Study of thermally developed flow of viscous fluid over a porous stretching surface contacting gyrotactic microorganisms using Buongiorno model
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\section*{Abstract.} Recent developments in advanced nanotechnology have given rise to the thermal consequences of nanoparticles due to their increasingly significant roles in different engineering and thermal extrusion systems. In this study, the two-dimensional flow of viscous nanoliquid in the presence of gyrotactic microorganisms encountered by a porous stretched surface was numerically addressed. The novel aspects of Brownian diffusion and thermophoresis were also studied using Buongiorno model. The effect of thermal radiation was taken into account in the energy equation. Further, a set of pertinent transformations was suggested to transform the governing non-linear partial differential equations into a system of non-linear ordinary differential equations. A well-known numerical method called the finite difference technique was employed to determine the numerical solution of the modeled dimensionless equations. The flow analysis, presented graphically, targeted the effects of numerous prominent parameters on velocity, temperature, concentration, and motile micro-organism profiles. In the presence of thermal radiation, the velocity declined following the increase of bioconvection Rayleigh number and buoyancy ratio parameter value, while an opposite trend was observed when boosting the Grashof number. The porous medium and radiation increased the fluid temperature.
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1. Introduction

Given the inclusive practical applications of nanoparticles in recent decades, considerable attention has been drawn to the study of nanoparticles with physical significance in many industrial, engineering, and real-world problems. The recent development of industrial systems has encouraged researchers to discover new and cheap energy resources. Although numerous traditional techniques have been developed to improve such energy resources and thermal efficiency processes, many environmental problems such as global warming, emission of carbon dioxide, and depletion of ozone layer result from such physical processes. Energy resources based on the utilization of such nanoparticles are suggested as the most fascinating and improved heat transportation mechanisms. Moreover, nanoparticles are also employed in biomedical sciences including lasers, diagnosis of different diseases, annihilation of cancer tissues, brain tumor, artificial lungs, etc.
Further, nanoparticles are also used in engineering processes such as cooling processes, enhancement of extrusion processes, solar systems, etc. Nanoparticles with high thermal conductivity are small-sized solid particles such as carbides (SiC), stable metals (Ag, Al, Cu), and non-metal-like carbon nanotubes and oxides (SiO₂, CuO, and Al₂O₃), all merged in the base fluids such as ethylene glycol, oil, water, and bio-fluids to increase the fluid temperature. The interaction between such enhanced thermo-physical particles was primarily studied by Choi [1] in 1995; however, later on, numerous investigators have shifted their focus onto the flow of small-size particles. For instance, Buongiorno [2] suggested the most significant slip parameters, namely thermophoresis parameter effects, and Brownian motion aspects associated with the movement of nanoparticles. Khan et al. [3] established a series solution for the Maxwell nanofluid configured by a stretched surface with extra effect of heat source/sink and radiative heat flux features. Based on the well-known convergent technique, this series solution was developed by confirming the solution accuracy. Numerical investigation of the natural convection flow of nanoparticles using Lattice Boltzmann technique was carried out by Mohebbi et al. [4]. Mashaee et al. [5] performed a numerical simulation to investigate the effects of discrete heat source and sink on the laminar force convection of nanofluid flow across the channel. Kumam et al. [6] employed the homotopic approach to discuss the magnetohydrodynamic and radiative effects in the cross nanofluid associated with rotating channels. Hassan et al. [7] used Forchheimer porous medium model to explore the permeability of nanofluid flow over a wavy surface. Guha and Nayek [8] pointed out the thermal consequences of natural convection flow of nanofluid over a vertical plate. Sheikholeslami et al. [9,10] employed a numerical approach called finite volume method to investigate the increase in the heat transfer rate of nanomaterials. Hakeem et al. [11] reported the thermal features in nanoparticles exposed to permeable configuration. Further recent studies on nanofluid flow can be seen in [12-18].

The practical applications (including crystal growing, extrusion process, glass blowing, and manufacture of foods and papers) of the fluid flow phenomenon over stretching surfaces add up to the significance of their studies. Turkylmazoglu [19] provided multiple analytical solutions for fluid flows over stretching surfaces. Series solution was employed by Khan [20] to investigate the problem of visco-elastic fluid flow over a stretching sheet. Further recent studies on the applications of fluid flow over stretching surfaces can be seen in [21-27].

