Analysis of non-Newtonian fluid with phase flow model
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Abstract. In this study, we investigated a non-Newtonian fluid stagnation point on a stretching surface with slip conditions using a phase flow model. Cu and Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanoparticles were utilized, together with the base fluid H\textsubscript{2}O. The mathematical model has been built using flow assumptions and is theoretically acceptable. The momentum and energy equations are approximated using boundary layer approximations to create partial differential equations. The partial equations that are turned into ordinary differential equations are subjected to the appropriate similarity transformations. The bvp4c method is used to solve these equations numerically. Graphs and tables depict the effect of the physical parameters involved. Our findings are in good agreement with previous literature. Hybrid nanofluid achieves smaller values than nanofluid for the parameters \( F''(0) \) and \(-\theta'(0)\). Furthermore, for large values of the dimensionless parameter \( N \), \( F''(0) \) and \( \theta'(0) \) grow, where as \( F'(\xi) \) and \( (\theta(\xi)) \) increase for large values of \( \phi_2 \).
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1. Introduction

Theoretical studies of non-Newtonian fluids have grown in relevance as a result of their numerous applications in science and technology. Because of the complexity of non-Newtonian fluids, no single fluid model has been suggested or explored in the literature that covers all characteristics of non-Newtonian fluid models. Due to the complexity of these models, exact solutions are nearly impossible in general. As a result, the researchers have developed their unique exact or analytical solutions as well as numerical solutions. Early on, Rivlin and Ericksen [1] presented a second-grade fluid model. The simplest non-Newtonian fluid model exhibits many properties of the differential type fluids. Labropulu [2] studied exact solutions of the second-grade fluid using the inverse approach. Yürişoy et al. [3] examined the creeping flow of the second-grade fluid using the Lie group analysis. Shikoller [4] studied Euler’s two-dimensional Lagrangian flow and second-grade fluid flow. He investigated a simple proof of global existence. The second-grade fluid flow in the absence of body forces and thermal transfer was studied by Labropulu [5]. Nadeem et al. [6] investigated the series solution of the second-grade fluid flow over a shrinking sheet in the stagnation area. Mehrmood et al. [7] investigated the flow of second-grade micropolar
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fluids over a stretching surface with a heat transfer. They also investigated the effects of non-orthogonal stagnation point flow. The combined effects of thermal conductivity and variable viscosity of second-grade fluids on stretching the surface were investigated by Akinbobola and Okoya [8]. The linear temperature function is inversely proportional to viscosity and directly proportional to thermal conductivity in their research. Majee et al. [9] studied the effects of second-grade fluid flow on the stretched cylinder with Dufour and Soret numerical impacts. They also examined the impact of thermal radiation from various angles. The effects of Dufour and Soret on temperature are observed to be directly rising. Heat transfer and second-grade fluid of axisymmetric stretching sheets have been studied by Khan et al. [10]. Many researchers have investigated the second-grade fluid flow from various physical perspectives (see [11–18]).

The researchers were drawn to stretching analysis because of its numerous applications in engineering domains such as cooling of microelectronics, wire drawing, fast spray, polymer extrusion, glass blowing, and quenching in metal foundries. Crane [19] studied the boundary layer flow on a stretching surface theoretically earlier in the day. The flow behavior of peristaltic on stretching the surface was investigated by Mekheimer et al. [20–21]. Malvandi et al. [22] studied the effect of nanofluid on a shrinking/stretching surface near the stagnation point. Many researchers have studied stretching surfaces using a variety of assumptions [23–28].

Nanofluid is a fluid that contains nanoparticles as well as a base fluid. Choi [29] coined the term “nanofluid.” He introduced the nanofluid model, claiming that nanofluids could transmit heat better than basic fluids. The numerical and theoretical analyses are satisfied by this model. Following this, several researchers worked on heat transfer enhancement due to numerous applications in engineering, industrial, and other domains. Mahian et al. [30] have studied the use of nanofluids to capture solar energy. Turkylmazoglu [31] studied heat transfer of nanofluid on revolving disk. To investigate the flow of nanofluid, he used the SCCCM on the boundary layer flow. Abba et al. [32] studied the flow of a micropolar nanofluid in a circular cylinder. Khan et al. [33] examined the Maxwell nanofluid stagnation point flow. A number of researchers have investigated the nanofluid model using various assumptions, as shown in [33–40].

