Effects of heat and mass transfer on stagnation point flow of micropolar Maxwell fluid over Riga plate
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Abstract. In this paper, we investigated the stagnation point flow of Maxwell micropolar fluid flow over a Riga plate. Micropolar fluid flows over the Riga plate were used to create the mathematical model. The system of partial differential equations is created using the momentum equation and the micro inertia theory to accomplish the boundary layer approximation. Through appropriate similarity transformations, nonlinear partial differential equations are transformed into dimensionless nonlinear ordinary differential equations. This system solved the numerical scheme via the BVP4C method. The effects of involving physical parameters like dimensionless parameter, modified Hartman number, material parameter, slip condition $\sigma_s$, viscoelastic parameter $\delta_m$, and Soret coefficient $S_T$ are shown through graphs and numerical results. The physical quantities such as Skin friction, local Nusselt number, and local Sherwood number are shown in tables. $R$ increases when the dimensionless parameter, Material parameter $K$ and Slip condition $\sigma_s$ increase, while $R$ decreases with the Modified Hartman number $Z$ and viscoelastic parameter $\delta_m$ increase.
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1. Introduction

Micropolar fluid analysis has a significant impact on engineering and industrial processes. In comparison to Newtonian fluids, micropolar fluids have a strong resistance to fluid motion. Because of its potential applications, many researchers with varied preconceptions are interested in studying the micropolar fluid. Lukaszewicz [1] studied the micropolar fluid theory and its applications in the early stages of his career. Eringen extended the theory of Lukaszewicz [2]. He introduced the micropolar fluids Microcontinuum field theory. Several traditional assumptions, such as the absence of couple stress or the symmetry of the stress tensor, have never been proven. Because of its relative simplicity in mathematics, the micropolar fluid model has been widely employed in lubricants to examine polymer clarifications in which the viscous fluid lubricant is merged with a little amount of long-chain extra substances. The effects of lubricating on the micropolar fluid were studied by Wang and Zhu [3]. They analyzed the basic theory of micropolar fluid and derived the modified Reynolds equation for dynamics.
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loads. Because of the wide range of applications, several researchers have investigated micropolar fluids thoroughly from various perspectives. The numerical and exact solutions of micropolar fluids in the vertical annulus were obtained by Nadeem et al. [4]. The micropolar fluid over the stretching sheet was explored by Hussain et al. [5]. Ellahi et al. [6] studied heat and mass transfer of micropolar fluid over permeable walls. The mixed convection flow with heat transfer of micropolar nanofluid was investigated by Rawi et al. [7]. Abbas et al. [8] investigated the nanomaterial micropolar fluid in the cylinder. They also studied the effects of thermal slip and velocity slip on micropolar nanofluid. The behavior of micropolar fluid on Riga plate was studied by Nadeem et al. [9]. Many researchers are interested in investigating the characteristics of various physical parameters of micropolar fluid (see [10–13]).

Electromagnetic forces can control the flow of fluid since it is electrically conductive. An attractive hydrodynamics flow control can often be used to regulate the speed of liquids with high electrical conductivity. In the case of a feebley conducting liquid, the current created by the outside attractive field alone is extremely little, whereas the outside electric field must be coupled to pick up an effective stream control. The first researchers who investigated the Riga plate were Gallitis and Liechusius 14]. They developed the Riga plate; a device that creates crossed magnetic and electric fields. The properties of a few potential fields were studied by Grünberg [15]. As shown by Grünberg [15], the divider parallel Lorentz drive within the boundary layer force condition is completely decoupled from the stream and stood in the opposite situation with respect to the Hartmann term. Along the plate, it decreases exponentially. The boundary layer flow across the Riga plate was investigated by Pantokratoras and Magyari [16]. The opposing and aiding mixed convection flow over the Riga plate was studied by Magyari and Pantokratoras [17]. Under the modified Hartmann number, Ayub et al. [18] studied the Blasius flow over the Riga plate. The effects of nanomaterial micropolar fluid flow on the Riga plate were studied by Ramzan et al. [19]. Zaib et al. [20] investigated the mixed convective condition of micropolar fluid flow across the Riga plate. Rasool and Zhang [21] investigated the convective boundary conditions and chemical radiations on the Riga plate. Because of its limited applications in engineering, as indicated in [22–27], few researchers have focused on the Riga plate.

Hiemenz [28] was the first researcher who introduced stagnation flow to gain the exact solution of the Navier-Stokes equations. Howarth [29] examined the Hiemenz [28] idea and found the best solution approximations. Ishak et al. [30] studied the boundary layer flow with the magnetic field effects in the stagnation point region. Van Gorder and Vajravelu [31] investigated the stagnation point flow of second-grade fluid over a stretching surface. Fang et al. [32] investigated the unsteady stagnation point flow with mass transfer. Recent studies on the stagnation point flows are presented in [33–41].