In recent years, significant attention has been drawn to the phenomenon of bioconvection due to its numerous applications in modern biotechnology. Bioconvection reveals the microscopic convection of fluid particles associated with the variations in density distribution. The bioconvection process comprises the collective motion of motile microorganisms in some specific directions. Such a self-oriented movement of microorganisms could effectively increase liquid density. Bioconvection occurs when microorganisms that are less dense than water are swimming upward, on average. Different species have different reasons for upward swimming. For example, the oxygen concentration gradient functions as a stimulator, which is responsible for forcing the microorganism to swim in a specific direction by self-propelling. The motion of microorganisms mediated by such stimulators is referred to as taxis, which can be further classified as chemotaxis, phototaxis, gravitaxis, and gyrotaxis. Moreover, the motion of microorganisms that is either towards or away from the light and directed opposite gravity is affected due to chemical gradient and also, towards downwelling due to gravitational viscous effects. To this end, viscous shear forces and gravity effects near the bottom-heavy wall produce torque to control the gyrotaxis. Hence, the bottom-heavy algae/bacteria are involved in bioconvection. The basic difference between the bioconvection and nanofluid indicated that while the bioconvection phenomenon was self-propelled, the movement of nanoparticles was characterized by thermophoresis or Brownian motion. It was experimentally proven that utilization of gyrotactic microorganisms could effectively enhance stability and mass transportation of nanoparticles. Kuznetsov [28,29] primarily investigated the bioconvection phenomenon as well as nanoparticles. Later on, due to the reported significance of this phenomenon in different industries and biotechnology, some researchers have further studied this issue. For instance, Khan et al. [30] conducted a bioconvection study of natural convection fluid flow of nanofluid containing gyrotactic microorganisms passing a truncated cone using convective boundary conditions. Hayat et al. [31] studied the mixed convective flow of viscoelastic nanofluid with gyrotactic microorganisms induced by stretched cylinder. Mehrayan et al. [32] numerically presented the thermo-physical characteristics of nanofluid containing the gyrotactic microorganisms configured by nonlinear surface. Akbar [33] inspected the bioconvection phenomenon in the peristaltic transport of nanofluid in the asymmetric channel. Another theoretical bioconvection for micropolar nanofluid was numerically studied by Atif et al. [34]. Zuhra et al. [35] numerically investigated the bioconvection of nanofluid induced by moving plate. Atif et al. [36] also discussed the problem of magnetohydrodynamics Carreau nanofluid over a stretched surface. Nawaz et al. [37] employed a finite element method to discuss the Brownian motion of nanofluid particles subject to hydro-thermal effects.
Further, the latest studies on bioconvection can be seen in [38–40].

The literature review reveals that no study has been conducted on the heat absorption and generation features in thermally developed flow of viscous nanofluid contacting gyrotactic microorganisms over a porous stretching surface through our proposed numerical model. Therefore, the main motivation behind the present study was to fill this gap.

To this end, a mathematical model for the flow, heat, and concentration of a viscous nanofluid over a permeable stretching sheet was developed, taking into account the role of porosity, heat source/sink, and thermal radiation. Moreover, the bioconvection features of nanoparticles were considered in the presence of motile microorganisms. The discretized mathematical equations together with the boundary conditions were numerically treated via an efficient finite difference technique and MATLAB software.

2. Problem statement

Consider a steady, incompressible, and two-dimensional boundary layer nanofluid containing the gyrotactic microorganism induced by a porous stretched and shrunk sheet (Figure 1). Newtonian fluid obeying Boussinesq approximation should also be taken into account. Stretched sheet was assumed to be permeable with suction/injection features. The aspects of thermophoresis and Brownian movement were elaborated using Buongiorno nanofluid. Heat transfer (facilitated by thermal conduction) takes place according to Fourier’s Law. Due to the thermally developed surface, the consequence of thermal radiation was considered by Rosseland approximation and heat absorption/generation was also taken into account in the energy equation. It was further assumed that the swimming direction and velocity of the microorganism were not affected by nanoparticles, and the suspension of nanoparticles was assumed to be diluted and stabilized. The stretched/shrunk surface was retained by a uniform velocity of $U_w = ax$ where $a$ and $x$ denote the positive constant and coordinate along the stretching/shrinking surface, respectively. The rate of mass transportation (caused by molecular diffusion) can be obtained according to Fick’s law with injection ($\nu_i > 0$) and suction ($\nu_i < 0$). Following these assumptions and the studies conducted by Aman et al. [41], Ahmad et al. [42], Shiekholeslami and Rohani [43], and Wahid et al. [44], the author obtained the governing equations in the current flow situation in the following forms:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial y} = 0, \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial y} = \frac{\mathcal{G} \tilde{u}}{\partial y^2} + g\beta \left(1 - \tilde{C}_\infty \right) \left(\tilde{T} - \tilde{T}_\infty \right)$$