In the face of an energy shortage, researchers have focused their efforts statistically, analytically, and experimentally to attain higher heat transfer rates than decay methods. The heat transfer and coolant are the most frequently used in real life. The term “hybrid nanofluid” was used to describe a form of fluid that contains two different nanoparticles with a base fluid. This is a more comprehensive version of nanofluid. These fluids are beneficial in the fields of industry, science, and engineering. When two nanosized particles are mixed with a base fluid, the fluid thermal conductivity is increased above that of nanofluid and simple fluid. For the first time, Momin [41] acquired his conclusions through an experimental study of a hybrid nanofluid with mixed convection. Suresh et al. [42] studied a hybrid nanofluid and provided analytical results. The work of Suresh et al. [42] has been extended by a number of researchers to estimate heat transfer rates under various assumptions. Nadeem and Abbas [43] have studied the stagnation flows of hybrid nanofluid in a circular cylinder. Taking into account a number of important physical properties as observed in [44–50], a number of researchers have recently studied the hybrid nanofluid.

The purpose of this study is to investigate the effects of using a phase flow model with a second-grade fluid flow over a stretching surface. In this study, slip effects and hybrid nanofluids are taken into account. This system has been transformed into an ordinary differential equations system. The altered system is solved using the bvp4c Method, which is a numerical scheme. Figures and tables illustrate the effects of involving governing parameters. No one had ever investigated hybrid nanofluid with second-grade before.

2. Mathematical formulations

We investigated second-grade hybrid nanofluid stagnation point flow with slip conditions across a stretching surface. The velocity components in the X- and Y- axes, respectively, are V and W. Stagnation point flow is defined as V = aX and W = aY, with a serving as a stretching parameter (see [48,51–55]).

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial Y} = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$V \frac{\partial V}{\partial X} + W \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} = -\frac{1}{\rho_{h_{nf}}} \frac{\partial P}{\partial X} + \frac{\mu_{h_{nf}}}{\rho_{h_{nf}}} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial Y^2}$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha}{\rho_{h_{nf}}} \left( V \frac{\partial V}{\partial X} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial Y^2} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial X} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial Y^2} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{\partial V}{\partial X} \frac{\partial P}{\partial Y} + W \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial Y^2}, \quad (2)$$

$$V \frac{\partial T}{\partial X} + W \frac{\partial T}{\partial Y} = \alpha_{h_{nf}} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial Y^2}. \quad (3)$$

The continuity, momentum, and energy equations for the hybrid nanofluid are shown in Eqs. (1)–(3). It is worth noting that for phase flow models, experimental data for both nanofluid and hybrid nanofluid are often
Table 1. Physical properties nanofluid and hybrid nanofluid of thermodynamics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Nanofluid</th>
<th>Hybrid nanofluid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>( \rho_{nf} = \Phi \rho_f + (1 - \Phi) \rho_j )</td>
<td>( \rho_{hf} = \phi_2 \rho_{f_2} + \left[ \Phi_1 \rho_{f_1} + \rho_f (1 - \Phi_2) (1 - \Phi_1) \right] )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity</td>
<td>( \mu_{nf} = \frac{\mu_j}{(1 - \Phi)^{\frac{n_f}{n_j}}} )</td>
<td>( \mu_{hf} = \frac{\mu_j}{(1 - \Phi)^{\frac{n_f}{n_j}}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat capacity</td>
<td>( (\rho C_p)_{nf} = \Phi (\rho C_p)_f + (1 - \Phi) (\rho C_p)_j ) + \left[ \left( \frac{(\rho C_p)_f}{(1 - \Phi)} (1 - \Phi_1) + \Phi (\rho C_p)_j \right) \right] )</td>
<td>( \frac{n_{hf}}{n_j} = \frac{n_{j} + (n - 1) n_{j}}{n_{j} + n_{f}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity</td>
<td>( \frac{n_{hf}}{n_f} = \frac{n_{f} + (n - 1) n_{j}}{n_{f} + n_{f}} )</td>
<td>( \alpha_{hf} = \frac{\alpha}{\rho h_{nf}} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

