

# Valuations on Simple Artinian Rings

M. Mahdavi-Hezavehi<sup>1</sup>

A value function  $\mu$  (called a simple valuation) on a simple Artinian ring  $A = M_n(D)$  is defined which gives rise to a (Krull) valuation on the division ring  $D$ . In fact, it is shown that there is a bijection between simple valuations on  $M_n(D)$  and valuations on  $D$ . Using the notion of a simple valuation, it is also shown that if  $F = Z(D)$  is valued by  $v$  and  $v$  extends to a Krull valuation  $W$  on  $D$ , then  $v$  has a unique extension to each finite dimensional division  $F$ -subalgebra  $K$  with  $F \subseteq K \subseteq D$ . In particular, when  $A$  is an  $F$ -central simple algebra with skew field component  $D$ , and  $v$  is a valuation on  $F$ , it is proved that  $v$  extends to a valuation  $w$  on  $D$  if, and only if, the simple valuation  $\mu$  obtained from  $v$  extends to a simple valuation  $\bar{\mu}$  on  $A$ . When  $A_i$  is an  $F$ -central simple algebra with simple valuation  $\mu_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , then it is shown that under suitable conditions on the skew field component  $D_i$ , there exists a simple valuation  $\mu$  on  $A_1 \otimes_F A_2$  extending  $\mu_i$  on  $A_i$ .

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $D$  be a division ring and put  $A = M_n(D)$ . It is well known that for  $n > 1$ ,  $A$  as a simple left (right) Artinian ring does not admit a (semi) valuation. For if  $v$  is a valuation on  $A$ , then the existence of zero-divisors in  $A$  forces  $v(X) = \infty$  for some  $0 \neq X \in M_n(D) = A$ . Thus  $P = \{X \in A | v(X) = \infty\}$  is a proper two-sided ideal of  $A$ , which is absurd. To avoid this situation, we exclude one of the operations on matrices in  $A$ , namely addition, and replace it with another operation called the determinantal sum. Given two matrices  $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $Y = (y_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  in  $A$ , we define the determinantal sum of  $X$  and  $Y$  with respect to the first column as:

$$X \nabla Y = (x_1 + y_1, x_2, \dots, x_n).$$

The determinantal sum with respect to another column, or a row, is defined for a suitable pair of matrices.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a totally ordered abelian group. A simple valuation on  $A = M_n(D)$  is a function  $\mu : A \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$  satisfying:

### SV 1

$$\mu(XY) = \mu(X) + \mu(Y), \text{ for all } X, Y \in A.$$

### SV 2

$$\mu(X \nabla Y) \geq \min\{\mu(X), \mu(Y)\}, \text{ for all } X, Y \in A \text{ such that } X \nabla Y \text{ is defined.}$$

### SV 3

$$\mu(X) \text{ is unchanged if any row or column of } X \text{ is multiplied by } -1.$$

### SV 4

$$\mu(X) = \infty \text{ for any singular matrix } X \in A.$$

We observe that when  $n = 1$ , i.e.,  $A$  is a division ring, then SV 1-4 simply say that  $\mu$  is a valuation on  $A$ . We collect some of the consequences of the above axioms in the following:

---

1. Department of Mathematics, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, I.R. Iran.

**Proposition 1**

Let  $\mu$  be a simple valuation on  $A = M_n(D)$ . Then we have .

**SV 5**

If  $\mu(X) \neq \mu(Y)$ , then  $\mu(X \nabla Y) = \min\{\mu(X), \mu(Y)\}$ , whenever  $X \nabla Y$  is defined in  $A$ .

**SV 6**

$\mu(E) = 0$  for any elementary matrix  $E$  in  $A$ .

**SV 7**

$\mu(X)$  is unchanged if  $X$  is multiplied on the left (or right) by an elementary matrix.

**SV 8**

$\mu(X)$  remains unchanged under any permutation of rows (or columns).