$$- \frac{g}{\rho_f} (\rho_p - \rho_f) \left(\tilde{C} - \tilde{C}_\infty \right) - \frac{g}{\rho_f} (\rho_m - \rho_f) \left(\tilde{N} - \tilde{N}_\infty \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{u}}. \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{T}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tilde{T}}{\partial y} = \left( \alpha + \frac{16\sigma^3\gamma^3}{3k^*\rho c_p} \right) \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{T}}{\partial y^2} + \frac{Q_0}{\rho c_p} \left(\tilde{T} - \tilde{T}_\infty \right)$$

$$+ \frac{T}{\tau} \left\{ \frac{D_B \frac{\partial \tilde{C}}{\partial y}}{\partial \tilde{y}} + \frac{D_T}{\tilde{T}_\infty} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{T}}{\partial \tilde{y}} \right)^2 \right\}, \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{C}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tilde{C}}{\partial y} = D_B \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{C}}{\partial y^2} + \frac{D_T}{\tilde{T}_\infty} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{T}}{\partial \tilde{y}} \right)^2, \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{N}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tilde{N}}{\partial y} + \frac{bW_c}{c_w - \tilde{C}_\infty} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}} \left(\tilde{N} \frac{\partial \tilde{C}}{\partial \tilde{y}} \right) \right]$$

$$= D_n \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{N}}{\partial \tilde{y}^2}, \tag{5}$$

where $\tilde{u}$ and $\tilde{v}$ represent the horizontal and vertical velocity components, respectively. Further, $\theta$, $g$, $\tilde{T}$, $k^*$, $\beta$, $\alpha$, $Q_0$, $D_B$, $D_T$, $D_n$, $b$, $W_c$, $\tilde{C}$, $\tilde{N}$, $\tau$, $\rho_f$, $\rho_m$, $c_f$, and $c_p$ are the kinematics viscosity, gravity vector, temperature, Darcy permeability, fluid volume expansion coefficient, thermal diffusivity, thermal coefficient, Brownian diffusion coefficient, thermophoresis constant, microorganism diffusivity, chemotaxis constant, maximum cell swimming speed, nanoparticles volume fraction, microorganism concentration, ratio of effective heat capacity of fluid, fluid density, nanoparticles density, and specific heat constant, respectively.

The terms related to bioconvection and porosity are included in the momentum equation. Generally, movements of nanoparticles are stabilized using the microorganisms in the base fluid. Bioconvection has many considerable applications in other fields such as
agriculture, chemistry, biotechnology, and wastewater management. On the contrary, the convective heat and mass transfer in fluid-imbeded porous media have an inclusive range of applications including water movements in geothermal reservoirs, thermal insulation engineering, heat pipes, nuclear waste repository, underground spreading of chemical waste, grain storage, geothermal engineering, and higher recovery of petroleum reservoirs. Furthermore, the heat equation is established by the effects of heat source and radiation. Basically, there is a significant temperature difference between the ambient fluid and surface that causes thermal convection with a significant heat sink. There are a number of convective applications in the framework of endothermic and exothermic reactions of chemical compounds. Radiations are utilized in the academic fields of medicine, generating electricity, and industry. Moreover, radiation has other significant applications in archaeology, agriculture, law enforcement, geology, space exploration, and many others.

The appropriate boundary conditions for the considered flow problem are as follows:
\[ \dot{v} = v_0, \quad \ddot{u} = \lambda U_w, \quad \ddot{T} = \dot{T}_w, \quad \ddot{C} = \dot{C}_w, \quad \dot{N} = \dot{N}_w \]
at \( y = 0 \),

\[ \ddot{u} \rightarrow 0, \quad \ddot{T} \rightarrow \ddot{T}_\infty, \quad \ddot{C} \rightarrow \ddot{C}_\infty, \quad \dot{N} \rightarrow \dot{N}_\infty \]
as \( y \rightarrow \infty \), (6)

where \( \lambda \) is the parameter with the stretching of \( \lambda > 0 \) and shrinking of \( \lambda < 0 \). Moreover, \( \omega \) and \( \infty \) depict the values on the solid surface far and far away from the surface, respectively.

2.1. Dimensionless quantities

In order to transmute the flow system into a dimensionless form, the following transformations should be taken into account:

\[ \psi = (a \theta)^{\frac{2}{3}} x f(\eta), \quad \eta = \left( \frac{a}{\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} y, \]

\[ \theta(\eta) = \frac{\ddot{T} - \ddot{T}_\infty}{\ddot{T}_w - \ddot{T}_\infty}, \quad \phi(\eta) = \frac{\ddot{C} - \ddot{C}_\infty}{\ddot{C}_w - \ddot{C}_\infty}, \]

\[ \chi(\eta) = \frac{\dot{N} - \dot{N}_\infty}{\dot{N}_w - \dot{N}_\infty} \]

