dot{m}_{26}e_{26} + \dot{m}_{35}e_{35} - \dot{m}_{10}e_{10} - \dot{m}_{37}e_{37},
\] (32)

\[
\Delta E_{ab} = \dot{m}_{10}e_{10} + \dot{m}_{50}e_{50} + \dot{m}_{32}e_{32} - \dot{m}_{1}e_{1} - \dot{m}_{33}e_{33},
\] (33)

\[
\Delta E_{LHX} = \dot{m}_{4}e_{4} + \dot{m}_{2}e_{2} - \dot{m}_{5}e_{5} - \dot{m}_{3}e_{3},
\] (34)

\[
\Delta E_{MHX} = \dot{m}_{14}e_{14} + \dot{m}_{12}e_{12} - \dot{m}_{13}e_{13} - \dot{m}_{15}e_{15},
\] (35)

\[
\Delta E_{HHX} = \dot{m}_{24}e_{24} + \dot{m}_{22}e_{22} - \dot{m}_{21}e_{21} - \dot{m}_{25}e_{25},
\] (36)

\[
\Delta E_{gl} = \dot{m}_{10}e_{10} + \dot{m}_{3}e_{3} - \dot{m}_{4}e_{4} - \dot{m}_{11}e_{11} - \dot{m}_{17}e_{17},
\] (37)

\[
\Delta E_{gm} = \dot{m}_{26}e_{26} + \dot{m}_{13}e_{13} - \dot{m}_{14}e_{14} - \dot{m}_{21}e_{21} - \dot{m}_{17}e_{17},
\] (38)

\[
\Delta E_{gh} = \dot{m}_{23}e_{23} + \dot{m}_{30}e_{30} - \dot{m}_{24}e_{24} - \dot{m}_{31}e_{31} - \dot{m}_{27}e_{27},
\] (39)

\[
\Delta E_{p1} = \dot{m}_{1}e_{1} - \dot{m}_{2}e_{2} + W_{p1},
\] (40)

\[
\Delta E_{p2} = \dot{m}_{11}e_{11} - \dot{m}_{12}e_{12} + W_{p2},
\] (41)

\[
\Delta E_{salve28-20} = \dot{m}_{28}e_{28} - \dot{m}_{20}e_{20},
\] (42)

\[
\Delta E_{salve18-10} = \dot{m}_{18}e_{18} - \dot{m}_{10}e_{10},
\] (43)

\[
\Delta E_{salve20-20} = \dot{m}_{20}e_{20} - \dot{m}_{20}e_{20},
\] (44)

\[
\Delta E_{salve15-10} = \dot{m}_{15}e_{15} - \dot{m}_{10}e_{10},
\] (45)

\[
\Delta E_{salve8-5} = \dot{m}_{5}e_{5} - \dot{m}_{0}e_{0},
\] (46)

\[
\Delta E_{salve5-5} = \dot{m}_{5}e_{5} - \dot{m}_{6}e_{6},
\] (47)

### 5. Results and discussion

A code has been written for analysis of the system. First, the analysis of the first law of thermodynamics has been investigated for each of the system components, and then, having obtained the properties of all points of the system, the second law analysis has been carried out on different system components. Tables 2, 3 and 4 show the simulation results for the

**Table 2.** Thermodynamic properties of each state point.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State point</th>
<th>( T ) (°C)</th>
<th>( \dot{m} ) (kg/s)</th>
<th>( h ) (kJ/kg)</th>
<th>( s ) (kJ/kg K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>1.889</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>0.231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>1.889</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>0.231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>1.889</td>
<td>129.4</td>
<td>0.384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>1.763</td>
<td>170.8</td>
<td>0.439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>1.763</td>
<td>117.3</td>
<td>0.281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>426.6</td>
<td>1.763</td>
<td>117.3</td>
<td>0.297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>655.5</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>2622.1</td>
<td>8.530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>350.0</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>1466.0</td>
<td>0.505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>1466.0</td>
<td>0.523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>2515.2</td>
<td>8.948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>655.5</td>
<td>1.378</td>
<td>143.5</td>
<td>0.426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>655.5</td>
<td>1.378</td>
<td>218.6</td>
<td>0.636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>1.286</td>
<td>270.1</td>
<td>0.708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>1.286</td>
<td>1897.0</td>
<td>0.493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td>1.286</td>
<td>1897.0</td>
<td>0.444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>114.4</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>2711.0</td>
<td>7.827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>327.7</td>
<td>1.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>327.7</td>
<td>1.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>114.4</td>
<td>0.824</td>
<td>246.7</td>
<td>0.710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>114.5</td>
<td>0.824</td>
<td>246.8</td>
<td>0.711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>155.0</td>
<td>0.824</td>
<td>332.6</td>
<td>0.924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>180.0</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td>384.3</td>
<td>0.983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>121.4</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td>292.4</td>
<td>0.764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td>292.4</td>
<td>0.470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>170.5</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>2807.0</td>
<td>7.259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>128.0</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>537.9</td>
<td>1.613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>537.9</td>
<td>1.653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>200.0</td>
<td>8.000</td>
<td>2792.5</td>
<td>6.431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>194.7</td>
<td>8.000</td>
<td>8288.8</td>
<td>2.281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>14.000</td>
<td>113.1</td>
<td>0.395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>14.000</td>
<td>139.8</td>
<td>0.483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>14.000</td>
<td>126.8</td>
<td>0.440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>14.000</td>
<td>134.0</td>
<td>0.464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>14.286</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>0.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>14.286</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>0.166</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
thermodynamic properties, heat transfer rates of each component and the exergy destructed at the various
components of the system, respectively.

