A dual-stator machine with diametrically magnetized PM: Analytical air-gap flux calculation, efficiency optimization, and comparison with conventional dual-stator machines
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Abstract. This paper presents a design and optimization procedure for a dual-stator machine with a diametrically magnetized PM to improve the electromagnetic performance. First, analytical design equations are presented based on MEC analysis; they are used to design a basic dual-stator machine. Then, by applying an artificial intelligence algorithm, the machine is optimized to achieve high efficiency and torque density as well as low pulsating torque for direct-drive applications. A quantitative comparison is performed between the optimized new machine and conventional dual-stator machines to evaluate the performances and improvements of the understudy machine. The machine performances including air-gap flux density distribution, back electromotive force, electromagnetic torque, cogging torque, and torque ripple are analyzed using the finite element method. The analysis results have demonstrated that benefiting from its topology, the optimized dual-stator machine with a diametrically magnetized PM has comprehensively better performance, including higher torque density, higher efficiency, and lower torque ripple and cogging torque compared to conventional dual-stator machines.
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1. Introduction

Permanent Magnet Synchronous Machines (PMSMs) have been investigated for various requests such as industrial, transportation, renewable energy, and direct-drive applications due to their high torque density, high power density, high power factor, and efficiency [1–3]. Because of better utilization of materials and high performance, three-phase PMSMs are the most common for the mentioned applications [1]. The dual air-gap PMSMs including Dual-Stator PM Synchronous Machines (DS-PMSM) [4–7] and dual-rotor PM machines [8–11] offer a higher power density than the conventional ones because of the total output torque resulting from the torque components produced by the interactions between two air-gap flux densities.

Lately, various DS-PMSM machines are being researched [1,12–16] that provide better performances over the previously introduced machines. Spoke-type electrical machines have been found useful because of their enhanced torque density and flux focusing effects. A Dual-Stator Spoke-Type Vernier Machine (DSSTVM) realizes a flux-focusing effect on Vernier
machines and so, achieves a high value of power factor. However, DSSTVM only focuses on this factor for the Vernier machine. In [17,18], high-temperature superconductor bulbs were utilized in the PM Vernier machine to shield against the leakage flux and increase the torque density.

Also, cogging torque is one of the primary drawbacks of PMSMs, especially for low-speed and direct-drive applications leading to vibration and acoustic noise [19].

A novel topology for DS-PMSM was introduced in [4]. In this paper, first, an analytical model for air-gap flux density calculation in a variable thickness DS-PMSM was presented and verified by a numerical method. This model could be used for the primary design objectives. Then, the structure optimization was investigated to determine the appropriate motor for high torque and low-speed applications.

A comparative study of the new proposed DS-PMSM [4] and previously researched machines is performed for high torque and low-speed applications, where the critical parameters are torque ripple, cogging torque, torque density, and efficiency. To disclose the characteristics, the Finite Element Method (FEM) analysis is used.

2. Machine topology and field calculations

Figure 1 shows a dual-stator permanent magnet synchronous machine with Diametrically Magnetized Cylindrical PMs (DMCPMs) that is an alternative to a DS-PMSM with surface mounted PMs and has the following advantages [4]:

- Higher mechanical strength;
- Lower torque ripple;
- Sinusoidal air-gap flux density distribution;
- Less construction cost.

Figure 2 shows that the inner stator flux crosses the air-gap and the PM and then, enters the outer stator before closing the path in the adjacent pole.

2.1. Air-gap flux density calculation using MEC

The variable PM thickness changes the trapezoidal flux density distribution into a sinusoidal. In most models of the Magnetic Equivalent Circuit (MEC) for PM machines, the PM is represented by a flux source and a reluctance [20]. Because of the varying PM thicknesses, such models are not accurate enough to determine the air-gap flux density distribution.

Therefore, a new approach will be proposed in this section for these structures and the results will be compared using the FEM analysis results.

Figure 4 shows one pole pair of the machine, where \( R_s, R_l, \phi_r, \) and \( R_m \) are the stator reluctance, leakage flux path reluctance, flux source, and the PM reluctance, respectively. The simplified MEC is given in Figure 5(a), where the PM flux, air-gap flux, and leakage flux are denoted by \( \phi, \phi_g, \) and \( \phi_l \), respectively.