Governing Eqs. (2)–(5) were converted into dimensionless forms employing Transformation (7):

\[ f'''' + f''' + f'' - \varepsilon f' + \text{Gr} (\theta - \text{Nr} \phi - \text{Rb} \chi) = 0, \]

\[ (1 + \text{Rd}) \theta'' + \text{Pr} f\theta' + \text{Pr} \left( \text{Nt} \theta' \phi' + \text{Nt} \theta'' + \varepsilon \theta \right) = 0, \]

\[ \phi'' + \frac{\text{Nt}}{\text{Nb}} \theta'' + \text{Le} f \phi' = 0, \]

\[ \chi'' + Lb f\chi' - \text{Pe} \left[ \chi' \phi' + \chi'' (\chi + \sigma) \right] = 0, \] (11)

where Continuity Eq. (1) is identically satisfied and it represents the possible fluid motion. Similarly, boundary conditions take the following form:

\[ f(0) = S, \quad f'(0) = \lambda, \quad \theta(0) = 1, \quad \phi(0) = 1, \quad \chi(0) = 1, \]

\[ f' = 0, \quad \theta = 0, \quad \phi = 0, \quad \chi = 0, \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \rightarrow \infty, \] (12)

where \( \text{Gr} \) is the Grashoff number, \( \text{Nr} \) the buoyancy number, \( \text{Rb} \) the bicovery Rayleigh number, \( \varepsilon \) the porosity parameter, \( \text{Pr} \) the Prandtl number, \( \text{Nb} \) the Brownian motion, \( \text{Nt} \) the thermophoresis parameter, \( \delta \) the source/sink parameter, \( \text{Le} \) the Lewis number, \( \text{Lb} \) the bioconvection Lewis number, \( \sigma \) the dimensionless parameter, \( \text{Pe} \) the bioconvection Peclet number, and \( S \) the mass flux parameter with the suction of \( (S > 0) \) and injection of \( (S < 0) \). Mathematically, these parameters are expressed as follows:

\[ \text{Gr} = \frac{g^3 \left( 1 - \ddot{C}_\infty \right)}{a \ddot{u}} \left( \ddot{T}_w - \ddot{T}_\infty \right), \]

\[ \text{Nt} = \frac{(\rho_p - \rho_f) \left( \ddot{C}_w - \ddot{C}_\infty \right)}{\beta \rho_f \left( 1 - \ddot{C}_\infty \right)} \left( \ddot{T}_w - \ddot{T}_\infty \right), \]

\[ \text{Rb} = \frac{\gamma (\rho_m - \rho_f) \left( \ddot{N}_w - \ddot{N}_\infty \right)}{\beta \rho_f \left( 1 - \ddot{C}_\infty \right)} \left( \ddot{T}_w - \ddot{T}_\infty \right), \]

\[ \text{Nt} = \frac{\tau D_B \left( \ddot{C}_w - \ddot{C}_\infty \right)}{\partial T_\infty}, \quad \text{Pe} = \frac{b W_i}{D_n}, \quad \sigma = \frac{\ddot{N}_\infty}{\ddot{N}_w - \ddot{N}_\infty}, \quad \text{Le} = \frac{\ddot{u}}{D_B}, \quad \text{Pr} = \frac{\ddot{\lambda}}{\ddot{\theta}}. \]

2.2. Physical quantities

In the present study, the physical quantities of practical interest include the skin friction coefficient, local Nusselt number, local Sherwood number, and local density of motile microorganisms, justified in the following forms:

\[ C_f = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho U_w^2}, \quad \text{Nu}_x = \frac{x q_w}{k \left( \ddot{T}_w - \ddot{T}_\infty \right)} \]

\[ \text{Sh}_x = \frac{x q_m}{D_n \left( \ddot{C}_w - \ddot{C}_\infty \right)}, \quad \tau_w = \mu \left( \frac{\dot{u}}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0}. \]
\[ q_w = -k \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0}, \quad q_m = -D_B \left( \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0}, \]

\[ q_n = -D_n \left( \frac{\partial N}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0}, \quad (13) \]

where \( \tau_w, q_w, q_m, \) and \( q_n \) are surface shear stress, wall heat flux, wall mass flux, and motile microorganism’s density, respectively.

The physical quantities defined in Eq. (13) may be written as:

\[ C_f \text{Re}_x - \frac{1}{2} \quad f''(0), \quad \text{Nu}_x \text{Re}_x - \frac{1}{2} \quad -\theta'(0), \]

\[ S_h \text{Re}_x - \frac{1}{2} \quad -\phi'(0), \quad \text{Nn}_x \text{Re}_x - \frac{1}{2} \quad -\chi'(0). \quad (14) \]

where \( \text{Re}_x = U_w x / \bar{u} \) is the local Reynolds number.