We investigated the stagnation point flow of Maxwell viscoelasticity with incompressible micropolar fluid over the Riga plate. The mathematical model has been constructed using micropolar fluid flows over the Riga plate. The system of partial differential equations used to perform the boundary layer approximation is created using the momentum equation and micro inertia theory. Through appropriate similarity transformations, nonlinear partial differential equations can be transformed into dimensionless nonlinear ordinary differential equations. This system solved numerical scheme via the BVP4C method. Analysis of physical parameters of the Riga plate results is shown in form of tables and figures.

2. Formulation of flow

We examined the two-dimensional Maxwell Viscoelasticity-based Micropolar Fluid (MVMF) in the stagnation point on the horizontal Riga plate with slip velocity condition as shown in Figure 1. The plate was in the direction of X-axis as well as normal to plate id Y-axis. We defined \( U_w = ax \) as the linear velocity on the wall and \( a \) as the positive constant. In mathematics, a flow model can be written as:

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = \lambda \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{U}_e}{\partial y^2} + \tilde{U}_e \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{U}_e}{\partial x^2} + \left( \frac{\mu + \kappa}{\rho} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) - \lambda \left( \frac{u^2 + \rho \rho}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + 2uv \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{\kappa}{\rho} \frac{\partial N}{\partial y} + \frac{\pi}{\rho} \frac{\partial N}{\partial y} = 0.
\]

Figure 1. Physical configuration of the flow.
where the free stream velocity is \( U_e = \omega x \) and \( \omega \) is the positive constant:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} &= \omega^2 x + \left( \frac{\mu + \kappa}{\rho} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) - \lambda \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + u^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + 2uv \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} \right) \\
&+ \frac{\kappa}{\rho} \frac{\partial N}{\partial y} + \frac{\pi j_0 M_0 e^{\gamma y}}{8 \rho}.
\end{align*}
\]

(3)

\[
\rho j \left( \frac{\partial N}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial N}{\partial y} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \gamma \frac{\partial N}{\partial y} \right) - \kappa \left( 2N + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right).
\]

(4)

Here, \( u \) and \( v \) are axial and transverse velocity components, respectively, \( \mu \) is dynamic viscosity, \( \kappa \) is gyro-viscosity or vortex viscosity, \( \rho \) is the density of the fluid, \( N \) is micro-angular velocity and rotation is normal to plate along \( x - y \) sheet, \( \lambda = \frac{C_s}{\rho} \) is relaxation time (\( T \)), in which \( \alpha \) is the thermal diffusivity. \( M_0 \) is permanent magnets namely magnetization, \( j_0 \) is current density, \( b \) is electrodes and magnet width, \( j \) is micro- inertia per unit mass (\( j = \frac{\kappa}{\rho} \)), and \( \gamma \) is spin gradient viscosity which represents the relationship between \( \mu \) and \( j \) and can be written as:

\[
\gamma = \left( \frac{\mu + \kappa}{2} \right) j = \mu \left( 1 + \frac{K}{2} \right) j.
\]

Here \( K = \frac{\kappa}{\mu} \) represents dimensionless ratio of viscosity and is known as MVF material parameter. The slip boundary on the surface is defined as:

\[
u = u_w + u_{slip}, \quad v = 0, \quad N = -m \frac{\partial u}{\partial y},
\]

(6)

at \( y = 0 \).

The condition at infinity is defined as:

\[
u = U_e = \omega x, \quad N \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad y \to \infty,
\]

(7)

where \( u_{slip} \) is the slip velocity which is defined as \( u_{slip} = L \left[ (1 + K) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + KN \right]_{y=0} \) in which \( L = \eta u \) is a positive constant; \( m \) is a constant lying between 0 and 1, where \( m = 0 \) indicates the concentrated MVF and \( m = 0 \) represents turbulent flow circumstance. In our case, we use \( m = \frac{1}{2} \) to define a dilute MVF.

3. Mathematical model of heat and mass transfer

We suppose that the surface temperature of the Riga plate is \( T_w \) while the temperature in a free-stream condition, also known as the ambient temperature, is \( T_\infty \) where \( T_w > T_\infty \). Here \( C_w \) is the concentration of the plate on the surface and \( C_\infty \) is ambient concentration. By using the above assumptions and boundary layer principle, we obtain conservation equations of energy and concentration for MVF:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} &= \frac{k}{\rho C_p} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\mu_B + \kappa}{\rho C_p} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2, \\
\frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} &= \frac{D_m}{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} + \frac{k_T D_m}{T_m} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2}.
\end{align*}
\]

(8)

(9)

Corresponding boundary conditions are as follows:

\[
T = T_w, \quad C = C_w \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0,
\]

(10)

\[
T = T_\infty, \quad C = C_\infty \quad \text{as} \quad y \to \infty,
\]