It is not easy to determine an analytical equation for the leakage reluctance, but the air gap flux can be written in terms of the magnetic flux as \( \phi_l = K_l \phi \) where \( K_l \) is a leakage factor that is typically a little less than one. In other words, the leakage reluctance can be eliminated by using this relationship. For the motor with surface PMs, the leakage factor is typically in the range of \( 0.9 < K_l \leq 1 \). Because of the
saturation characteristic of ferromagnetic materials, the steel reluctance \( R_s \) is nonlinear. Therefore, this reluctance must be eliminated in some way to find an analytical solution. As the permeability of the steel is high, the steel reluctance is smaller than the air gap reluctance \( R_g \). That is, the steel reluctance can be eliminated by introducing a reluctance factor \( K_r \). Here, \( K_r \) is a constant that slightly increases the air gap reluctance; thus, steel reluctance is considered. The reluctance factor is in the range of \( 1.0 < K_r \leq 1.2 \) [20].

It is important to note that determining an accurate analytical expression for the leakage and reluctance factors complicates the proposed model. These values are usually chosen based on the experience. Therefore, in this study, the mean value of their ranges is selected to simplify the proposed model \( (K_f = 0.95, K_r = 1.1) \). Final simplified MEC results are shown in Figure 5(b).

### 2.2. MEC calculations

As given in Figure 5, the flux is calculated by:

\[
\phi = \frac{2R_m}{2R_m + 4k_rR_g} \phi_r = \frac{1}{1 + 2k_rR_g/R_m} \phi_r.
\]

Considering:\n
\[
R_m = \frac{l_m}{\mu_r \mu_0 A_m}, \quad R_g = \frac{g}{\mu_0 A_g},
\]

results in:

\[
\phi_\theta = k_l \phi = \frac{k_l}{1 + 2k_r R_g/R_m} \phi_r,
\]

where \( A_m, l_m, g, \) and \( A_g \) are the PM cross-section area, thickness, the air-gap length, and cross-section area, respectively. Using:

\[
B_y = \frac{\phi_\theta}{A_m}, \quad B_r = \frac{\phi_r}{A_m}, \quad c = \frac{A_m}{A_g}, \quad p = \frac{l_m}{g c},
\]

the air-gap flux density is:

\[
B_y(x) = \frac{k_l c}{1 + 2k_r R_g/R_m} B_r.
\]

The PM to air-gap area coefficient, or flux concentration factor \( c \), is equal to 1 for surface mounted PM machines [20]. For the current topology with a stack length of \( L \) and considering Figure 6, it is calculated as follows:

\[
A_m = \pi r L, \quad A_g = w_i L \rightarrow c = \frac{\pi r}{w_i}.
\]

In addition, the effect of open slots of the stator is handled by the Carter coefficient. In other words, the real air gap is replaced by an equivalent air gap [21].

For the PM machine with variable thickness shown in Figure 6, the corresponding air-gap length and PM thickness are related to each other as in Eq. (7). This equation is used in Eq. (5) to calculate the air-gap flux density at each point.

\[
l_m(x) = \sqrt{r^2 - x^2}, \quad g(x) = r + d - l_m(x);
\]

\[-r \leq x \leq r.
\]

### 2.3. Flux density distribution verification and machine dimensions

Using Eq. (5), for a basic machine, the air-gap flux density distribution results are shown in Figure 7. This
The air-gap flux density distribution.

Figure 7. The air-gap flux density distribution.

The figure also compares the analytical results with the numerical field calculations by FEM that verifies the accuracy of the proposed analytical method. Also, the peak flux density calculated by the MEC is exactly coincident with the numerical analysis. The discrepancy in the two ends of the magnetic flux density distribution is due to the flux fringing effect, as the proposed model simplifies the magnetic circuit to reduce the calculations.

If the machine is designed to have no saturation at critical points, linear behavior in the whole range of operations would be achieved. Therefore, the average magnetic flux density at the mentioned points that are inner and outer stators is calculated as follows:

$$B_{sat,s} = \frac{\phi_0}{l_s L}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Here, $l_s$ and $L$ are the yoke thickness and the machine axial length of the stators, respectively. In the design process, $B_{sat,s}$ should be kept below the saturation point.