3. Numerical solution

Mathematicians, scientists, and researchers have shifted their focus to solving nonlinear physical models since most of the nonlinear physical models already exist in nature. Some researchers, namely Wahid et al. [45,46], Turkylmazoglu [47], and Khan et al. [48], suggested analytical solutions for such physical phenomena under certain conditions. The governing equations of the present physical model are highly nonlinear; therefore, it is difficult to solve such differential equations analytically. In this regard, a numerical technique known as finite difference method was employed in this study to solve such nonlinear coupled equations. As Eq. (8) is of the 3rd order, firstly, we reduced its order by substituting \( f''(q) \). Hence, the equations and their corresponding boundary conditions take the following form:

\[ q'' + f' \phi' - q^2 - a q = G_r (\text{Re}_x \phi + \text{Rb} \chi - \theta), \]

\[ (1 + \text{Rd}) \phi'' + \text{Pr} f \phi' = -\text{Pr} \left( \text{Nt} \theta' \phi' + \text{Nt} \theta'^2 - \phi'' \right), \]

\[ \phi'' + \text{Le} f \phi' = -\frac{\text{Nu}}{\text{Le}} \phi'', \]

\[ \chi'' + (\text{Lb} f - \text{Pe} \phi') \chi' - \text{Pe} \chi' \phi' = \text{Pe} \sigma \phi''. \]

The corresponding boundary conditions take the following form:

\[ f(0) = S, \quad q(0) = \lambda, \quad \theta(0) = 1, \quad \phi(0) = 1, \quad \chi(0) = 1, \]

\[ q = 0, \quad \theta = 0, \quad \phi = 0, \quad \chi = 0, \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \rightarrow \infty. \quad (19) \]

To perform the numerical computation for the above-formulated equations, the flow domain \([0, \infty)\) with the step size of \( h \) was first discretized. Famous Simpson’s numerical iterative procedure was then used to integrate the equation \( f'' = q \). Further, central difference approximation was employed to discretize the involved derivatives in Eqs. (15)-(19) and then, the iterative solution was obtained using Succession Over Relaxation (SOR) method. This iterative method stops functioning when

\[ \max \left( \left\| \phi_{i+1} - \phi_i \right\|_2, \left\| f_{i+1} - f_i \right\|_2, \left\| \theta_{i+1} - \theta_i \right\|_2, \left\| \chi_{i+1} - \chi_i \right\|_2 \right) < Tol_{\text{gr}}, \]

is satisfied up to four consecutive iterations.

4. Results and discussion

In this section, the effects of the involved physical parameters on the velocity, temperature, concentration, and motile microorganism distributions are discussed. These parameters are characterized by material and flow properties, all with the geometric dimensions. Instead of allocating specific domain dimensions and fluid properties to these parameters, a better approach is allocating fixed values to these parameters in order to analyze the flow and thermal characteristics of fluid dynamics problems (as stated by Ahmad et al. [42] and Wahid et al. [44]). The range of parameters in this study was chosen such that a further increment in the upper limit would not affect the qualitative behavior of the profiles. Figures 2–18 present a detailed discussion of the obtained numerical results describing the effects of different governing parameters such as traditional Lewis number Le, bioconvection Lewis number \( Lb \), bioconvection Péclet number Pe, suction/injection parameter \( S \), bioconvection Rayleigh number \( Rb \), buoyancy ratio parameter \( N_R \), Brownian

\[ \text{Figure 2. The variation in velocity for } S = 0.2, \text{ Gr } = 5, \text{ Nr } = 0.4, \text{ Rb } = 0.6, \text{ Pr } = 4.5, \text{ Nbo } = Nl = \sigma = \delta = 0.1, \text{ Le } = 4.5, \text{ Pe } = 1.2, \text{ Ib } = 0.9, \text{ Rd } = 0.9, \lambda = 1, \text{ and various } \varepsilon. \]
motion parameter $Nb$, thermophoresis parameter $Nt$, permeability parameter $\varepsilon$, Grashof number $Gr$, Prandtl number $Pr$, microorganisms concentration difference parameter $\chi$, heat source/sink parameter $\delta$, and dimensionless parameter $\sigma$.

The effects of buoyancy ratio $Nr$, permeability parameter $\varepsilon$, mixed convection $Gr$, bioconvection Rayleigh number $Rb$, and suction/injection parameter $S$ on velocity are shown in Figures 2–6. Based on the velocity profiles in the mentioned figures, it can be concluded that while the velocity was maximum on the plate surface, it was exponentially reduced to zero in the region beyond the plate surface at the free stream.

