

Photodegradation of *N*-Methyldiethanolamine over ZnO/SnO₂ Coupled Photocatalysts

R. Ali^{1,*}, W.A.W. Abu Bakar¹, S.S. Mislan¹ and M.A. Sharifuddin¹

Abstract. A photocatalyst semiconductor can be used to remove organic pollutants from wastewater in the presence of UV light. In this research, coupled ZnO/SnO₂ semiconductors with 1:1 and 2:1 ratios were prepared using the co-precipitation method. The prepared coupled ZnO/SnO₂ photocatalyst was tested towards the photodegradation of simulated *N*-Methyldiethanolamine (MDEA) under UV-irradiation ($\lambda = 365$ nm, 100 V, 6 W). The ZnO/SnO₂ was characterized using UV diffused reflectance spectroscopy and X-ray diffraction. The band gap energy for ZnO/SnO₂ (ZS) catalyst calcined at 200°C, 400°C, 600°C and 900°C were 3.22, 3.13, 3.12 and 3.07 eV, respectively, while for Z₂S were 3.71, 3.28, 3.16, and 3.14 eV, respectively. XRD results indicated that ZS and Z₂S catalysts possessed mixed phases of ZnO, SnO₂ and Zn₂SnO₄ at a calcinations temperature above 600°C. The ZnO/SnO₂ (2:1) catalyst, which was calcined at 600°C for 9 hours, gave the optimum percentage photodegradation of MDEA with 39.18%. The percentage degradation of MDEA was measured using ion chromatography with retention time at the measured peak of 4–5 minutes. The optimum system was applied on the photodegradation of MDEA in petroleum wastewater. The percentage degradation of MDEA in petroleum wastewater was 23.38 %.

Keywords: ZnO; SnO₂; MDEA; Photocatalyst; Photodegradation; Band gap energy.

INTRODUCTION

N-MDEA is one organic pollutant commonly used in petroleum processing industries as a chemical for the removal of CO₂ and H₂S. It may be found in processed water that is then transported to the wastewater treatment plant, which can cause a major reduction in the effectiveness of ammonia stripping and biological filter performance. In the past decade, there have been a number of studies related to the photocatalytic activity of TiO₂ or ZnO coupled with metal oxides like SnO₂, WO₃, Fe₂O₃, ZrO₂ and some rare earth oxides [1]. The photocatalytic enhancement is due to an increase in charge separation and the extent of the photo-responding range.

Tennakone and Bandara [2] reported that the ZnO/SnO₂ composite was active in the sensitization degradation of dye molecules. ZnO/SnO₂ catalytic activity was superior to individual ZnO, SnO₂ or

TiO₂ particles, and its higher catalytic activity was assigned to the wider charge separation ability of the composite ZnO/SnO₂ system. Wang et al. [1] had studied the photocatalytic activity of ZnO/SnO₂ coupled oxide with different Sn content prepared by the co-precipitation method of preparation to degrade Methyl Orange (MO) as the model organic compound. As a result, coupled ZnO/SnO₂ with 33.3 mol% Sn content calcined at 500°C for 10 hours was found to be relatively stable and an effective photocatalyst for the degradation of MO. Cun et al. [3] also studied the photocatalytic activity of ZnO/SnO₂ with the same co-precipitation method of preparation on the degradation of MO, but using a different ratio of Zn. It was found that the photocatalytic degradation rate of MO on Z₂S was faster than the ZS ratio. So far, no report for the degradation of MDEA has been reported.

This paper aims to study further the performance of a coupled ZnO/SnO₂ photocatalyst on the degradation of the *N*-MDEA presence in petroleum wastewater. Furthermore, the effect of different band gap energy on the photocatalytic activity of the prepared catalysts was studied and related to the degradation results.

1. Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 UTM Skudai, Johor, Malaysia.

*. Corresponding author. E-mail: rusmidah@kimia.fs.utm.my

Received 3 November 2009; received in revised form 21 June 2010; accepted 25 October 2010

EXPERIMENTAL

Chemicals

The chemicals required to prepare the ZnO/SnO₂ coupled catalysts (ratio 1:1 and 2:1) were ZnSO₄·7H₂O, SnCl₄·5H₂O and sodium hydroxide (NaOH). *N*-methyldiethanolamine (MDEA) was purchased from Merck with 98 wt% purity. Petroleum wastewater was collected from the Petronas Refinery, Melaka Pte. Ltd., Malaysia.