**Proof**

If  $\mu(X) \neq \mu(Y)$ , without loss of generality, suppose that  $\mu(X) < \mu(Y)$  and  $X \nabla Y$  is defined, say, with respect to the first column. Put  $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ ,  $Y_1 = (-y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ . We note that  $\mu(Y) = \mu(Y_1)$ , by SV 3. Suppose that  $\mu(X \nabla Y) > \min\{\mu(X), \mu(Y)\}$  and  $\mu(X) < \mu(Y)$ , i.e.,  $\mu(X) < \mu(X \nabla Y)$ . Then we have the contradiction:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(X) &= \mu[X \nabla (Y \nabla Y_1)] = \mu[(X \nabla Y) \nabla Y_1] \\ &\geq \min\{\mu(X \nabla Y), \mu(Y_1)\} \\ &= \min\{\mu(X \nabla Y), \mu(Y)\} > \mu(X) . \end{aligned}$$

This establishes SV 5 and SV 6-8 are proved easily.

The next result shows the connection between simple valuations on a simple Artinian ring  $A$  and valuations on its skew field component.

**Theorem 1**

Let  $D$  be a division ring with an abelian valuation  $v$ . Then  $v$  may be extended to a simple valuation  $\mu$  on  $A = M_n(D)$ , for each  $n \geq 1$ , by the equation

$$\mu(X) = v(DetX), \quad X \in A ,$$

where "Det" denotes the Dieudonne' determinant, together with the rule  $\mu(X) = \infty$  when  $X$  is singular. Moreover, the correspondence  $v \leftrightarrow \mu$  is a bijection between abelian valuations on  $D$  and simple valuations on  $A$ .

**Proof**

Let  $\Gamma$  be the value group of  $v$ . We first observe that the commutativity of the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} GL_n(D) & \xrightarrow{Det} & D^{*ab} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ D^* & \xrightarrow{v} & \Gamma \end{array} ,$$

enables us to speak of  $v(DetX)$ . Now define a function  $\mu : M_n(D) \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$  by  $\mu(X) = v(DetX)$ , together with the rule  $\mu(X) = \infty$  when  $X$  is singular. We show that  $\mu$  defines a simple valuation on  $A$ . The axioms SV 1 and SV 3-4 are easily satisfied by properties of the determinant. To show SV 2, let

$$X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \quad Y = (y_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) ,$$

so that  $X \nabla Y$  is defined with respect to the first column. If  $X$  and  $Y$  are singular, then it is easily seen that  $X \nabla Y$  is also singular. Thus,  $\mu(X \nabla Y) = \min\{\mu(X), \mu(Y)\} = \infty$ .

Now, assume that  $X$  is non-singular. Thus, the columns  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1$  are left linearly dependent over  $D$  so we may have  $x_1 = \lambda y_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i x_i$ , where  $\lambda \in D^*$  and  $\lambda_i \in D$ . Therefore:

$$X \nabla Y = ((1 + \lambda)y_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i x_i, x_2, \dots, x_n) .$$

So,  $Det(X \nabla Y) = Det((1 + \lambda)y_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (\overline{1 + \lambda})DetY$ , where  $(\overline{1 + \lambda}) \in D^{*ab}$ . We also have  $X = (\lambda y_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i x_i, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ , so  $DetX = \overline{\lambda}DetY$ . Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(X \nabla Y) &= v(DetX \nabla Y) = v((\overline{1 + \lambda})DetY) \\ &= v(1 + \lambda) + \mu(Y) \\ &\geq \min\{v(1), v(\lambda)\} + \mu(Y) \\ &= \min\{\mu(Y), v(\lambda DetY)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \min\{\mu(Y), v(\bar{\lambda}DetY)\} \\ &= \min\{\mu(Y), v(DetX)\} \\ &= \min\{\mu(Y), \mu(X)\} , \end{aligned}$$

and this establishes SV 2.