3. Machine design and optimization

3.1. Design algorithm

In this subsection, the design algorithm is explained step by step. Figure 8 depicts the flowchart of the design process with the main values tabulated in Table 1.

3.1.1. Design criteria

There are some specific constraints for design of electric machines in every application. The maximum current density, the maximum flux densities in the teeth and the yoke, and the maximum temperature are some of the most important limitations. In the first step, the required design specifications for the proposed machine should be determined. Here, the design variables are chosen as the radii of the inner and outer air-gap, the number of slots, and the pole-arc to pole-pitch ratio. The final values are given in Table 1.

3.1.2. Number of poles and the pole-arc width

The length of pole-arc is the main difficulty in using DMCPMs for designing DS-PMSMs, especially in the small number of pole-pair structures. As Figure 2 shows, increasing the pole-arc results in higher thickness of PMs. To overcome this, one approach is to increase the number of poles that decreases the

Figure 8. The design process flowchart for DMCPMs.

Table 1. The main geometric and electromagnetic parameters of the machine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_0$</td>
<td>Phase</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$l_s$</td>
<td>Slot/pole</td>
<td>36/38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$O_D$</td>
<td>Motor outer diameter (mm)</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_D$</td>
<td>Motor inner diameter (mm)</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>Minimum air-gap length (mm)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J$</td>
<td>Current density (A/mm²)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_t$</td>
<td>PM remanence (T)</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>Axial length (mm)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_n$</td>
<td>Power @750 rpm (watt)</td>
<td>1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>PM radius (mm)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_w$</td>
<td>Slot fill factor (%)</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>Number of turns of coils</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
mechanical pole-arc angle. Here, according to the
maximum speed of the application and the width of
the pole-arc, the number of poles is selected.

3.1.3. Slot width, combinations of the numbers of
slots and poles, and winding layout
Based on the results presented in Section two, it can be
concluded that the highest amount of reduction in the
cogging torque appears when the diameter of the PM is
equal to, or smaller than, the width of the tooth [4].
The appropriate diameter of the PM can be realized by
using the fractional slot structure with the number of
slots per-pole per-phase less than unity \(q < 1\).

Eq. (9) shows the cogging torque goodness factor:

\[
C = \frac{2PN_s}{N_C},
\]

where if \(C\) is small, the cogging torque is low [22]. Here,
\(P\) is the number of pole pairs, \(N_s\) the number of slots,
and \(N_C\) the smallest common multiplier of numbers
of slots and numbers of poles. In general, there
are two types of winding configuration: distributed
and concentrated. The concentrated winding is more
appropriate for this structure due to its advantages [23]:

- Fractional slot structure;
- Slots per-pole per-phase less than unity \(q < 1\);
- High power and efficiency;
- Short end winding and consequently, less copper
  loss;
- Increased torque to current ratio due to the high slot
  fill factor.

It should be noted that the concentrated winding
produces harmonics in the air-gap magneto-motive
force (mmf) that increases iron loss in the rotor,
especially in non-laminated structures. Here, due to
the use of aluminum in the structure of the proposed
rotor, the iron losses of the rotor are neglected.

3.1.4. The air-gap radii and flux density
The design algorithm has a loop for the number of
poles, the air-gap radius, the air-gap flux density,
and the PM thickness and it is used to calculate the
inner and outer air-gap radii. Air-gap flux density is
calculated using the 2nd section equations. It should
be mentioned that this algorithm is not suitable for the
low-pole structures with the number of poles smaller
than 12.

3.1.5. Back-EMF and torque characteristics
After satisfying the air-gap flux density, the primary
machine characteristics including the cogging torque,
torque ripple, the average torque, and the efficiency
are calculated. If the results are acceptable, the process
will be finished. If not, the process will go back to the
first step, and the design constraints will be changed
within the allowable range until the design goals are
satisfied.