Preparation of ZnO/SnO₂ Coupled Catalysts (Ratio 1:1 and 2:1)

The coupled oxide photocatalyst ZnO/SnO₂ (ratio 1:1) was prepared by the co-precipitation method. SnCl₄·5H₂O and ZnSO₄·7H₂O were used as starting materials with NaOH as the co-precipitant. The addition process was conducted in an ice bath because of the hygroscopic properties of the precursors and to obtain a better catalyst. All chemicals were used without further purification. ZnSO₄·7H₂O and SnCl₄·5H₂O in molar ratios of 1:1 or 1:2 were dissolved in a minimum amount of deionized water. Then, the NaOH solution was added dropwise with a flow rate of 2 mL/min into the above solution to adjust the pH in order to obtain a pH of the solution of about 7. At pH 7, a white precipitate was formed. The pH solution was measured using a pH meter; Mettler Toledo model FE20.

The precipitate was filtered and washed with deionized water until no SO₄²⁻ and Cl⁻ ions were found in the filtrate. The wet solid was aged at ambient temperature for 24 hours and, then, in the oven at 100°C for 12 hours to form the precursor of the ZnO/SnO₂ (ratio 1:1) coupled photocatalyst. Finally, the precursor was grounded to form powder and calcined in the furnace at various temperatures (200°C, 400°C, 600°C and 900°C for 9 hours) to produce the ZS and Z₂S (ratio 1:1 and 2:1) coupled photocatalysts.

Photocatalytic Activity of MDEA

For the degradation of MDEA, a solution of MDEA (1000 ppm) and the semiconductor photocatalyst (1.5 g/L) was transferred into a 250 mL beaker. The solution was allowed to equilibrate in the dark for 30 minutes. Then, 5 mL of the solution were taken and filtered with a millipore membrane (0.45 μm) before transferring the filtrate into a bottle sample. After that, irradiation was carried out using a 12W UV-lamp, with a wavelength of 365 nm, which was placed above the beaker containing the solution. During the irradiation process, agitation was maintained using a magnetic bar to keep the suspension homogenous. A

sample of the suspension was taken after an appropriate illumination time and filtered through a membrane filter to remove the catalyst. The filtrate was then analyzed.

The degradation of MDEA using photolysis, adsorption and a photocatalyst in each degraded sample was determined using ion chromatography (MET-ROSEP Cation Dual 2) with the measured peak at a retention time of 4-5 minutes. Verification of the degradation was carried out using a Total Organic Carbon (TOC) Analyzer; model TOC-V_E, Shimadzu. The percentage of degradation of MDEA was obtained at different time intervals. The percentage of degradation of MDEA as a function of time is given by:

$$\% \text{degradation of MDEA} = \frac{C_o - C_t}{C_o}, \quad (1)$$

where:

C_o = initial concentration of sample,

C_t = concentration of sample at time t .

Characterization of ZS and Z₂S

To determine the band gap energy of the prepared photocatalysts, a UV-VIS diffused reflectance spectrometer measurement was carried out using a Perkin Elmer, Lambda 900. The pure BaSO₄ was used as the blank sample. The wavelengths of the absorption edges were determined by extrapolating the horizontal and sharply raised portions of the curve and defining the edge as the wavelength of the intersection [4]. The scale labeled "absorbance" means the negative logarithm value of the experimentally determined diffuse reflectance of samples [5].

A Siemens D5000 X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) was used to identify the crystalline phase and structural properties of the prepared catalyst. Each catalyst sample (fine powder) was distributed on the surface of the sample holder by using a piece of glass, and was placed onto a Siemens D5000 X-ray diffractometer equipped with Cu- K_α ($\lambda = 1.54 \text{ \AA}$) radiation. Data were collected over the 2θ range of 15° to 80° using a 40 KV and 30 mA source.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Photocatalytic Activity of the Photocatalyst

Figure 1 shows the percentage of degradation of MDEA using direct photolysis, adsorption of the MDEA catalyst and photocatalytic degradation using UV and ZnO, SnO₂, ZS and Z₂S catalysts. From observations, no degradation of MDEA was observed in the absence of the catalyst (photolysis) or in the absence of UV light (adsorption).