(11)

where:

\( k \) Thermal conductivity of the fluid

\( D_m \) Mass diffusivity

\( T_m \) Fluid temperature across the boundary layer

\( C_s \) Concentration susceptibility

\( k_T \) Thermal diffusion ratio

\( C_p \) Specific heat capacity

4. Similarity transformation equation

We have introduced the following suitable similarity transformation:

\[
\psi = (\omega v)^\frac{1}{2} x f(\eta), \quad \eta = \left( \frac{\omega^2}{v} \right)^\frac{1}{2} y, \quad N = \left( \frac{\omega^2}{v} \right)^\frac{1}{2} x R.
\]

(12)

\[
\theta = \frac{T - T_\infty}{T_w - T_\infty}, \quad \phi = \frac{C - C_\infty}{C_w - C_\infty}.
\]

(13)

The velocity components are expressed as follows:

\[
u = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}, \quad v = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x},
\]

(14)

where \( \eta \) is non-dimensional parameter, \( R \) is non-dimensional local micromotion, \( v = \frac{\kappa}{\rho} \) is kinematic viscosity, \( \theta \) is the normalized temperature and \( \phi \) is normalized concentration. By means of similarity transformation equations, Eqs. (3)–(4) and (8)–(9) are reduced as follows:

\[
(1 + K) f'''' + 2 f f''' - (f')^2 \delta_m \left( 1 + f^2 f'' - 2 f f' f'' \right) + K R'' + Z e^{-\delta_{\eta}} + 1 = 0,
\]

(15)

\[
\left( 1 + \frac{K}{2} \right) R'' + f R' - f R - K (2 R + f') = 0.
\]

(16)
\[
\frac{1}{Pr} \theta'' + f\theta' + Du \phi'' + Gb(1 + K)(f')^2 = 0, \tag{17}
\]

\[
\phi'' + Sc(f\phi' + S\theta') = 0. \tag{18}
\]

Corresponding non-dimensional boundary conditions are:

\[
f(0) = 0, \quad f'(0) = S + \sigma_s \left(1 + \frac{K}{2}\right) f''(0),
\]

\[
R(0) = -\frac{f''(0)}{2}, \tag{19}
\]

\[
\theta(0) = 1, \quad \phi(0) = 1, \quad f'(\infty) = 1, \quad R(\infty) = 0, \quad \theta(\infty) = 0, \tag{20}
\]

\[
\phi(\infty) = 0. \tag{21}
\]

Here prime represents derivative with respect to \( \eta \), \( \delta_m = \lambda\omega \) denotes the non-dimensional viscous parameter, \( Pr \) is Prandtl number, \( Pr = \frac{\nu C_e}{k} \), \( \sigma_s \) is the slip velocity parameter, \( \sigma_s = \frac{\nu}{(p\omega)^{2/3}} \) is Gebhart number defined as \( Gb \), \( Sc \) is Schmidt number, \( Sc = \frac{\nu}{D_u} \), \( D_u \) is the Dufour number,

\[
D_u = \frac{\kappa T_w}{C_e S_r \sqrt{\frac{u_{w}^{2}}{\nu^{3}}}}, \quad S_r = \frac{\kappa T_w}{C_e S_r \sqrt{\frac{u_{w}^{2}}{\nu^{3}}}}, \quad Z = \frac{\kappa T_w}{C_e S_r \sqrt{\frac{u_{w}^{2}}{\nu^{3}}}},
\]

\( Z \) is the modified Hartman number defined as \( Z = \frac{\kappa T_w}{C_e S_r \sqrt{\frac{u_{w}^{2}}{\nu^{3}}}} \), and \( d \) is dimensionless parameter, \( d = \frac{\nu}{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\gamma}}} \). For stretching we have \( S = \frac{\kappa}{2} > 0 \) and for shrinking we have \( S = \frac{\kappa}{2} < 0 \),

\[
q_w \text{ is wall heat current, and } J_w \text{ is wall mass current, which are defined as:}
\]

\[
\tau_w = -\mu \left(1 + \delta_m \right) \left[1 + \frac{K}{2} \right] \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + K N, \tag{23}
\]

\[
q_w = -k \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0}, \quad J_w = -D_m \left( \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0}. \tag{24}
\]

Using similarity variables, we can obtain the non-dimensional engineering parameters as follows:

\[
Re^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_f = -\left(1 + \delta_m \right) \left[1 + \frac{K}{2} \right] f''(0),
\]

\[
Re^{-\frac{1}{2}} Nu_x = -\theta'(0), \quad Re^{-\frac{1}{2}} Sh_x = -\phi'(0). \tag{25}
\]