3.2. Optimization approach
For high torque and low-speed applications, the es-
sential characteristics are air-gap flux density, back
EMF, torque density, efficiency, and losses. Dual-stator
machines inherently have a higher torque density than
single-stator machines as the produced torque is the
sum of the two air-gap torques.

The principal objective of the DMCPM opti-
mization is machine efficiency, but the cogging torque
and torque ripple and density are considered as the
constraints in this process.

The primary design parameter that affects the
machine performance is the rotor radius. This para-
ter determines the rotor position between the two
stators. For a constant PM radius, the rotor radius
defines the ratio of PM arc to pole arc \((\alpha)\) that affects
the torque ripple and cogging torque directly [24].

In the machine under study, the magnetic circuits
of inner and outer stators are in series. Also, the
electrical circuits of the stators are in series with the
same currents in the windings. The rotor radius
determines the slot area, hence the magnetic flux of
the two stators. The flux has a significant effect on
the machine produced torque and copper loss.

Other optimization parameters are the width of
inner and outer stator teeth that determines the wind-
ing space and the loss and efficiency. The optimization
goal is to maximize efficiency with respect to the
constraints that are presented in Table 2.

For the mentioned objectives and constraints, the
designed DMCPM machine has been optimized using
the well-known procedure GA [25].

The initial and optimal values of the geometrical
parameters are presented in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. The optimization constraints.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average torque</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torque ripple</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. The optimization parameters.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor diameter (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner stator tooth width (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer stator tooth width (mm)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3. Optimization results

Figure 9 shows the flux density distribution in which the tooth maximum flux density is about 1.1T. The back electromotive force and the cogging torque are presented in Figures 10 and 11, showing an increase of 11.7% in the back EMF and the cogging torque from 0.27% to 0.7% in the optimized machine. The higher cogging torque results from the rotor radius increase, but still is considerably low.

Figure 12 compares the electromagnetic torque of the machines, where it shows a 2.2% increase in the average torque and a 42% decrease in the torque ripple. It is remarkable that although the cogging torque has increased, the total torque ripple in the optimized machine has improved.

Table 4 summarizes the initial and optimal design parameters. Besides, the comparison of the performance parameters, including efficiency, torque density, back EMF, and losses is made.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Initial value</th>
<th>Optimal value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Torque ripple (%)</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cogging torque (%)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torque density (N.m./lit.)</td>
<td>21.75</td>
<td>22.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torque density (N.m./kg)</td>
<td>3.895</td>
<td>4.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average torque (N.m)</td>
<td>18.12</td>
<td>18.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency (%)</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>92.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM arc to pole arc ratio (α)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine weight (kg)</td>
<td>4.651</td>
<td>4.616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core loss (W)</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper loss (W)</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>86.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical power (W)</td>
<td>1427</td>
<td>1445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back EMF (V)</td>
<td>135.7</td>
<td>151.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wire area (mm²)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase resistance (ohm)</td>
<td>1.1572</td>
<td>1.2833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torque per PM volume (N.m/cm³)</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>0.343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slot area (mm²)</td>
<td>145.44</td>
<td>130.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current density (A/mm²)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Comparison with other topologies

There are recently proposed DS-PMSM machines that have special advantages over previous versions. In this section, machines with similar power ratings are presented and discussed.

4.1. Dual-stator spoke-type Interior PM Machine (S-IPMM) with spoke-type configurations using Phase-Group Concentrated Coil (PGCC) windings

A dual-stator S-IPMM characterized by 24 stator slots (S) and 26 magnet poles (P) with PGCC windings was proposed in [14]. The two stators in the proposed machine are misaligned by one tooth width. Ferrite PMs are used which are arranged as a spoke-type array with the alternately reversing magnetization direction. Steel sheets of NSSMC 50H470 are utilized for the ferromagnetic parts of the machine.

When the rotor pole rotates to become aligned with the teeth of the stator, nearly all the PM fluxes corresponding to one phase group will flow into one air-gap to enhance the air-gap flux density. After the $\pi/2$ electrical rotation, the same effects will occur in the other air-gap where the PM flux flows into two air gaps simultaneously. Therefore, a highly improved air-gap flux density results in a higher subsequent torque than the conventional dual air-gap machines in which the two air gaps work independently.