Figure 1. The percentage degradation of MDEA. A: Photolysis; B: adsorption of Z_2S 600°C , 9h; C: UV+ SnO_2 ; D: UV+ ZnO ; E: UV+ ZS , 600°C , 9 hours; F: UV + Z_2S , 600°C , 9 hours.

It can be seen that the Z_2S catalyst was a more effective photocatalyst for the degradation of MDEA, which gave 39.18% degradation, compared to ZnO , ZS , and SnO_2 , which only gave 19.72%, 10.22% and 7.07%, respectively. The Z_2S catalyst that was calcined at 600°C for 9 hours (Figure 1) showed a drastic increase in the rate of degradation for 1 hour of irradiation time; up to 20% of the MDEA photodegradation. After 2 hours of irradiation, the percentage of degradation was slightly increased to 24%. The percentage degradation increased constantly for another 1 hour of irradiation time. After 5 hours of UV irradiation, the percentage of MDEA degradation, using the Z_2S catalyst calcined at 600°C for 9 hours, was 39.18%. SnO_2 shows low photocatalytic activity, which agrees with the literature result [3]. Wu [6] also documented that the small amount of degradation, using SnO_2 as the photocatalyst, was attributed to the band gap energy (4.13 eV) of SnO_2 , which was insufficient for initiating the photocatalytic reaction after using 365 nm UV- irradiation. In addition, SnO_2 had lower photocatalytic activity due to the fast recombination of the photogenerated electron/hole pairs. According to Figure 1, the Z_2S semiconductor photocatalyst was a better photocatalyst than the single ratio of the ZS photocatalyst. The small percentage degradation of MDEA was attributed to the same phase composition of the ZS photocatalyst, which were only Zn_2SnO_4 and SnO_2 species, as mentioned in the characterization. The reason for the significant reduction of the photocatalytic activity of the ZS photocatalyst was found to be similar to previous research findings due to the formation of the Zn_2SnO_4 species [1]. The Zn_2SnO_4 species possessed a larger particle size and lower surface area. Other than that, when the calcination temperature was higher than 700°C , both the ZnO and SnO_2 oxides were partly transformed to Zn_2SnO_4 , which is a poor photocatalyst [5]. In this study, a single ZnO photocatalyst gave better degradation than the single ratio of a coupled ZnO/SnO_2 photocatalyst. These

findings agree with previous research, which found that the rate constant, k , of ZnO is similar to the coupled oxide ZnO/SnO_2 , although the photocatalytic activity of ZnO was better than ZnO/SnO_2 in the degradation of Procion Red MX-5B at pH 10 [6]. Moreover, coupled ZnO/SnO_2 with Z_2S ratios was still a better catalyst for the degradation of MDEA. This was because when two oxides of ZnO and SnO_2 are coupled, the charge separation is more efficient. Thus, the recombination of electrons and holes of ZnO/SnO_2 was greatly suppressed [5]. This process occurred when the Conduction Band (CB) position of SnO_2 was lower than that of ZnO , so the former could act as a sink for the photogenerated electrons in the coupled oxides [2,7,8]. Since the holes move in the opposite direction from the electrons, the photogenerated holes in SnO_2 may be trapped within the ZnO particle.

Effect of Calcination Temperature on the Photodegradation of MDEA

The effect of calcination temperature on the photocatalytic activity of Z_2S is shown in Figure 2. The experiments were conducted at 200, 400, 600 and 900°C calcination temperatures.