5. Numerical procedure

We decreased the higher order differential system within the initial value issue. The method of the numerical procedure is characterized underneath:

\[
q(1) = f(\eta), \quad q(2) = f'(\eta), \quad q(3) = f''(\eta),
\]

\[
q(1) = f''(\eta), \quad q(4) = R(\eta), \quad q(5) = R'(\eta),
\]

\[
q(2) = R''(\eta), \quad q(6) = \theta(\eta), \quad q(7) = \theta'(\eta),
\]

\[
q(3) = \theta''(\eta), \quad q(8) = \phi(\eta), \quad q(9) = \phi'(\eta),
\]

\[
q(4) = \phi''(\eta),
\]

\[
qq1 = -(1 + K - \delta_m)q(1)(q(1))^{-1} \left[q(1)q(3) - q(2)q(3)\right] - q(2)^2 q(2) - \delta_m(1 - 2q(1)q(2)q(3))
\]

\[
+ K q(5) + Ze^{-dx} + 1,
\]

\[
qq2 = -\left[1 + K \right]^{-1} \left[q(1)q(5) - q(2)q(4)\right] - K(2q(4) + q(3)),
\]

\[
qq3 = -Pr \left[q(1)q(7) + Du q(4) + Gb(1 + K)q(3)q(3)\right],
\]

\[
qq4 = Sc(q(1)q(9) + Srq(3)),
\]

\[
q(0)(1) = q(0)(2) - S - \sigma_s \left[1 + \frac{K}{2}\right] q(0)(3),
\]

\[
q(0)(4) + \frac{q(0)(3)}{2}; q(0)(6) - 1; q(0)(8) - 1;
\]

\[
qin = q(2) - 1; qin = q(4); qin = q(6); qin = q(8).
\]
6. Result and discussion

A numerical procedure known as the BVP4C strategy exposes the system of nonlinear ordinary differential conditions (Eqs. (15)-(18)) with regard to dimensionless boundary conditions (Eqs. (19)-(21)) to analyze the various physical parameters shown in Figures 2-9.

6.1. Multi-dependent Soret number

The Soret number, also known as thermophoresis, is a dimensionless number used to study the effect of temperature gradients on mass flux. The equation for the steady-state concentration field in hard-sphere particle suspensions is:

\[ D_m \frac{\nabla C}{C} = -D_T \nabla T. \] (26)

This shows the thermophoresis velocity of particles denoted by \( \nu_T \), in which \( D_T \) is called “thermal diffusion coefficient” (Eqs. (26)-(28)). The Soret coefficient is defined as \( S_T = \frac{D_T}{D_m} \) with dimension \( T^{-1} \) (Eqs. (27) and (28)). Along the moving particles, the sign of the Soret coefficient is visible. If \( S_T > 0 \), the particles show thermophilic behavior and if \( S_T < 0 \), they show thermophobic behavior. The experimental results for particle radii across the whole temperature range were fitted using the empirical expression of the Soret coefficient \( S_T \), as follows:

\[ S_T(T) = S_T^\infty \left[ 1 - \exp \left( \frac{T^* - T}{T_0} \right) \right], \] (27)

where \( S_T^\infty \) is the reference value, \( T^* \) is the temperature, and \( T_0 \) is the strength of the temperature impacts.

Figure 2. Effect of viscoelastic parameter \( \delta_m \) on (a) normalized fluid velocity, and (b) concentration field (\( Pr = 0.5, \sigma_g = 0.1, Du = 0.3, Sc = 0.2, Sr = 0.3, Ec = 0.3, K = 0.2, Z = 0.2, d = 0.2, S = -0.2, 0, 2 \)).

Figure 3. Effect of slip condition \( \sigma_s \) on (a) normalized fluid velocity, and (b) concentration field (\( Pr = 0.5, \delta_m = 0.2, Du = 0.3, Sc = 0.2, Sr = 0.3, Ec = 0.3, K = 0.2, Z = 0.2, d = 0.2, S = -0.2, 0, 2 \)).
Figure 4. Effect of material parameter $K$ on (a) normalized fluid velocity, and (b) concentration field ($Pr = 0.5$, $\delta_m = 0.2$, $\sigma_s = 0.1$, $Du = 0.3$, $Sc = 0.2$, $Sr = 0.3$, $Ec = 0.3$, $Z = 0.2$, $d = 0.2$, $S = -0.2, 0.2$).

Figure 5. Effect of modified Hartman number $Z$ on (a) normalized fluid velocity, and (b) concentration field ($Pr = 0.5$, $\delta_m = 0.2$, $\sigma_s = 0.1$, $Du = 0.3$, $Sc = 0.2$, $Sr = 0.3$, $Ec = 0.3$, $K = 0.2$, $Z = 0.2$, $S = -0.2, 0.2$).