provided only for a Newtonian fluid. We make a tiny change, in this case, replacing \( \frac{\rho_{hf}}{\rho_{nf}} \) with \( \frac{\rho_{hf}}{\rho_{nf}} \left( \frac{N - 1}{N} \right) \) in which \( N \neq 0 \). The viscoelastic fluid model was produced for \( N \) values ranging from 0 to 1. For \( N > 1 \), the results of a second-grade fluid model were obtained. We can get a viscous fluid model if \( N = 1 \) (Newtonian fluid model). The order of the entire term is assumed to be \( \alpha (\delta^2) \). Now, we assume from a mathematical standpoint that:

\[
\left( \frac{\mu_{hf}}{\rho h_{nf}} \right) \left( \frac{N - 1}{N} \right) = \frac{\alpha}{\rho h_{nf}} = \alpha (\delta^2).
\]

The order of approximation such as \( o(V) = o(1) = o(X) \) and \( o(Y) = o(\delta) = o(W) \) is evident. From a mathematical standpoint, we now incorporate \( \frac{\rho_{hf}}{\rho_{nf}} \left( \frac{N - 1}{N} \right) = \frac{\alpha}{\rho h_{nf}} = \alpha (\delta^2) \) in our assumptions. This phenomenon could exist in the form of:

\[
\left( \frac{\mu_{hf}}{\rho h_{nf}} \right) \left( \frac{N - 1}{N} \right) = \frac{\alpha}{\rho h_{nf}} = \alpha (\delta^2).
\]

The physical properties are defined in Tables 1 and 2. \( \alpha_{hf}, N, \mu_{hf}, \rho_{hf}, \) and \( P \) are the thermal diffusivity hybrid nanofluid, dimensionless parameter, viscosity hybrid nanofluid, density of hybrid nanofluid and pressure, respectively. The boundary conditions can be expressed as follows:

\[
W = 0, \quad V = 0,
\]

\[
V = \omega \left[ \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} + \left( \frac{\mu_{hf}}{\rho h_{nf}} \right) \left( \frac{N - 1}{N} \right) \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} + \frac{W \partial V}{\partial X} + V \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} \right) \right].
\]

\[
-k_{hf} \frac{\partial T}{\partial Y} = h_w(T_w - T) \quad \text{as :}
\]

\[
Y = 0, \quad T = T_w, \quad V = a X, \quad W = 0, \quad Y \to \infty, \quad (4)
\]

Table 2. Thermo-physical properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thermo-physical properties</th>
<th>Base fluid</th>
<th>Al2O3</th>
<th>Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(H2O)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho ) (kg/m³)</td>
<td>997.1</td>
<td>3070</td>
<td>8933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k ) (W/mK)</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_p ) (J/kgK)</td>
<td>4179</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where \( \omega, h_w, T_w, \) and \( T_\infty \) are velocity slip parameter, temperature slip parameter, wall temperature, dimensionless and the ambient temperature, respectively. The following is the non-dimensional form of the appropriate similarity transformation:

\[
\zeta = \frac{y}{a \nu} \frac{1}{2} = V = a X F'(\zeta),
\]

\[
T = (T_0 - T_w) \theta(\zeta) + T_\infty, \quad W = -(a \nu) \frac{1}{2} F'(\zeta). \quad (5)
\]

When the preceding equations are applied, Eq. (1) is satisfied in the same way, and Eqs. (2) and (3) are reduced to the following form:

\[
(\frac{\mu_{hf}}{\rho h_{nf}}) F''(\zeta) + \left( \frac{\mu_{hf}}{\rho h_{nf}} \right) \left( \frac{N - 1}{N} \right)(2F''(\zeta)F'(\zeta)
\]

\[
+ F'(\zeta)F''(\zeta) - F(\zeta)F'''(\zeta) + 1 \]

\[
+ F'(\zeta)F''(\zeta) - F'(\zeta)F'(\zeta) = 0, \quad (6)
\]

\[
\alpha_{hf} \theta'(\zeta) + F(\zeta)\theta'(\zeta) = 0. \quad (7)
\]