To show the converse, let  $\mu$  be a simple valuation on  $M_n(D)$ , and consider the embedding  $D \xrightarrow{f} DI \subset M_n(D)$ , where  $I$  is the  $n \times n$  unit matrix. Denote by  $D_i(d)$  the matrix  $I + (d - 1) e_{ii}$ , where  $e_{ii}$  differs from the zero matrix only in the  $(i, i)$ -th place, which is 1. We first calculate  $\mu(dI)$ ,  $d \in D^*$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(dI) &= \mu(D_1(d)D_2(d) \dots D_n(d)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(D_i(d)) . \end{aligned}$$

However, because of SV 8, we have  $\mu(D_i(d)) = \mu(D_j(d))$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ . Thus  $\mu(dI) = n\mu(D_n(d))$ . Now we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(d_1Id_2I) &= \mu(d_1d_2I) = n\mu(D_n(d_1d_2)) \\ &= n\mu(D_n(d_1)D_n(d_2)) \\ &= n\mu(D_n(d_1)) + n\mu(D_n(d_2)) \\ &= \mu(d_1I) + \mu(d_2I) . \end{aligned}$$

We also have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(d_1I + d_2I) &= \mu((d_1 + d_2)I) = n\mu(D_n(d_1 + d_2)) \\ &= n\mu(D_n(d_1) \nabla D_n(d_2)) \\ &\geq n \min\{\mu(D_n(d_1)), \mu(D_n(d_2))\} \\ &= \min\{\mu(d_1I), \mu(d_2I)\} , \end{aligned}$$

where  $\nabla$  is with respect to the  $n$ -th column. Thus, the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $DI$  is a valuation. Now, by pullback we obtain a valuation  $v = \mu \circ f$  on  $D$ , and this completes the proof. We may observe that a simple valuation on  $M_n(D)$  may also be extended to a matrix valuation on  $D$  which was developed in [1-3]. We also note the following.

**Corollary 1**

Let  $D$  be a division ring with center  $F$ , and let  $v$  be a valuation on  $F$ . If  $v$  extends to a valuation  $w$  on  $D$ , then  $v$  has a unique extension to each finite dimensional division  $F$ -subalgebra  $K$  with  $F \subseteq K \subseteq D$ .

**Proof**

If  $v$  extends to a valuation  $w$  on  $D$ , then, by Theorem 1 we obtain a unique simple valuation  $\mu$  on each  $M_n(D)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , given by the formula  $\mu(X) = w(DetX)$ ,  $X \in M_n(D)$ . Denote the value group of  $w$  by  $\Gamma_D = \Gamma_F \otimes_Z Q$ , where  $\Gamma_F$  is the value group of  $F$ , and  $Q, Z$  are the rational numbers and the integers respectively. Now, let  $K$  be any finite dimensional  $F$ -division subalgebra with  $F \subseteq K \subseteq D$  and put  $r = [K : F]$ . It is clear that  $w|_K$  is a valuation on  $K$ . To show it is unique, we prove that its value is completely determined by  $v$ . Consider the simple Artinian ring  $M_r(D)$ . This contains two isomorphic finite dimensional  $F$ -subalgebras  $KI$  and  $K_\rho$ , where  $I$  is the  $r \times r$  unit matrix and  $K_\rho$  is the image of  $K$  under the regular matrix representation  $\rho$ . By the Skolem-Noether Theorem, there is an invertible matrix  $A \in M_r(D)$  such that:

$$\rho(x) = A^{-1}(xI)A, \quad x \in K .$$

Now, using the simple valuation  $\mu$  on  $M_r(D)$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\rho(x)) &= v(\det \rho(x)) = \mu(A^{-1}) + \mu(xI) \\ &+ \mu(A) = w|_K(DetxI) = rw|_K(x) . \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $w|_K$  is given by:

$$w|_K(x) = \frac{1}{r}v(\det \rho(x)) = \frac{1}{r}v(N(x)) ,$$

where  $N(x)$  is the norm of  $K$  to  $F$ . This completes the proof. In the special case where  $[D : F] < \infty$ , Corollary 1 may be restated as corollary 2.