Figure 6. Effect of dimensionless parameter $\beta$ on (a) normalized fluid velocity, and (b) concentration field ($Pr = 0.5$, $\delta_m = 0.2$, $\sigma_s = 0.1$, $Du = 0.3$, $Sc = 0.2$, $Sr = 0.3$, $Ec = 0.3$, $K = 0.2$, $Z = 0.2$, $S = -0.2, 0.2$).
Here, we use multiplicative Soret number $Sr$ which is a functional equivalent of the Soret coefficient. We consider the case where $Sr > 0$, then $T^*$ and $T_0$ should be replaced by $T_∞$ and $T_w$, respectively. By transforming Eqs. (12) and (13) into Eq. (26) and gaining the variable Soret number, we will have:

$$Sr = Sr_∞ \left[ 1 - exp \left( \theta \left( \frac{T_∞}{T_w} - 1 \right) \right) \right], \quad (28)$$

where $Sr_∞$ is a positive coefficient and $0 < \frac{T_∞}{T_w} < 1$ ensures $Sr > 0$. By using Eq. (27) in Eq. (18), we get:

$$\phi'' + Sc \left( f \phi' + Sr_∞ \left[ 1 - exp \left( \theta \left( \frac{T_∞}{T_w} - 1 \right) \right) \right] \right) \theta'' = 0. \quad (29)$$

By solving Eqs. (15)-(21), the effects of normalized velocity, micro-angular velocity, temperature profile, and concentration profile, denoted by $f'(\eta), R(\eta), \theta(\eta)$ and $\phi(\eta)$, respectively will be examined.

### 6.2. Numerical results

The impacts of some physical quantities such as skin friction coefficients $Re^{+}C_f$, local Nusselt number $Re^{+}Nu_x$, and local Sherwood number $Re^{+}Sh_x$ are shown in Table 1. The effects of different parameters such as $S, K, \delta_m, \sigma_s, Du, Sc, Sr, Ec, Z$ and $d$ on the alternance of physical quantities $Re^{+}C_f$, $Re^{+}Nu_x$ and $Re^{+}Sh_x$ are shown in Table 1. For stretching parameter ($S > 0$), the values of $Re^{+}C_f$, $Re^{+}Nu_x$, and $Re^{+}Sh_x$ increase, however, for ($S < 0$), the values of $Re^{+}C_f$ and $Re^{+}Nu_x$ increase while $Re^{+}Sh_x$ decreases. For large values of $K$, the values of $Re^{+}C_f$
and \( Re^{-\frac{1}{2}}Nu_x \) decline whereas the values of \( Re^{-\frac{1}{2}}Sh_x \) rise. The values of all physical quantities of \( Re^{+}C_f \), \( Re^{-\frac{1}{2}}Nu_x \) and \( Re^{-\frac{1}{2}}Sh_x \) decrease with the increase of the values of \( \delta_m \). Both the values of \( Re^{+}C_f \) and \( Re^{-\frac{1}{2}}Nu_x \) decline while the values of \( Re^{-\frac{1}{2}}Sh_x \) improve for larger values of \( Ec \). For increasing values of \( Du \) and \( Sc \), \( Re^{+}C_f \), \( Re^{-\frac{1}{2}}Nu_x \) and \( Re^{-\frac{1}{2}}Sh_x \) show constant, decreasing, and increasing behavior, respectively. The behavior of \( Re^{+}C_f \) and \( Re^{-\frac{1}{2}}Nu_x \) is identical to that of \( Sr \) and \( \sigma_s \) whereas the behavior of \( Re^{-\frac{1}{2}}Sh_x \) is fundamentally opposite to that of \( Sr \) and \( \sigma_s \). The nature of \( Re^{-\frac{1}{2}}Nu_x \) and \( Re^{-\frac{1}{2}}Sh_x \) is the same as the nature of \( Z \), however the nature of \( Re^{+}C_f \) is opposite. The values of \( Re^{+}C_f \) and \( Re^{-\frac{1}{2}}Nu_x \) increase as the values of \( d \) increase but the values of \( Re^{-\frac{1}{2}}Sh_x \) decline as the values of \( d \) increase.

The BVP4C numerical scheme is used to examine the physical parameters such as multi-dependent Soret number \( Sr_m \) and variable temperature difference \( \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta x} \) in dimensionless systems (Eqs. (15)-(17) and (28)) with dimensionless boundary conditions (Eqs. (19)-(21)). The effect of different physical quantities such as skin friction, Nusselt number, and Sherwood number are shown in Table 2. The varia-