Using Eqs. (4) and (5), the dimensionless version of the boundary conditions is shown below:

\[
F'(\zeta) = \lambda F''(\zeta) \left( 1 + 3 \left( \frac{\mu_{hf}}{\rho h_{nf}} \right) \left( \frac{N - 1}{N} \right) F'(\zeta) \right),
\]

\[
F(\zeta)=0, \quad \theta(\zeta)-1 = \left( \frac{k_{hf}}{k_t} \right) \gamma \theta(\zeta), \quad \text{as} \quad \zeta \to 0,
\]

\[
\theta(\zeta) = 0, \quad F'(\zeta) = 1, \quad \zeta \to \infty. \quad (8)
\]
Thermal slip, velocity slip, and non-dimensional parameters $\gamma$, $\lambda$ and $\xi$ are examples of non-dimensional parameters. $Nu_X$ is defined as:

$$Nu_X = - \left( \frac{k_{n_1} k_f}{k_f} \right) \left( X/(T_w - T_\infty) \right) \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial Y} \right)_{Y = 0}, \quad (9)$$

and the skin friction coefficient can be obtained as follows:

$$C_f = \left[ \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} + \left( \frac{\rho_{n_1} \mu_{n_1}}{\rho_{n_1}} \frac{N - 1}{N} \right) \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial X} \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} + W \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial Y^2} \right. \right. \right.$$  
$$\left. \left. + V \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial Y \partial X} \right) \right]_{Y = 0}. \quad (10)$$  

$Re_X = (aX^2)/\nu_f$ is the local Reynolds number.

3. Solution procedure

We used a second-grade hybrid nanofluid over a stretched surface in the stagnation point region for this study. Flow assumptions were used to build the mathematical model. The boundary layer approximations on the Navier Stokes equations are used to create partial differential equations. The partial equations that are turned into ordinary differential equations are subjected to the appropriate similarity transformations. The numerical scheme used to solve these equations is the bvp4c method. We must figure out how to solve the above-mentioned system. We start with three assumptions such as:

- If $K = \frac{\mu_{n_1} (N - 1)}{\rho_{n_1} \mu_{n_1}}$ and $\Phi_1 = 0 = \Phi_2$ while $N \notin [0, 1]$ then this system becomes a second-grade fluid model;
- If $K = \frac{\mu_{n_1} (N - 1)}{\rho_{n_1} \mu_{n_1}}$ and $\Phi_1 = 0 = \Phi_2$ while $N \in [0, 1]$ then this system becomes a viscoelastic fluid model.

For large values of the velocity slip parameter, the velocity profile gains boundary layer thickness, as shown in Figure 1. When thermal slip rises, the temperature profile curve declines, as shown in Figure 1. Table 3 shows how our findings could be compared to those of Ariel [51]. As can be seen, our findings are in good agreement with Ariel [51]. This model becomes a Newtonian fluids model if $N = 1$ and the rest of the physical parameters are fixed. The present results are compared to the previous literature. Table 4 shows the comparison between our results corresponding to $F''(0)$ for different values of $\lambda$ with those provided by Bachok et al. [53] and Wang [52]. When $\Phi_1 = \Phi_2 = 0$, it is shown to be in good agreement with Bachok et al. [53] and Wang [52]. Table 5 shows the effect of velocity slip $\lambda$ and nanoparticle concentration $\Phi_2$ on the $Nu_X$ and $C_f$. Table 5 shows that our findings are in good accord with those of Yacob et al. [55] and Bachok et al. [53]. Table 6 shows the effects of $\lambda$ on the $F''(0)$. It is observed that there is good agreement between our findings and those of Bachok et al. [53] and

![Figure 1](image-url). Influence of Cu-Al_2O_3/H_2O and Cu/H_2O on $\theta(\xi)$ and $F'(\xi)$.