The COP and amount of energy consumption by pumps are presented in Table 5.

The effect of variations in HTG temperature on the coefficient of performance of the system at

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Transfer rates of each component.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Component</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q_{absorber}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q_{evaporator}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q_{low temperature generator}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q_{medium temperature generator}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q_{high temperature generator}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q_{low temperature condenser}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q_{medium temperature condenser}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q_{high temperature condenser}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q_{medium temperature heat exchanger}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q_{high temperature heat exchanger}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. Exergy destructed at the various components of the system.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exergy loss in absorber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exergy loss in evaporator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exergy loss in low temperature generator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exergy loss in medium temperature generator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exergy loss in high temperature generator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exergy loss in low temperature condenser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exergy loss in medium temperature condenser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exergy loss in high temperature condenser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exergy loss in low temperature heat exchanger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exergy loss in medium temperature heat exchanger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exergy loss in high temperature heat exchanger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exergy loss in pump 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exergy loss in pump 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exergy loss in pump 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exergy loss in valves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total exergy loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorber pressure (P1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaporator pressure (P1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low temperature generator pressure (P2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low temperature condenser pressure (P2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium temperature generator pressure (P3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium temperature condenser pressure (P3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High temperature generator pressure (P4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High temperature condenser pressure (P4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5. COP and amount of energy consumption by pumps.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W pump 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W pump 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W pump 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The operating condition changed here is the HTG temperature.

Figure 3 shows the variations of the coefficient of performance of single, double and series triple
effect absorption refrigeration systems with generator temperatures at various evaporator temperatures
taken from [15]. As can be seen from Figures 2 and 3, the variations and the range of COP are the same.

Here, the variations of the system Coefficient Of Performance (COP) are investigated in terms of the
parameters considered fixed at the beginning. Figure 4 shows the variations of the Coefficient Of Performance
(COP) with evaporator temperature. It can be seen
that when the evaporator temperature increases, the result is an increase in COP.

Figure 5 shows the variations of the Coefficient Of Performance (COP) with condenser temperature.

As can be seen, the increase in condenser temperature causes a decrease in the cycle’s COP. This is because the decrease in condenser temperature causes more heat release. Therefore, in an absorption cycle, the condenser temperature decrease is more favorable, due to the increase in COP.

Figure 6 shows the variations of the Coefficient Of Performance (COP) with the absorber temperature. It can be seen that the COP increases with an increase in absorber temperature.

It can be seen from Figure 7 that when the heat exchangers effectiveness increases, the cycle’s COP increases. This is because the heat loss is lower in a heat exchanger with higher effectiveness, which results in the higher overall performance of the cycle.

Another parameter having significant influence on the performance of the absorption chillers is the lithium bromide solution mass flow rate in the absorption camber outlet. This value is controlled by the solution pump. Here, the effect of this parameter variation on COP is investigated. As can be seen from Figure 8, the chiller COP decreases with an increase in the solution mass flow rate.

Contrary to the variations of the COP with the solution mass flow rate, the chiller cooling load increases with the solution mass flow rate, shown in Figure 9.
Figure 8. Variations of COP with the lithium bromide solution mass flow rate.

Figure 9. The variations of the cooling load with the water/lithium bromide solution mass flow rate.

Figure 10. Variations of exergy losses in absorber and evaporator with evaporator capacity.

Here, the exergy loss in various cycle components is investigated in terms of the evaporator cooling load, which was fixed in the beginning.

Figure 10 shows the variations of exergy loss in the absorber and evaporator, in terms of the cooling load. As can be seen, the exergy losses in both the absorber and evaporator increase with the increase of cooling load. Also, the exergy loss in the absorber is much higher than that in the evaporator.

Figure 11 shows the variations of exergy loss in the condensers, in terms of the cooling load.

As expected, in all three condensers, when the condenser temperature increases, the exergy loss increases. The HTC has the highest value of exergy loss compared to the MTC and LTC.