It can be seen that the degradation of MDEA increased by increasing the calcination temperature to 600°C , and the photocatalytic activity of Z_2S decreased at the calcination temperature of 900°C . The significant decrease in the photocatalytic activity of Z_2S calcined at 900°C was only 10.02%, and this was attributed to variation in the phase composition and particle size with increasing calcination temperature [7]. The main reason for the decrease in the percentage of MDEA degradation, using catalyst calcined temperatures up to 900°C , was that all the ZnO reacted with SnO_2 forming binary Zn_2SnO_4 with low photocatalytic activity [3,9]. At 600°C , the percentage degradation of MDEA was the highest, i.e. 39.18%. This is because




Figure 2. The effect of calcination temperature on the photodegradation of MDEA; A: Z_2S , 200°C , 9 hour; B: Z_2S , 400°C , 9 hour; C: Z_2S , 600°C , 9 hours; D: Z_2S , 900°C , 9 hour.

the photocatalyst consists mainly of ZnO and SnO₂, and less Zn₂SnO₄ phase was detected. Furthermore, by taking into account the XRD results, the increase in photocatalytic activity observed for the Z₂S catalyst at 600°C for 9 hours might be attributed to the formation of a well crystallized ZnO/SnO₂ system, a combination of two oxides and intermetallic phases that consist of ZnO, SnO₂ and less Zn₂SnO₄. However, at 900°C, the percentage of degradation of N-MDEA decreased because Zn₂SnO₄ was found to dominate at temperatures above 700°C, and photocatalytic activity was inhibited by the catalyst [10]. At 200°C, the photocatalytic activity of Z₂S was the lowest, compared to other photocatalysts calcined at 400°C and 600°C. This was because at this temperature the catalyst was still in the amorphous phase.

Photocatalytic Treatment of Real Petroleum Wastewater

The photocatalyst, Z₂S, calcined at 600°C for 9 hours was applied to the photodegradation of petroleum wastewater containing a high concentration of *N*-methyldiethanolamine. The petroleum wastewater sample was obtained from the Petronas refinery, Malacca, Malaysia. The pH of the petroleum wastewater was similar to the pH of the simulated MDEA which was 9.28. The green solution of the petroleum wastewater was in an alkaline condition. Figure 3 shows the percentage degradation of N-MDEA in the petroleum wastewater.

The percentage degradation of N-MDEA was only 23.38% in petroleum wastewater after 5 hours of irradiation. Compared to the simulated system, the percentage degradation of MDEA in petroleum wastewater was found to be lower than simulated MDEA (39.18%) at the same concentration, i.e. 1000 ppm. This was because the real petroleum wastewater contained highly concentrated N-MDEA and several hydrocarbons. The other reason is because the lower percentage of N-MDEA degradation in the petroleum




Figure 3. Percentage degradation of MDEA in petroleum wastewater using catalyst Z₂S, 600°C, 9 hours under 300 minutes irradiation time.

wastewater might be due to the adsorption, degradation or oxidation and reduction of other hydrocarbons present in the petroleum wastewater, which cause competition between N-MDEA and the hydrocarbon for the photocatalyst, Z₂S.

Total Organic Carbon Elimination

Figure 4 shows the TOC elimination of MDEA photocatalytically degraded by Z₂S calcined at 600°C for 9 hours, in petroleum wastewater and simulated systems. The percentage mineralization of N-MDEA in real wastewater and simulated N-MDEA was 23.15% and 22%, respectively, after 5 hours irradiation time.

Moreover, the mineralization of N-MDEA was very slow in the petroleum wastewater system after 180 minutes irradiation time. Noticeably, 76.85% of TOC still remained. This observation might indicate the formation of some long-lived by-products, which have a low rate constant for the reaction with the hydroxyl radical [1]. For the simulated system, mineralization did not occur further after 120 minutes irradiation time to form other inorganic species or carbon dioxide, which indicated that 78% of TOC still remained. From the experimental results, it became evident that some MDEA was mineralized into other intermediate or end products that were more harmless [11], such as CO₂, and all nitrogen containing compounds might form ammonium and nitrate ions upon photocatalytic degradation [12]. The percentage mineralization of N-MDEA was relatively low, because it was a highly stable compound, and the formation of other small organic compounds, when irradiated under UV light, might cause little change in TOC results.