### Table 1. Numerical values of skin friction, local Nusselt number and local Sherwood.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( S )</th>
<th>( K )</th>
<th>( \delta_m )</th>
<th>( \sigma_s )</th>
<th>( Du )</th>
<th>( Sc )</th>
<th>( Sr )</th>
<th>( Gb )</th>
<th>( Z )</th>
<th>( d )</th>
<th>( Re^{+}C_f )</th>
<th>( Re^{-\frac{1}{2}}Nu_x )</th>
<th>( Re^{-\frac{1}{2}}Sh_x )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-2.1853</td>
<td>0.4554</td>
<td>0.5422</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-2.0684</td>
<td>0.5306</td>
<td>0.3359</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.9227</td>
<td>0.5955</td>
<td>0.3314</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.8563</td>
<td>0.6561</td>
<td>0.3335</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.7497</td>
<td>0.7124</td>
<td>0.3337</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.6276</td>
<td>0.7709</td>
<td>0.3307</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.3274</td>
<td>0.8951</td>
<td>0.3027</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4279</td>
<td>0.8563</td>
<td>0.5188</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4892</td>
<td>0.8310</td>
<td>0.5243</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.5595</td>
<td>0.8034</td>
<td>0.5331</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.8180</td>
<td>0.8967</td>
<td>0.4346</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.9403</td>
<td>0.7994</td>
<td>0.4317</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4892</td>
<td>0.8310</td>
<td>0.5243</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.0139</td>
<td>-1.0615</td>
<td>0.9111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4892</td>
<td>0.8310</td>
<td>0.5243</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.3883</td>
<td>0.9440</td>
<td>0.5797</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4892</td>
<td>0.9121</td>
<td>0.5112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4892</td>
<td>0.8594</td>
<td>0.5197</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-1.4892</td>
<td>0.8310</td>
<td>0.5243</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-3.8899</td>
<td>-0.3621</td>
<td>0.9832</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-3.8899</td>
<td>-0.3621</td>
<td>0.9832</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-3.8899</td>
<td>-0.3621</td>
<td>0.9832</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-3.8899</td>
<td>-0.1072</td>
<td>0.8330</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-3.8899</td>
<td>-0.1447</td>
<td>0.8882</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-3.8899</td>
<td>-0.8467</td>
<td>0.4766</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-3.8899</td>
<td>-1.1020</td>
<td>0.4743</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-3.8899</td>
<td>-1.2950</td>
<td>1.1511</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-3.8899</td>
<td>-1.2950</td>
<td>1.1511</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-3.8899</td>
<td>-1.2950</td>
<td>1.1511</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-3.8899</td>
<td>-1.2950</td>
<td>1.1511</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S )</td>
<td>( \frac{T_{w}}{T_{w}} )</td>
<td>( S_{r_m} )</td>
<td>( K )</td>
<td>( \delta_{m} )</td>
<td>( \sigma_{s} )</td>
<td>( Du )</td>
<td>( Sc )</td>
<td>( Sr )</td>
<td>( Gb )</td>
<td>( Z )</td>
<td>( d )</td>
<td>( Re )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-2.1853</td>
<td>0.4599</td>
<td>0.5843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-2.0684</td>
<td>0.3552</td>
<td>0.5812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.9627</td>
<td>0.6003</td>
<td>0.5877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.8673</td>
<td>0.6610</td>
<td>0.5919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.7497</td>
<td>0.7174</td>
<td>0.5967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.6276</td>
<td>0.7760</td>
<td>0.5988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4902</td>
<td>0.8345</td>
<td>0.5797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4902</td>
<td>0.8353</td>
<td>0.5878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4892</td>
<td>0.8361</td>
<td>0.5860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4892</td>
<td>0.8367</td>
<td>0.6019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4892</td>
<td>0.8374</td>
<td>0.6117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4892</td>
<td>0.8368</td>
<td>0.6049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4892</td>
<td>0.8361</td>
<td>0.5980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4892</td>
<td>0.8358</td>
<td>0.5945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.3274</td>
<td>0.9066</td>
<td>0.5840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.2797</td>
<td>0.8616</td>
<td>0.5954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4892</td>
<td>0.8361</td>
<td>0.5980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.5395</td>
<td>0.8084</td>
<td>0.6033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.8180</td>
<td>0.9022</td>
<td>0.5392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.9403</td>