4.2. A novel dual-stator Vernier permanent magnet machine

The proposed machine has two stators and a sandwiched rotor [15]. The outer stator is a conventional stator with three-phase windings and semi-closed slots, and the inner stator has an iron core and surface mounted PMs on it. The rotor has a consequent-pole structure with Halbach-array PMs.

The proposed machine can be observed as a superposition of two machines: single-stator PM machine (Machine I: the consequent-pole PM rotor and the outer stator) and a double-stator VPM machine (Machine II: the inner stator, the reluctance rotor, and the outer stator). Because of the self-shielding effect of the Halbach-array magnets, the rotor back iron is not needed for Machine I.

4.3. Dual-stator consequent-pole permanent magnet Vernier machine

In the configuration of the proposed machine [12], there are two stators and a sandwiched rotor. However, a key difference is that in the inner stator, as an alternative to surface-mounted PMs, consequent-pole PMs that reduce the PM volume are used. The machine can also be considered as a combination of two machines. The structure including an outer stator, sandwiched rotor, and inner stator iron teeth constitutes the termed Machine I, while the structure comprising an outer stator, iron teeth in the rotor, and the complete inner stator is named Machine II. In the proposed machine, Machines I and II are both dual-stator Vernier machines that increase the torque density.

The key novelty of the proposed topology is its working principle, where the flux of both rotor and inner stator PMs is modulated and the back EMF is induced in the winding of the outer stator.

4.4. An optimized dual-stator machine with a diametrically magnetized PM

The machine designed and optimized in the 3rd section of this paper has been compared with other machines (A to C) in the performances. Table 5 summarizes the results where the key parameters are torque ripple, cogging torque, torque density, and efficiency.

The torque density of machine D is 208-331% higher, which means that when the same torques are desired for all machines, the active volume of machine D can be 32-70% smaller than that of machines A to C.

Table 5 shows that machine D contains at least 65% less cogging torque than other machines based on the FEM results.

The torque ripple of machine D obtained from the torque superposition of the two air-gaps is reduced by 73-95% compared to that of the other machines.

Efficiency is also a significant performance index. It is found that the efficiency of machine D is 2-20% higher than that of machines A to C.

Totally, machine D exhibits significantly improved

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Torque ripple (%)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cogging torque (%)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torque density (N.m./lit.)</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>20.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torque density (N.m./kg)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency (%)</td>
<td>90.61</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>92.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current density (A/mm²)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
torque density, torque ripple and coggging torque, and acceptable improved efficiency.

5. Conclusion

This paper presented the air-gap flux density distribution analysis, design, and optimization of a dual-stator machine with diametrically magnetized PMs. Upon conducting an analytical study of the machine and verification of the analytical solution, the machine was optimized for some output characteristics using artificial intelligence. Then, a quantitative comparison was made between the investigated machines with the aid of the 3D FEM. These comparisons demonstrated that the optimized understudy machine had at least 208% higher torque density, 2% higher efficiency, and lower pulsating torque.

The analyses verified that the optimized dual-stator machine with a diametrically magnetized PM had significant improvements in electromagnetic performances; therefore, it is suitable for direct-drive applications.

Nomenclature

- \( B_r \) Magnet remanent flux density
- \( B_g \) Air-gap flux density
- \( \phi_g \) Air-gap flux
- \( \mu_0 \) Permeability of free space
- \( r \) PM radius
- \( k_l \) Leakage factor
- \( J \) Current density
- \( A_m \) PM cross-section
- \( t_m \) PM thickness
- \( O_d \) Motor outer diameter
- \( N_s \) Number of slots
- \( C \) Coggging torque goodness factor
- \( c \) Air-gap area coefficient
- \( g \) Air-gap length
- \( d \) Minimum air-gap length
- \( L \) Axial length
- \( w_t \) Tooth width
- \( k_r \) Reluctance factor
- \( K_{sw} \) Slot fill factor
- \( A_g \) Air-gap cross-section area
- \( \alpha \) PM arc to pole arc ratio
- \( I_D \) Motor inner diameter
- \( N_C \) Smallest common multiplier
- \( P \) Number of pole pairs
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