Characterization of ZnO/SnO₂ Photocatalysts

The UV-vis diffused reflectance spectra of ZS and Z₂S calcined at 200, 400, 600 and 900°C are displayed in Figure 5. The absorption edge and band gap energies




Figure 4. The TOC for the photocatalytic degradation of MDEA by A: Z₂S, 600°C, 9 hours in petroleum wastewater, and B: Z₂S, 600°C, 9 hours in simulated system.




Figure 5. UV-vis diffuse reflectance absorption spectra of the coupled ZnO/SnO₂ photocatalyst powder calcined at different temperatures for 9 hours.

of ZS and Z₂S, calcined at different temperatures and times, are listed in Table 1. The catalyst calcined at 400, 600 and 900°C for 9 hours contained a mixture of ZnO, SnO₂ and/or Zn₂SnO₄. Thus the band gap energies of the coupled ZnO/SnO₂ photocatalyst should originate from an overlapping of the corresponding ZnO, SnO₂ and or Zn₂SnO₄ components in coupled

Table 1. Absorption edges and band gap energies of coupled oxides calcined at different temperatures.

Sample	Temperature (°C)	Absorption Edge (nm)	Band Gap Energy (eV)
ZS	200	385	3.22
	400	396	3.13
	600	398	3.12
	900	404	3.07
Z ₂ S	200	334	3.71
	400	378	3.28
	600	393	3.16
	900	395	3.14

Band gap energy for ZnO= 3.2 eV [3],
 SnO₂= 2.53 eV [3],
 Zn₂SnO₄=3.4 eV [3].

oxides [1]. From the experimental data, it is found that the difference in the band gap energy might be due to a change in the ratio of ZnO, SnO₂ or Zn₂SnO₄ in the coupled oxide photocatalyst, which was controlled by the Zn content and calcination temperature. The band gap energies for ZnO, SnO₂ and Zn₂SnO₄ were reported to be 3.2eV [3], 2.53 eV [1] and 3.4 eV [1], respectively. As shown in Table 1, it is found that for both ZnO/SnO₂ catalysts with ZS and Z₂S ratios, the absorption edge increased with increasing calcination temperatures. Furthermore, reduction in the band gap of a semiconductor affected most semiconductor material parameters. The decrease in the band gap of a semiconductor with increasing temperature could be viewed as increasing the energy of the electrons in the material. From the result, the absorption edges of ZS and Z₂S calcined at 600°C for 9 hours were determined to be 398 nm and 393 nm, corresponding to the band gap energies of 3.12 and 3.16 eV, respectively. According to the literature [4,13], the adsorption edge for Z₂S was determined to be 394 nm, corresponding to the band gap energy of 3.15 eV [3]. From Table 1, it can be seen that the band gap energy for Z₂S is not the same as for ZnO or SnO₂. Similarly, the band gap energy for ZS was also neither of Zn₂SnO₄ nor of SnO₂. According to the previous study, ZS calcined under 600°C for 10 hours was comprised of Zn₂SnO₄ and SnO₂ and for Z₂S, consisted of ZnO and SnO₂ [3]. The band gap energies of materials were related to the photocatalytic activity of materials for degradation of the pollutants. The optimum band gap energy for this research was for the Z₂S catalyst (3.16 eV), which was calcined at 600°C for 9 hour, according to the higher percentage degradation of N-MDEA.

In order to understand the crystalline structure of the oxide catalysts, a XRD characterization technique was used. Information regarding the crystalline phases under various conditions was obtained by comparing the *d* value of the materials with those phases from the Powder Diffraction File (PDF). The X-ray diffraction patterns for ZS and Z₂S catalysts calcined at various temperatures are shown in Figures 6 and 7.

The ZS and Z₂S catalyst was analyzed using XRD in order to determine active oxide phases, which contributed to the enhancement of catalytic activity. From Figures 6 and 7, it was observed that the degree of crystallinity of all studied catalysts improved as they were calcined at higher temperatures (200°C, 400°C, 600°C and 900°C). A high crystalline phase was only observed for the catalyst calcined at 900°C, whereas the catalyst calcined at 200°C and 400°C showed an amorphous phase, and those calcined at 600°C showed a weak crystalline phase.