The variations of exergy loss in the heat exchangers are shown in terms of the cooling load in Figure 12. As seen, the exergy losses in the heat exchangers also increase with an increase in the cooling load.

Figures 13 and 14 show the variations of exergy loss in the three generators, in terms of the cooling load. As expected, the exergy loss increases with an increase in generator temperature, such that the HTG has the highest exergy loss compared to MTG and LTG.

It can be seen that the exergy losses in the high temperature generator have very low dependency on the cooling load. However, according to Figure 14,
the exergy losses in medium and low temperature generators have a dependency on the cooling load, in which exergy loss increases with an increase in cooling load.

Figure 15 shows the variations of exergy loss in the pumps, in terms of the heat exchange in the evaporator. As seen, in all three pumps, exergy losses increase with an increase in cooling load. However, exergy loss in the pumps is so low that it can be neglected.

Here, we seek to optimize the temperature for the high-temperature generator. Figure 16 shows the variations of total exergy losses and COP with the temperature of the high-temperature generator. As can be seen, the increase in temperature of the high-temperature generator results in an increase in the system COP, a decrease in the exergy loss of all system components and, therefore, the total exergy losses of the system. It should be mentioned that the COP increase and decrease in exergy loss in the high-temperature generator are negligible for temperatures higher than 210°C. Therefore, the most optimal temperature for the high-temperature generator is 210°C in which both COP and the exergy losses have acceptable values, and further temperature increase does not contribute considerably to an increase in COP or in the reduction of exergy losses.

6. Conclusions

In this paper, the thermodynamic analysis of a triple effect parallel flow water/lithium bromide absorption chiller for cooling and heating applications is performed, and the exergy loss of each component is calculated. A computer program has been developed to predict its performance. It was revealed that by increasing the temperatures of the evaporator, condenser, absorber and HTG, the coefficient of performance is increased, and by decreasing the condenser temperature, the coefficient of performance is decreased. In addition, exergy loss is in proportion with the cooling capacity of the chiller and inversely in proportion with the generator temperature. The variations of various parameters, such as Coefficient Of Performance (COP), exergy losses in each component, and total exergy losses with the temperature of the high-temperature generator, are then plotted. Finally, it was concluded that when the temperature of the high-temperature generator increases, the cycle COP increases and total exergy losses decrease. However, the increase in COP and decrease in exergy loss become negligible for temperatures higher than 210°C. Therefore, further temperature increase does not contribute considerably to the increase in COP or to the reduction in exergy loss. Thus, this temperature is the most optimal temperature at which both the COP and exergy losses have acceptable values.

Nomenclature

- $A$ Area (m²)
- $COP$ Coefficient Of Performance
- $Ex$ Exergy per mass unit (kJ kg⁻¹)
- $E$ Exergy (kW)
- $Eff$ Heat exchanger efficiency (%) 
- $G$ Gravitational acceleration (m s⁻²)
- $H$ Specific enthalpy (kJ kg⁻¹)
- $H$ Total enthalpy (kJ)
HTG  High temperature generator
HTC  High temperature condenser
HHX  High temperature heat exchanger
LTG  Low temperature generator
LHX  Low temperature heat exchanger
MTG  Medium temperature generator
MTC  Medium temperature condenser
MHX  Medium temperature heat exchanger
\( M \) Mass flow rate (kg s\(^{-1}\))
\( P \) Pressure (kPa)
\( Q \) Heat (kJ)
\( S \) Specific entropy (kJ kg\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\))
\( S \) Total entropy (kJ/K)
SHE  Solution heat exchanger
\( T \) Temperature (K)
\( U \) Specific internal energy (kJ/kg)
\( U \) Total internal energy (kJ). Overall heat transfer coefficient (m\(^2\)K/kJ)
\( X \) Concentration (%)  
\( V \) Volume (m\(^3\))
\( W \) Work (kJ)

**Greek symbols**

\( \Delta \) Exergy losses, difference
\( \Omega \) Humidity ratio
\( \phi \) Relative humidity

**Subscripts**

\( Ab \)  Absorber  
\( D \)  Loss  
\( I \)  Number of the flow branch, input, inlet  
\( Ir \)  Irreversible  
\( O \)  Output, outlet  
\( Ev \)  Evaporator  
\( Cd \)  Condenser  
\( Gh \)  High Temperature Generator (HTG)  
\( Gm \)  Medium Temperature Generator (MTG)  
\( Gl \)  Low Temperature Generator (LTG)  
HHX  High temperature heat exchanger  
MHHX  Medium temperature heat exchanger  
LHX  Low temperature heat exchanger  
HTC  High temperature condenser  
MTC  Medium temperature condenser  
\( P \)  Pump  
\( 0 \)  Surroundings  
\( V \)  Vapour  

\( G \) Gas  
\( Gen \) Generation
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