<td>0.8043</td>
<td>0.4992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4892</td>
<td>0.8361</td>
<td>0.5980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4749</td>
<td>-1.2211</td>
<td>0.9025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4892</td>
<td>0.8361</td>
<td>0.5980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.1885</td>
<td>0.9492</td>
<td>0.6590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4892</td>
<td>0.9121</td>
<td>0.5961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4892</td>
<td>0.8629</td>
<td>0.5972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4892</td>
<td>0.8661</td>
<td>0.5980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4892</td>
<td>0.8768</td>
<td>0.3900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4892</td>
<td>0.8624</td>
<td>0.4745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4892</td>
<td>0.8361</td>
<td>0.5980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4892</td>
<td>0.7749</td>
<td>0.8205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-3.1899</td>
<td>-0.1059</td>
<td>0.9325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-3.1899</td>
<td>-0.1422</td>
<td>0.9386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-3.1892</td>
<td>0.8361</td>
<td>0.5980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-3.1892</td>
<td>0.8574</td>
<td>0.5976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-3.1892</td>
<td>1.0080</td>
<td>0.5758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-3.1892</td>
<td>0.8361</td>
<td>0.5980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-3.1892</td>
<td>0.8212</td>
<td>0.5955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-3.1217</td>
<td>0.8271</td>
<td>0.5779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.8173</td>
<td>0.7658</td>
<td>0.6580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.6072</td>
<td>0.8129</td>
<td>0.6197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4892</td>
<td>0.8361</td>
<td>0.5980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4470</td>
<td>0.8389</td>
<td>0.5911</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
tion of $S$, $\frac{T_{cr}}{T_{c}}$, $Sr_{\infty}$, $K$, $\delta_{m}$, $\sigma_{s}$, $Du$, $Sc$, $Sr$, $Ec$, $Z$ and $d$ on $Re^{\delta}C_{J}$, $Re^{\delta}Nu_{x}$, and $Re^{\delta}Sh_{x}$ are shown in Table 2. For ($S > 0$), the values of $Re^{\delta}C_{J}$, $Re^{\delta}Nu_{x}$ and $Re^{\delta}Sh_{x}$ increase whereas for ($S < 0$), the values of $Re^{\delta}C_{J}$, and $Re^{\delta}Nu_{x}$ increase while the values of $Re^{\delta}Sh_{x}$ decrease for increasing values of $S$. The values of $Re^{\delta}Nu_{x}$, and $Re^{\delta}Sh_{x}$ increase for large values of $\frac{T_{cr}}{T_{c}}$ whereas $Re^{\delta}C_{J}$ remains constant. The effects of $Sr_{\infty}$ on $Re^{\delta}C_{J}$, $Re^{\delta}Nu_{x}$, and $Re^{\delta}Sh_{x}$ are shown in Table 2. It is evident that for higher values of $Sr_{\infty}$, $Re^{\delta}Nu_{x}$ and $Re^{\delta}Sh_{x}$ show decreasing behavior whereas the values of $Re^{\delta}C_{J}$ remain constant. For increasing values of $K$, $Re^{\delta}C_{J}$ and $Re^{\delta}Nu_{x}$ show decreasing behavior while $Re^{\delta}Sh_{x}$ behaves in the opposite way, as shown in Table 2. The effects of $\delta_{m}$ on $Re^{\delta}C_{J}$, $Re^{\delta}Nu_{x}$ and $Re^{\delta}Sh_{x}$ are shown in Table 2. For large values of $\delta_{m}$, $Re^{\delta}C_{J}$, $Re^{\delta}Nu_{x}$ and $Re^{\delta}Sh_{x}$ show decreasing behavior. The impacts of $\sigma_{s}$ on $Re^{\delta}C_{J}$, $Re^{\delta}Nu_{x}$, and $Re^{\delta}Sh_{x}$ are shown in Table 2. It is perceived that the values of $Re^{\delta}C_{J}$ and $Re^{\delta}Nu_{x}$ rise in response to rising behavior of $\sigma_{s}$ while the values of $Re^{\delta}Sh_{x}$ show opposite behavior that decreases for large values of $\sigma_{s}$. Table 2 shows the effects of $Du$ and $Sc$ on $Re^{\delta}C_{J}$, $Re^{\delta}Nu_{x}$, and $Re^{\delta}Sh_{x}$. As is shown, the behavior of $Re^{\delta}C_{J}$ is constant while $Re^{\delta}Nu_{x}$, and $Re^{\delta}Sh_{x}$ show opposite behavior to each other and $Re^{\delta}Nu_{x}$ decreases and $Re^{\delta}Sh_{x}$ increases for increasing values of $Du$ and $Sc$. For large values of $Sr$, the behavior of $Re^{\delta}C_{J}$, $Re^{\delta}Nu_{x}$, and $Re^{\delta}Sh_{x}$ is shown in Table 2. Also $Re^{\delta}C_{J}$ and $Re^{\delta}Nu_{x}$ increase whereas $Re^{\delta}Sh_{x}$ declines for great values of $Sr$. Table 2 depicts the impacts of $Gb$ on physical quantities of $Re^{\delta}C_{J}$, $Re^{\delta}Nu_{x}$, and $Re^{\delta}Sh_{x}$. For increasing behavior of $Gb$, $Re^{\delta}C_{J}$ and $Re^{\delta}Nu_{x}$ show decreasing behavior, while $Re^{\delta}Sh_{x}$ shows increasing behavior. Table 2 shows the effects of $Z$ on $Re^{\delta}C_{J}$, $Re^{\delta}Nu_{x}$, and $Re^{\delta}Sh_{x}$. It is observed that both $Re^{\delta}Nu_{x}$, and $Re^{\delta}Sh_{x}$ increase whereas $Re^{\delta}C_{J}$ decreases for rising values of $Z$. The values of $Re^{\delta}C_{J}$ and $Re^{\delta}Nu_{x}$ surge for higher values of $d$ however the values of $Re^{\delta}Sh_{x}$ decreases as shown in Table 2.