For ZS and Z₂S catalysts calcined at 200°C and 400°C, the phase was highly amorphous and no significant peak could be assigned. From Figure 6,




Figure 6. The XRD diffractogram for catalyst ZS calcined. (a) 200°C; (b) 400°C; (c) 600°C; (d) 900°C.




Figure 7. The XRD diffractogram for catalyst Z₂S calcined. (a) 200°C; (b) 400°C; (c) 600°C; (d) 900°C.

at 600°C, a mixture of the Zn₂SnO₄ cubic phase was observed at the 2θ value of 34.402° (I_{100}), with a d_{obs} value of 2.60 \AA (d_{ref} value 2.61 \AA), and SnO₂ at the 2θ value of 26.58° ($I_{37.9}$), with a d_{obs} value of 3.35 \AA (d_{ref} value of 3.35 \AA). For the catalyst calcined at 900°C, a Zn₂SnO₄ cubic phase was detected at 2θ values of 34.361° (I_{100}), with d_{obs} value of 2.61 \AA (d_{ref} value of 2.61 \AA), and SnO₂ at 2θ values of 26.65° (I_{59}) and 33.94° ($I_{54.5}$), with d_{obs} values of 3.34 and 2.64 \AA (d_{ref} values of 3.36 and 2.64 \AA). At a higher calcination temperature of 900°C, more peaks due to the Zn₂SnO₄ cubic phase were observed at 2θ values of 34.38° (I_{100}), 60.47° ($I_{38.1}$) and 17.73° ($I_{32.9}$), with d_{obs} values of 2.61 , 1.53 and 4.99 \AA (d_{ref} values of 2.61 , 1.53 and 4.99 \AA). There was a mixture of phases of Zn₂SnO₄, ZnO and SnO₂ for the catalyst calcined at 600°C. The Zn₂SnO₄

cubic phase was observed at 2θ values of 34.42° (I_{100}), with the d_{obs} value of 2.60 . The SnO₂ tetragonal phases were at 2θ values of 34.09° (I_{97}) and 26.713° (I_{67}), with d_{obs} values of 2.63 and 3.33 \AA (d_{ref} values of 2.64 and 3.34 \AA), while the ZnO hexagonal phase observed was at 2θ values of 36.355° ($I_{70.2}$) and 31.88° ($I_{53.5}$), with d_{obs} values of 2.47 and 2.80 \AA (d_{ref} values of 2.48 and 2.81). From this study, for the Z₂S catalyst, the mixture of Zn₂SnO₄, ZnO and SnO₂ in one phase gave better degradation results (Figure 1), even though the intensity of Zn₂SnO₄ was higher than that of ZnO and SnO₂. This indicated that the Z₂S catalyst calcined at 600°C for 9 hours was a potential catalyst for the degradation of MDEA.

CONCLUSION

The photocatalyst ZnO/SnO₂, with ratio 2:1 and calcined at 600°C for 9 hours, was a promising catalyst for MDEA treatment, which gave 39.18% degradation. The photocatalyst possessed a band gap of 3.16 eV. The optimum calcination temperature for the photocatalyst was 600°C for 9 hours. A higher calcination temperature (900°C) gave the lowest photocatalytic activity, where only 10.2% MDEA was degraded. The mineralization of MDEA in simulated and petroleum wastewater was lower than its percentage of degradation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to thank the Ministry of Science Technology and Innovation, Malaysia (MOSTI), for its financial support under RSG (Vote 79252).

REFERENCES

1. Wang, C., Wang, X., Xu, B.Q., Zhao, J., Mai, B., Mai, B., Peng, P. and Sheng, G. "Enhanced photocatalytic performance of nanosized coupled ZnO/SnO₂ photocatalysts for methyl orange degradation", *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem.*, **168**, pp. 47-52 (2004).
2. Tennakone, K. and Bandara, J. "Photocatalytic activity of dye-sensitized tin (IV) oxide nanocrystalline particle attached to zinc oxide particles, long distance electron transfer via ballistic transport of electron across nanocrystallites", *J. Appl. Catalyst A: Gen.*, **208**, pp. 335-341 (2001).
3. Cun, W., Jincai, Z., Xinming, W., Bixian, M., Guoying, S., Ping'an, P. and Jiamo, F. "Preparation, characterization and photocatalytic activity of nano-sized ZnO/SnO₂ coupled photocatalysts", *J. Appl. Catalyst B: Environmental*, **39**, pp. 269-279 (2002).
4. Provenzano, P.L., Jindal, G.R., Sweet, J.R. and Sweet, W.B. "Flame-excited luminescence in the ox-