| Table 3. Numerical results of [48] compared with the present results. |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| $\frac{(N-1)}{N}$ | Present solution | Approximate solution [48] | Exact solution [48] |
| -                | $F''(0)$         | $F''(0)$         | $F''(0)$         |
| 0.0              | 1.23279          | 1.23288          | 1.22475          |
| 0.05             | 1.169785         | 1.179830         | 1.185498         |
| 0.1              | 1.121512         | 1.134114         | 1.149241         |
| 0.2              | 1.078543         | 1.058131         | 1.084652         |
| 0.3              | 1.019854         | 0.996844         | 1.029992         |
| 0.4              | 0.965413         | 0.945869         | 0.980581         |
| 0.5              | 0.923646         | 0.902500         | 0.938083         |
| 1.0              | 0.778532         | 0.752766         | 0.784465         |
| 2                | 0.609856         | 0.597679         | 0.618347         |
| 3                | 0.517030         | 0.510703         | 0.526235         |
| 4                | 0.460396         | 0.453968         | 0.465812         |
| 5                | 0.413285         | 0.412885         | 0.422308         |
| 6                | 0.379865         | 0.381336         | 0.389071         |
| 7                | 0.353211         | 0.356110         | 0.362133         |
| 8                | 0.340521         | 0.335335         | 0.340095         |
| 10               | 0.306571         | 0.302828         | 0.307093         |
| 20               | 0.221324         | 0.218554         | 0.220316         |
| 50               | 0.141241         | 0.140077         | 0.140579         |
| 100              | 0.099854         | 0.099515         | 0.099701         |
Table 4. Numerical results of [52] and [53] compared with the present results with $\Phi_1 = \Phi_2 = 0$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\lambda$</th>
<th>$F''(0)$</th>
<th>$F''(0)$</th>
<th>$F''(0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Bachok et al. [53]</td>
<td>Wang [52]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-1.887307</td>
<td>-1.88731</td>
<td>-1.88731</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Malvandi et al. [54]. Let us analyze phase flow with a second-grade fluid under the mathematical assumption of $O(\delta^2) = \left(\frac{\delta}{\rho_{\infty}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\eta_{\infty}}{\rho_{\infty} \delta}ight)^2$. Our hypothesis is determined to be in good accord with the existing literature, and the order of approximation is also met.

4. Results and discussions

The purpose of this analysis is to demonstrate the impact of physical parameters on the temperature and velocity profiles. Nanoparticle concentration of aluminum oxide ($\Phi_1$), nanoparticle concentration of copper ($\Phi_2$), velocity slip parameter ($\lambda$), dimensionless parameter ($\gamma$) and thermal slip parameter ($\theta$) are the physical parameters involved. In the whole investigation, the nanoparticle concentration of aluminum oxide ($\Phi_1 = 0.1$) is assumed to be constant. The range of the physical parameters is considered as $0.005 \leq \Phi_2 \leq 0.09$, and $0.0 \leq \gamma \leq 0.5$, and $0.0 \leq \lambda \leq 0.5$, and the dimensionless parameter is considered to be $N \notin [0, 1]$. The effects of physical parameters are shown through figures and tables. The comparative analysis of Cu-Al$_2$O$_3$/H$_2$O and Cu/H$_2$O on $F''(\xi)$ and $\theta(\xi)$ are shown in Figures 2 and 3. It should be noted that $F''(\xi)$ improves with rising in the $\Phi_2$. Cu-Al$_2$O$_3$/H$_2$O achieves a larger moment boundary layer thickness than Cu/H$_2$O. Figure 3 shows the impacts of $\Phi_2$ on $\theta(\xi)$. It is worth noting that as the value of $\Phi_2$ rises, so does the size of $\theta(\xi)$. Cu-Al$_2$O$_3$/H$_2$O has a greater thermal boundary layer thickness gain than Cu/H$_2$O. Figures 4 and 5 show the effects of $\Phi_2$ on $\theta(\xi)$ and $F''(\xi)$. It is shown that $\theta(\xi)$ and $F''(\xi)$ increase as $\Phi_2$ increases, indicating a high resistance to fluid velocity.

Figure 6 shows the impact of thermal slip on $\theta(\xi)$ and velocity slip on the velocity profile. It is observed that $F''(\xi)$ increases as the velocity slip parameter increases but $\theta(\xi)$ exhibits a drop in the curve as the thermal slip parameter increases. Figure 7 shows the effects of dimensionless parameter on $\theta(\xi)$ and $F''(\xi)$. It is seen that $F''(\xi)$ increases as the dimensionless parameter ($N$) increases, and $\theta(\xi)$ decreases as the dimensionless parameter ($N$) increases, as shown in Figure 7. For large values of the dimensionless parameter, the thickness of the momentum boundary layer increases while

Table 5. Numerical results of [52] and [54] compared with the present results with $\Phi_1 = 0$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cu/H$_2$O</th>
<th>Present results</th>
<th>Bachok et al. [53]</th>
<th>Yacob et al. [55]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi_2$</td>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>$N_{max}$</td>
<td>$N_{max}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.7968</td>
<td>1.4043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.4589</td>
<td>1.6421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.0795</td>
<td>1.7895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.5004</td>
<td>2.0987</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Comparative results of [53] and [54] with the present results with $\Phi_2 = 0.1$ and $\Phi_1 = 0$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\lambda$</th>
<th>$F''(0)$</th>
<th>$F''(0)$</th>
<th>$F''(0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Bachok et al. [53]</td>
<td>Malvandi et al. [54]</td>
<td>Present results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-1.0252</td>
<td>-1.0252</td>
<td>-1.2987</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Effects of Cu/H$_2$O and Cu-Al$_2$O$_3$/H$_2$O on $\theta(\xi)$.