### 6.3. Graphical Results

Figures 2–11 shows the effects of different physical parameters, such as dimensionless parameter $\beta$, modified Hartman number $Z$, material parameter $K$, slip condition $\sigma_{s}$, viscoelastic parameter $\delta_{m}$, multi dependent Soret number $Sr_{\infty}$, and variable temperature difference $\frac{T_{cr}}{T_{c}}$, on normalized fluid velocity ($\frac{u}{r}$), micro-angular velocity ($\theta$), temperature profile ($\phi$) and concentration profile ($\phi$). Figure 2(a) and (b) demonstrate the impact of viscoelastic parameter $\delta_{m}$ on $f'$, $\frac{f'}{f}$, and $\phi$. Both $f'$ and $\phi$ increase in response to the increase in the values of the viscoelastic parameter $\delta_{m}$. Figure 3(a) and (b) depict the impact of the slip condition $\sigma_{s}$ on $f'$ and $\phi$, respectively. It should be noted that $f'$ increases as well as $\phi$ decreases in response to increasing values of slip condition $\sigma_{s}$. Figure 4(a) and (b) show the impact of the material parameter $K$ on $f'$ and $\phi$, respectively. For increase in material parameter, $f'$ has decreasing behavior whereas $\phi$ has increasing behavior. Figure 5(a) and (b) show the impact of Hartman number $Z$ on $f'$ and $\phi$. As the Hartman number $Z$ increases, $f'$ increases whereas $\phi$ decreases. Figure 6(a) and (b) depict the impacts of dimensionless parameter $\beta$ on $f'$ and $\phi$. It is observed that $f'$ displays decreasing behavior and $\phi$ shows increasing behavior for large values of the dimensionless parameter $\beta$. Figure 7(a) and (b) show the impact of viscoelastic parameter $\delta_{m}$ and slip condition $\sigma_{s}$ on $R$ and $\theta$, respectively. It is observed that $R$ declines in response to the rise of $\sigma_{s}$, and increases in response to the increase in $\delta_{m}$ while $\theta$ decreases for both viscoelastic parameters of $\delta_{m}$ and slip condition $\sigma_{s}$. The effects of modified Hartman number $Z$ on $R$ and $\theta$ are shown in Figure 8(a). For higher values of $Z$, it is observed that $R$ increases and $\theta$ decreases. Figure 8(b) depicts the effects of dimensionless parameter $\beta$ on $R$ and $\theta$. It is noted that $R$ and $\theta$ show opposite behavior that $R$ decreases and $\theta$ increases. Figure 9 shows the impact of material parameter $K$ on $R$ and $\theta$. It is also observed that $\theta$ shows the same behavior as $K$ whereas $R$ shows the opposite. Figure 10 depicts the effect of multi-dependent Soret number $Sr_{\infty}$ on $\phi$. It is observed that $\phi$ increases when the multi-dependent Soret number increases. The effect of the variable
Riga plate with slip velocity condition. We also studied the impacts of different physical parameters and showed the results of these parameters. Some significant results are given below:

- With the increase of the dimensionless parameter \( \beta \) and material parameter \( K, \theta \) and \( \phi \) increased;
- \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) decreased for increased values of slip condition \( \sigma_s \) and modified Hartman number \( Z \);
- \( R \) increased with the increase of the dimensionless parameter \( \beta \), material parameter \( K \), and slip condition \( \sigma_s \);
- \( R \) decreased with increasing behavior of the modified Hartman number \( Z \) and the viscoelastic parameter \( \delta_m \).

7. Conclusions

In this paper, we investigated the two-dimensional Maxwell viscoelasticity-based micropolar fluid (MVMF) in the stagnation point over a horizontal

Figure 10. The parameter \( S_r \infty \) associated with the micro-particle size has visible effect on the concentration field with large imposed temperature differences \( T_{\infty} / T_0 \).

\( (Pr = 2.0, \delta_m = 0.5, \sigma_s = 0.5, Du = 0.3, Sc = 0.5, Sr = 0.3, Ec = 0.4, K = 0.5, Z = 1.0, S = 0.2) \).

Figure 11. Effect of variable temperature differences \( T_{\infty} / T_0 \) on the concentration field of large particle \( (Pr = 2.0, \delta_m = 0.5, \sigma_s = 0.5, Du = 0.3, Sc = 0.5, Sr = 0.3, Ec = 0.4, K = 0.5, Z = 1.0, S = 0.2) \).

temperature difference \( T_{\infty} / T_0 \) on \( \phi \) is shown in Figure 11. It should be noted that the concentration profile and the variable temperature difference showed the opposite behavior.
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