- ides Ta_2O_5 , Nb_2O_5 , TiO_2 , ZnO and SnO_2 ", *J. of Luminescence*, **92**, pp. 297-305 (2001).
5. Zhang, M., An, T., Hu, X., Wang, C., Sheng, G. and Fu, J. "Preparation and photocatalytic properties of a nanometer ZnO-SnO_2 coupled oxide", *J. Appl. Catalyst A: General.*, **260**, pp. 215-222 (2004).
 6. Wu, C.-H. "Comparison of azo dye degradation efficiency using UV-single semiconductor and UV/coupled semiconductor systems", *J. Chemosphere*, **57**, pp. 601-608 (2004).
 7. Vinodgopal, K. and Kamat, P.V. "Enhanced rates of photocatalytic degradation of an azo dye using $\text{SnO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$ coupled semiconductor thin films", *J. Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **29**, pp. 841-845 (1995).
 8. Matthews, R.W., Abdullah, M. and Low, G.K.-C. "Photocatalytic oxidation for total organic carbon analysis", *J. Analytica Chirmica Acta.*, **233**, pp. 171-179 (1990).
 9. Lin, J. and Yu, J.C. "An investigation on photocatalytic activities of mixed TiO_2 -rare earth oxides for the oxidation of acetone in air", *J. of Photochem and Photobio A: Chem.*, **116**, pp. 63-67 (1998).
 10. Wang, C., Wang, X.M., Zhao, J.C., Mai, B.X., Sheng, G.Y., Peng, P.A. and Fu, J.M. "Cociprecipitation synthesis and optical absorption property of $\text{Zn}_2\text{Ti}_x\text{Sn}_{1-x}\text{O}_4$ ($0 = x = 1$) solid solutions", *J. Material Sci.*, **37**, pp. 919-925 (2002).
 11. Nohara, K., Hidaka, H., Pelizzeti, E. and Serpone, N. "Processes of formation of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- ions during the photocatalyzed oxidation of N-containing compounds at the titania/water interface", *J. Photochem and Photobio A: Chem.*, **102**, pp. 265-272 (1997).
 12. Low, G.K.-C., McEvoy, S.R., Matthews, R.W. "Formation of ammonium and nitrate ions from photocatalytic oxidation of ring nitrogenous compounds over titanium dioxide", *J. Chemosphere*, **19**, pp. 1611-1621 (1989).
 13. Chung, K.-H. and Park, D.-C. "Photocatalytic decomposition of water over cesium-loaded potassium niobate photocatalysts", *J. of Molecular Catalysis A: Chem.*, **129**, pp. 53-59 (1998).

BIOGRAPHIES

Rusmidah Ali was born on July 17, 1957 at Klang, Selangor (Malaysia). She finished her degree in chemistry in 1980 at Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia. She finished her MS and PhD studies at the University of Southampton in the United Kingdom in 1983 and 1987, respectively. She then joined University Technology Malaysia and presently is an Associate Professor of Inorganic Chemistry. Associate Professor Rusmidah Ali is the author of 30 papers published in national and international journals and has published 11 books.

Wan Azelee Wan Abu Bakar was born on May 11, 1959, at Kelantan, Malaysia. After graduation from the Department of Chemistry at the National University of Malaysia in 1983, he continued his studies on heterogeneous catalysis at Nottingham University, England and received PhD in 1995. He then joined University Technology Malaysia and presently he is the Professor of Inorganic Chemistry at this university. Professor Wan Azelee Wan Abu Bakar is the author of 100 papers published in national and international journals and has published four chemistry books for university students.

S.S. Mislan. His/her biography was not available at the time of publication.

M.A. Sharifuddin. His/her biography was not available at the time of publication.