Figure 2 shows the effects of porosity parameter $\varepsilon$ on the velocity field $f(\eta)$. Upon increasing the porosity of the medium, the velocity field would decrease. Such a declining trend can be justified based on the sizes of pores inside the porous medium which become larger. In this case, a resistive force from the opposite direction of the flow was imposed on the fluid and consequently, the velocity boundary layer thickness was reduced. The effect of suction/injection parameter $S$ on the velocity distribution $f'(\eta)$ is shown in Figure 3. The visualized results showed a gradual decrease in $f'(\eta)$. Physically, the escalating behavior of dragging the nanofluid particles through the stretching surface was observed upon increase in the value of the suction parameter $S$, which decreased the velocity profile. As observed in Figure 4, a declining velocity profile was already predicted by $Nr$ evaluation. In fact, resistive buoyancy forces (functioning opposite to the pressure gradient) resulted from strengthening $Nr$, which reduced the fluid flow speed. The effect of Rayleigh number $Rb$ on the velocity $f'(\eta)$ is shown in Figure 5. According to this figure, it was predicted that the velocity profile would decrease upon increasing the Rayleigh number. The physical features of the above occurrence involve the bioconvection Rayleigh number $Rb$ which includes the buoyancy ratio (resistive) forces, which are responsible for resisting the movement of fluid particles in the entire flow domain. Physically, the effects of buoyancy forces were intensified upon increasing the values of $Rb$, leading to the fluid velocity decline. On the contrary, the opposite trend was observed for $Gr$, as can be seen in Figure 6. Of note, the fluid velocity $f'(\eta)$ increased following an increase in the Grashof number $Gr$, which was related to the involvement of buoyancy ratio forces versus viscous
forces. Increasing the Grashof number may weaken the effect of viscous forces, which augment the fluid velocity.

The graphical consequences for buoyancy ratio parameter $Nr$ bioconvection Rayleigh number $Rb$ radiation parameter $Rd$ Brownian motion parameter $Nb$, thermophoresis parameter $Nt$, and heat source/sink parameter $\delta$ on the temperature $\theta(\eta)$ are given in Figures 7–12. According to Figures 7 and 8, the temperature of the nanofluid increases following an increase in both bioconvection Rayleigh number $Rb$ and buoyancy ratio parameter $Nr$. The physical aspect of strengthening the temperature profiles is related to the involvement of the buoyancy forces. The effect of radiation parameter $Rd$ on the temperature is illustrated in Figure 9. Upon increasing the radiation parameter, the temperature profiles would rise. Physically, in case the radiation parameter increased, the mean absorption coefficient would decrease, thus increasing the rate of the radiative heat transfer to the liquid. Consequently, the temperature of the fluid would increase. The behavioral effects of $Nb$ and $Nt$ on $\theta(\eta)$ are depicted in Figures 10 and 11. It was predicted that the thickness and temperature of the thermal boundary layer would be the increasing functions of $Nb$ and $Nt$. Random motion of fluid particles was escalated by increasing $Nb$, as a result of which considerable heat was produced, thus increasing the temperature of the fluid. On the contrary, due to thermophoresis, a large number of nanoparticles were pulled away from the hot to cold surface, thus increasing the temperature of the fluid.

The effects of heat source/sink parameter $\delta$ on temperature distribution are elaborated in Figure 12, indicating that any increase in the temperature resulted from an increase in the heat source strength. Moreover, by increasing the heat source strength, a considerable amount of heat entered the system; hence, the temperature of the fluid increased and thermal boundary layer thickness increased. This result was of significance in the heat transfer flow problems.
Figure 11. The variation in temperature for
\( S = Nb = \sigma = Rd = Lb = \delta = 0.1, \varepsilon = Le = 4.5, Gr = 3.5,\)
\( Nr = 0.2, Rb = 0.3, Pr = 7.5, Pe = 1.1, \lambda = 1, \) and various \( Nt.\)
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Figure 12. The variation in temperature profiles for
\( Nt = Nb = \sigma = 0.1, S = Nr = Rb = 0.2, \varepsilon = 0.7,\)
\( Gr = 1.7, Pr = 5.2, Le = 4.9, Pe = Rd = 0.9, Lb = 1.2,\)
\( \lambda = 1, \) and various \( \delta.\)
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Figure 13. The variation in concentration for
\( S = Nr = Rb = Nt = Lb = \sigma = \delta = 0.1, \varepsilon = 4.5, Gr = 4,\)
\( Pr = 7.5, Le = 5.5, Pe = 1.1, Rd = 2.2, \lambda = 1, \) and various \( Nb.\)

![Graph showing concentration variation](image)