**Corollary 2**

Let  $D$  be a finite dimensional  $F$ -central division algebra and let  $v$  be a valuation on  $F$ . Then  $v$  extends to a valuation  $w$  on  $D$  if, and only if,  $v$  has a unique extension to each finite dimensional division  $F$ -subalgebra  $K$  with  $F \subseteq K \subseteq D$ .

As another implication of Corollary 1 we may have the following result which is one side of the theorem proved by A. Wadsworth [4].

**Corollary 3**

Let  $D$  be a finite dimensional  $F$ -central division algebra and let  $v$  be a valuation on  $F$ . If  $v$  extends to a valuation  $w$  on  $D$ , then  $v$  has a unique extension to each field  $K$  with  $F \subseteq K \subseteq D$ .

We note that a simple valuation  $\mu$  on  $A = M_n(D)$  does not generally define a valuation on arbitrary subfields of  $A$ . However, in some special cases, we obtain the following results.

**Theorem 2**

Let  $D$  be a finite dimensional  $F$ -central division algebra and let  $v$  be a valuation on  $F$ . Then  $v$  extends to a valuation  $w$  on  $D$  if, and only if, the simple valuation on  $M_r(F)$ ,  $r = [D : F]$ , obtained from  $v$ , defines a valuation on the regular matrix representation  $D_\rho$  of  $D$ .

**Proof**

Assume  $v$  extends to a valuation  $w$ , say, on  $D$ . By Theorem 1,  $w$  gives rise to a unique simple valuation  $\bar{\mu}$  on  $M_r(D)$ . Put  $\mu = \bar{\mu}|_{M_r(F)}$ ; then  $\mu$  is the simple valuation on  $M_r(F)$  extending  $v$ . We know that:

$$DI \xrightarrow{f} D \xrightarrow{\rho} D_\rho \subset M_r(D),$$

is an isomorphism of  $F$ -central simple algebras. Thus, by the Skolem-Noether Theorem we have:

$$\rho f(dI) = T^{-1}(dI)T,$$

for some unit  $T$  in  $M_r(D)$ . Therefore,  $\rho(d) = T^{-1}(dI)T$ . So:

$$\bar{\mu}(\rho(d)) = \bar{\mu}(T^{-1}) + \bar{\mu}(dI) + \bar{\mu}(T) = \bar{\mu}(dI).$$

Thus,  $\mu(\rho(d)) = \bar{\mu}(dI)$ . Now, since  $\bar{\mu}$  defines a valuation on  $DI$ , the last equation implies that  $\mu$  defines a valuation on  $D_\rho$ .

Conversely, assume that the value group of  $v$  is  $\Gamma$  and put  $\Delta = \Gamma \otimes_Z Q$ , the divisible hull of  $\Gamma$ . Define a function  $w : D \rightarrow \Delta$  by  $w(d) = \frac{1}{r} \mu(\rho(d))$ . Since  $\mu$  defines a valuation on  $D_\rho$  then it is clear that  $w$  defines a valuation on  $D$  and the uniqueness is obvious.

As a consequence of this theorem, we have the following.

**Corollary 4**

Let  $D$  be a finite dimensional  $F$ -central division algebra and let  $v$  be a valuation on  $F$ . Then  $v$  extends to a valuation  $w$  on  $D$  if, and only if, the simple valuation  $\mu$  on  $M_r(F)$ , obtained from  $v$  extends to a simple valuation  $\bar{\mu}$  on  $M_r(D)$ ,  $r = [D : F]$ . Moreover, the extensions, when they exist, are unique.