Figure (6) shows the impact of thermal slip on $\theta(\xi)$ and velocity slip on the velocity profile. It is observed that $F''(\xi)$ increases as the velocity slip parameter increases but $\theta(\xi)$ exhibits a drop in the curve as the thermal slip parameter increases. Figure (6) shows the effects of dimensionless parameter on $\theta(\xi)$ and $F''(\xi)$. It is seen that $F''(\xi)$ increases as the dimensionless parameter ($N$) increases, and $\theta(\xi)$ decreases as the dimensionless parameter ($N$) increases, as shown in Figure 7. For large values of the dimensionless parameter, the thickness of the momentum boundary layer increases while
the thickness of the thermal boundary layer decreases. Table 7 shows the impacts of physical parameters $\Phi_2$, $\gamma$, $\lambda$, and $N$ on $\theta'(0)$ and $F''(0)$. We also conducted a comparative investigation, which included a comparison of Cu-Al$_2$O$_3$/H$_2$O and Cu/H$_2$O. We observed that as $F''(0)$ increases $\Phi_2$ increases. The values of $F''(0)$ are lower in Cu-Al$_2$O$_3$/H$_2$O than in Cu/H$_2$O. For larger values of $\theta'(0)$ $\Phi_2$, the $\theta'(0)$ decreases, whereas Cu-Al$_2$O$_3$/H$_2$O gains smaller values of $\theta'(0)$ than Cu/H$_2$O. The effects of thermal slip on $\theta'(0)$ are shown in Table 7. It can be seen that as the value of $\theta'(0)$ is decreased, thermal slip increases. Cu-Al$_2$O$_3$/H$_2$O gains smaller values of $\theta'(0)$ than Cu/H$_2$O. Table 7 shows the effects of velocity slip on the $F''(0)$ and $\theta'(0)$. With a rise in $\theta'(0)$ and a decrease in $F''(0)$ the velocity slip increases. It is observed that Cu-Al$_2$O$_3$/H$_2$O gains smaller values than Cu/H$_2$O which is surprising. With increases in $\theta'(0)$ and $F''(0)$ the dimensionless parameter increases. It is also observed that Cu-Al$_2$O$_3$/H$_2$O gains smaller values than Cu/H$_2$O.

5. Final remarks

We have studied a second-grade hybrid nanofluid stagnation point flow over a stretching surface with
Table 7. Skin frictions and Nusselt numbers of Cu-Al2O3/H2O and Cu/H2O.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Φ2</th>
<th>γ</th>
<th>λ</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Cu-Al2O3/H2O</th>
<th>Cu/H2O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F″(0)</td>
<td>−θ′(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.787087</td>
<td>0.92585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.809948</td>
<td>0.903288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.838365</td>
<td>0.87378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.86466</td>
<td>0.841716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.888998</td>
<td>0.846229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.838365</td>
<td>1.03848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.838365</td>
<td>0.94037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.838365</td>
<td>0.87378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.838365</td>
<td>0.809582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.0672</td>
<td>0.802870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.937379</td>
<td>0.842992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.838365</td>
<td>0.87378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.750557</td>
<td>0.898241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.322344</td>
<td>0.648421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.838365</td>
<td>0.87378</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our findings are in good agreement with the previous literature.

Figure 7. Effects of N, γ and λ on θ(ξ).

slip conditions. Tables and figures are used to show physical parameters that are involved. Surprisingly, we found some important results, as below:

- Cu-Al2O3/H2O obtains lower values than Cu/H2O for F″(0) and −θ′(0);
- For large values of the dimensionless parameter (N), F″(0) and −θ′(0) increase in both cases;
- F″(ξ) and θ(ξ) increase for large values of Φ2;
- Our findings are in good agreement with the previous literature.
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