Figure 14. The variation in concentration for
\( S = Rb = Nr = \delta = Pe = Rd = Lb = 0.1,\)
\( \varepsilon = Gr = Le = 4.5, Pr = 1.5, Nb = 0.2, \sigma = 0.3, \lambda = 1,\)
and various \( Nt.\)

![Graph showing concentration profiles](image)

Figure 15. The variation in concentration for
\( S = Lb = 0.2, \varepsilon = 4, Gr = 3, Nr = \delta = 0.1, Rb = \sigma = 0.3,\)
\( Pr = 9, Nb = 0.9, Nt = 0.4, Pe = 1.3, Rd = 3.2, \lambda = 1, \) and various \( Le.\)

![Graph showing concentration profiles](image)

Parameter \( Nt \) on the concentration profile is shown in Figure 14. Of note, concentration profiles are the increasing function of \( Nt. \) An increase in \( Nt \) can enhance the thermal conductivity of the fluid, which is responsible for the enhancement of the concentration profiles. Figure 15 is designed to examine the effect of Lewis number \( Le \) on the concentration. It can be observed that the concentration boundary layer thickness and concentration profiles decreased upon increasing the values of Lewis number \( Le. \) Given that Brownian diffusion coefficient is inversely proportional to the Lewis number, low diffusivity occurs at larger values of Lewis number \( Le. \) Therefore, concentration distributions decreased by increasing the Lewis number \( Le. \) The effect of the Peclet number \( Pe \) on the motile density profiles is shown in Figure 16. An increase in \( Pe \) would decrease the diffusivity of microorganisms, thus decreasing the motile density of the fluid. The effects of microorganism concentration parameter and bioconvection Lewis number on motile density are presented in Figures 17 and 18. Moreover, deterioration in motile density would be observed by increasing the microorganism concentration difference parameter and
Figure 16. The variation in density of motile microorganism for $S = 0.3$, $\varepsilon = 1.5$, $Gr = 2$, $Nr = Nt = Rb = 0.1$, $Pr = \sigma = 0.5$, $Nb = 1.3$, $\delta = 0.7$, $Le = 4.5$, $Lb = 1.8$, $Rd = 0.2$, $\lambda = 1$, and various $Pe$.

Figure 17. The variation in density of motile microorganism for $S = 0.2$, $\varepsilon = 3$, $Gr = 4$, $Rb = 0.4$, $Pr = 3.5$, $Nr = Nb = Nt = Lb = \delta = 0.1$, $Le = 4.5$, $Pe = Rd = 1.2$, $\lambda = 1$, and various $\sigma$.

Figure 18. The variation in density of motile microorganism for $S = Nr = Rb = 0.2$, $\varepsilon = 0.7$, $Nt = Nb = \sigma = 0.1$, $Gr = 1.7$, $Pr = 5.2$, $Le = 4.9$, $Pe = Rd = 0.9$, $\delta = 1.2$, $\lambda = 1$, and different $Lb$.

Table 1. The comparison values of $-\theta'(0)$, $(Rd = \delta = Gr = Rb = Nr = \varepsilon = M = 0)$ with those in [41].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\lambda$</th>
<th>Literature results [41]</th>
<th>Present results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.4822</td>
<td>3.4849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.4589</td>
<td>3.4584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.4415</td>
<td>3.4417</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Variations in $f''(0)$ for different values of $Gr$, $Nr$, $Rb$, and $\varepsilon$ when $S = 1$, and $Nt = 0.1$, $Pr = 0.7$, $Rd = 0.5$, $\sigma = \delta = 0.2$, $Nb = 0.7$, $Le = 0.2$, $Pe = 1.3$, $Lb = 0.3$, and $\lambda = 1$ are fixed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Gr$</th>
<th>$Nr$</th>
<th>$Rb$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon$</th>
<th>$f''(0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-1.79089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-1.74615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-1.68902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-1.2706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-1.75780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-1.79034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-1.78009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-1.79417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-1.80126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-1.71210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-1.82920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-1.93532</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

biococonvective Lewis number. Physically, following an increase in $\sigma$, the surface concentration would decrease, hence decay in the strength of particles and mass reduction. Consequently, a decrement in density profiles occurs. Furthermore, an increase in $Lb$ may reduce the microorganism diffusivity, which is responsible for the reduction in the motile density of microorganisms.

Table 1 illustrates a comparison of the values of $-\theta'(0)$ with those reported by Aman et al. [41] through neglecting the existence of the effects of porosity parameter, radiation parameter, mixed convection, magnetic field, and heat absorption/generation parameters in Eqs. (9) and (10). The results of this comparison are in excellent agreement with the numerical results, thus ensuring their accuracy.