**Proof**

Assume that  $v$  extends to a valuation  $w$  on  $D$ . By Theorem 1,  $w$  gives rise to a unique simple valuation on  $M_r(D)$ , given by  $\bar{\mu}(X) = w(\text{Det}X)$ ,  $X \in M_r(D)$ , and  $\bar{\mu}(X) = \infty$  if  $X$  is a singular. To show that the restriction of  $\bar{\mu}$  to  $M_r(F)$  is  $\mu$ , we have for each  $X \in M_r(F)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mu}(X) &= w(\text{Det}X) = w(\det X) \\ &= v(\det X) = \mu(X). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\bar{\mu}|_{M_r(F)} = \mu$ . Now suppose that  $\mu$  extends to a simple valuation  $\bar{\mu}$  on  $M_r(D)$ . By Theorem 2, it is enough to show that  $\mu$  defines a valuation on  $D_\rho$ . Using the Skolem-Noether Theorem to  $DI \rightarrow D \rightarrow D_\rho \subset M_r(D)$ , we obtain  $\rho(d) = T^{-1}(dI)T$  for some unit  $T$  in  $M_r(D)$ . Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mu}(\rho(d)) &= \mu(\rho(d)) = \bar{\mu}(T^{-1}) \\ &+ \bar{\mu}(dI) + \bar{\mu}(T) = \bar{\mu}(dI). \end{aligned}$$

Now, since  $\bar{\mu}$  defines a valuation on  $DI$ , we conclude that  $\mu$  defines a valuation on  $D_\rho$  and this completes the proof.

Given a valuation  $v$  on  $D$  and  $E \subseteq D$ , denote by  $\Gamma_E$  and  $\Gamma_D$  the value groups of  $E$  and  $D$  respectively. Denote by  $\bar{D}$  the residue class field of  $D$ . If  $[D : E] < \infty$ ,  $D$  is considered as a left  $E$ -vector space, then one has the fundamental inequality:

$$[D : E] \geq |\Gamma_D : \Gamma_E| [\bar{D} : \bar{E}]. \quad (1)$$

$D$  is called defectless over  $E$  if equality holds in Equation 1. We may now prove the last result of this note.

**Theorem 3**

Let  $A_i, \mu_i$  be simple valued  $F$ -central simple algebras with  $\mu_1|_F = \mu_2|_F$  and skew field

components  $D_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Suppose that (1)  $D_1$  is defectless over  $F$ ; (2)  $\Gamma_{D_1} \cap \Gamma_{D_2} = \Gamma_F$ ; (3)  $\overline{D}_1 \otimes_F \overline{D}_2$  is a division ring. Then, there is a simple valuation  $\mu$  on  $A_1 \otimes_F A_2$  extending  $\mu_i$  on  $A_i$  and with  $\Gamma_{A_1 \otimes_F A_2} = \Gamma_{A_1} + \Gamma_{A_2}$ .

### Proof

By Theorem 1,  $\mu_i$  gives rise to a valuation  $v_i$ , say, on  $D_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . By a result of [5],  $D_1 \otimes_F D_2$  is a division ring with a valuation  $v$  extending  $v_1, v_2$  and with  $\Gamma_{D_1 \otimes_F D_2} = \Gamma_{D_1} + \Gamma_{D_2}$ . Now, using Theorem 1 again,  $v$  gives rise to a simple valuation  $\bar{\mu}$ , say, on  $A_1 \otimes_F A_2$ . It is now clearly seen that  $\bar{\mu}|_{A_i} = \mu_i$ .

### REFERENCES

1. Mahdavi-Hezavehi, M. "Matrix valuations on rings", *Ring Theory, Proc. 1978 Conference*, M. Dekker, New York, pp 691-703 (1978).
2. Mahdavi-Hezavehi, M. "Matrix valuations and their associated skew fields", *Resultate d. Math.*, **5**, pp 149-156 (1982).
3. Mahdavi-Hezavehi, M. and Cohn, P.M. "Extensions of valuations on skew fields", *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp 28-41 (1980).
4. Wadsworth, A.R. "Extending valuations to finite dimensional division algebras", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **98**, pp 20-22 (1986).
5. Morandi, P. "The Henselization of a valued division algebras", *J. of Algebra*, **122**, pp 232-243 (1989).