The effects of different parameters such as $Gr$, $Nr$, $Rb$, and $\varepsilon$ on $f''(0)$ are illustrated in Table 2, according to which $f''(0)$ increased upon increasing $Nr$, $Rb$, and $\varepsilon$ in contrast to $Gr$. Table 3 presents the numerical variations of $-\theta'(0)$ against various parameters $Nr$, $Rb$, $Nb$, $Nt$, $Rd$, $Pr$, and $\delta$. As observed, the local Nusselt number $-\theta'(0)$ had the maximum values in terms of $\delta$ and $Pr$; however, an opposite trend was observed for other ones. The effect of different values of $Nr$, $Rb$, $Nb$, $Nt$, and $Le$ on $-\theta'(0)$ is shown in Table 4. While the local Sherwood number $-\theta'(0)$ would increase by increasing $Nb$ and $Le$, an opposite trend was observed...
Table 3. Variations in $-\theta'(0)$ versus different values of $Nt$, $Nr$, $Rb$, $Pr$, $Rd$, $\delta$, and $Nb$ when $S = 1$, $\varepsilon = 0.5$, $Gr = 0.2$, $\sigma = 0.2$, $Le = 0.2$, $Pe = 1.3$, $Lb = 0.3$, and $\lambda = 1$ are fixed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Nt$</th>
<th>$Nr$</th>
<th>$Rb$</th>
<th>$Pr$</th>
<th>$Rd$</th>
<th>$\delta$</th>
<th>$Nb$</th>
<th>$-\theta'(0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.50936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.50390</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.50054</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.53274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.50020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.49908</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.31895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.32285</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.36539</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.59400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.52601</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.48298</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.33087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.33087</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.68111</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.56775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.56775</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.50328</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

for other parameters. Finally, Table 5 presents the numerical variations of $-\chi'(0)$ against values of $Nr$, $Rb$, $Pe$, and $Lb$. A careful observation of this table revealed that while motile density of microorganisms decreased following increase in $Nr$ and $Rb$, it increased by taking into account the effects of $Pe$ and $Lb$. Of note, multiple solutions may be available for the shrinking of the sheet and suction (as stated by Turkylmazoglu [19], Lund et al. [49], and Mustafa et al. [50]) which can be further studied and presented in a subsequent paper.

5. Conclusions

The main objective of the present study was to investigate the bioconvection aspects in the flow, heat, and concentration of nanofluid over a porous trench configuration embedded in a resistive porous medium. The obtained numerical results were evaluated using an efficient method based on finite difference scheme. This method could provide fast convergence. The major observations are listed in the following:

- The porosity of the medium and suction through the sheet slowed the flow velocity;

Table 4. Variations in $-\phi'(0)$ for different values of $Nr$, $Rb$, $Nb$, $Nt$, and $Le$ when $S = 1$, $\varepsilon = 0.5$, $Gr = 0.2$, $Pr = 0.7$, $Rd = 0.5$, $\sigma = \delta = 0.2$, $Pe = 1.3$, $Lb = 0.3$, and $\lambda = 1$ are fixed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Nr$</th>
<th>$Rb$</th>
<th>$Le$</th>
<th>$Nb$</th>
<th>$Nt$</th>
<th>$-\phi'(0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.4332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.38247</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.37919</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.41244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.38168</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.38013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.33087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.37071</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.56651</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.34443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.35571</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.37117</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.37689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.28294</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.22644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Variations in $-\chi'(0)$ for different values of $Nr$, $Rb$, $Pe$, and $Lb$ when $S = 1$, $\varepsilon = 0.5$, $Gr = 0.2$, $Nt = 0.1$, $Pr = 0.7$, $Rd = 0.5$, $\sigma = \delta = 0.2$, $Nb = 0.7$, $Le = 0.2$, and $\lambda = 1$ are fixed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Nr$</th>
<th>$Rb$</th>
<th>$Pe$</th>
<th>$Lb$</th>
<th>$-\chi'(0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.03634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.98854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.98207</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.03285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.98546</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.98273</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.57577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.64800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.75010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.92035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.21521</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.45375</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• The temperature of nanoparticles increased upon applying proper variations to both thermophoresis and Brownian motion constants;
• The heat transfer rate increased following an increase in the Prandtl number;
• The variation in Lewis number decreased the concentration;
• The Pedet and bioconvection Rayleigh numbers decreased the distribution of gyrotactic microorganisms;
• The porous medium increased the fluid temperature.

A comparison of the obtained results in this study with those from [41] showed that the resistive forces of the porous medium and thermal radiations were more capable to handle the bioconvection flows with greater shear stresses and higher temperatures in comparison to the flows over a stretching sheet embedded in a non-porous medium without radiation. The reported results may be helpful in the bio-fuels applications and thermal extrusion phenomenon.
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