Cracking effects on chloride diffusion and corrosion initiation in RC structures via finite element simulation
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Abstract: Chloride ion ingress into concrete causes steel corrosion over time, thereby ending the service life of structures. Sometimes, it severely reduces the loading capacity of reinforced concrete and may even cause the sudden destruction of concrete structures. Concrete cracking stems from different factors, such as shrinkage and tensile stress due to thermal loading and under loading. Modeling and estimating chloride ion ingress into cracked concrete over different periods can aid the appropriate determination of structural lifetime and maintenance of reinforced concrete structures. Accordingly, this research investigated the effects of the width and depth of concrete cracks on the rate of chloride ion diffusion and rebar corrosion. To this end, different concrete specimens characterized by various cracking conditions were modeled in COMSOL Multiphysics. Analytical results showed that the critical crack that reflected the highest extent of chloride ingress into a specific region at different times was not necessarily the defect with the largest thickness and depth. This finding highlights the importance of investigating crack behavior in the appropriate estimation of structural service life. Nevertheless, over time, considerably wide and deep cracks may ultimately be a reflection of substantial rate of ingress.
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1. Introduction

Rebar corrosion is the most important factor in the damage and destruction of reinforced concrete structures [1]. Ignoring its possibility and progress may lead to the obsolescence of these structures and the considerable wastage of investments in construction and maintenance [2, 3]. Corrosion can threaten the performance of reinforced concrete even in the early life of the material [4, 5]. Over time, chloride ion ingress or carbonation increases; in conjunction with high humidity, these processes enable reactions to occur between oxygen and moisture, thereby causing rusting in rebars and increasing the volume of steel [6, 7]. The resultant corrosion reduces and increases the area and volume of steel, respectively; destroys concrete cover; creates cracking, which reduces the strength of RC members and ends the service life of structures [8, 9]. Cracks create many paths for the ingress of destructive elements into concrete and thus threaten the durability of concrete [10, 11]. Given the complexity of chloride diffusion into cracked concrete, appropriately estimating the occurrence of this process in reinforced concrete is a critical issue [12].

Many researchers have studied the effects of corrosion and chloride diffusion on intact concrete blocks [13-15]. In an experimental research, Aldea et al. tested rapid chloride diffusion into concrete discs subjected to loading and tensile cracks with a thickness of 0.05 to 0.4 mm [16]. The authors found that chloride ingress is less sensitive to cracks in concrete specimens with typical resistance to such ingress than in concrete specimens with high resistance [16]. Conciatori et al. proposed a numerical model called TransChlor on the basis of Fick’s second law of diffusion and a finite difference method to simulate chloride ion displacement in concrete [17]. Djerbi et al. used cracked samples to test cracking (splitting tests) and investigate the effects of single cracks on chloride ion penetration [18]. Their experimental results showed that the permeability ratio of cracked segments ($D_{cr}$) reflects the lack of concrete materials in the samples. The authors also
discovered that permeability merely depends on crack size; as crack size increases, permeability also rises. For cracks with a width greater than 80 μm, however, permeability remains constant [18]. Jang et al. examined different types of concrete with cracks that are up to 80 μm wide and identified a linear relationship between crack width and crack permeability ratio in concrete characterized by cracks that have a small width. The authors reported that for cracks of small widths, permeability ratio decreases as concrete resistance increases [19]. Wang and Ueda experimented on concrete samples with cracks that are 20 to 600 μm wide. The researchers indicated that for a crack width that exceeds the critical level (i.e., 60 μm), the amount of Dc no longer depends on crack width [20]. Marsavina et al. tested specimens similar to concrete samples with artificial cracks created through the repeated placement and removal of thin copper sheets in the samples (especially in long-term tests). The authors found that diffusion depth increases with increasing crack depth (The thicknesses of the artificial cracks in the experiments were set at 0.2, 0.3, and 0.5 mm) [21]. The effects of crack width were undetermined—an issue that the researchers acknowledged as requiring further research [21]. Kato et al. proposed a mathematical model for chloride ion ingress into cracked concrete that can simulate ingress under wet conditions and cyclic wet–dry conditions [22]. The researchers stated that in cracked segments, the width of a crack dominantly influences the rate of chloride ingress into concrete when the supply of chloride is greater than its consumption [22]. Other researchers such as Otieno et al. (2016), Wang et al. (2016), and Leung et al. (2014) drew valuable conclusions by modeling chloride ion diffusion into cracked concrete [23-26].

Despite the insights provided by the studies discussed above, they did not delve into the effects of different crack depths and thicknesses and the extent of concrete cover exposed to chloride diffusion. Addressing these issues can aid the appropriate determination of structural lifetime and
maintenance of reinforced concrete structures. To address the deficiency in existing research, the present study investigated the simultaneous effects of crack width and depth on the rate of chloride ion ingress into concrete and rebar corrosion at different periods. Such effects were also compared.

2. Formulating chloride diffusion

Diffusion refers to the displacement of mass, which leads to the spread of chemical components until they reach a uniform mode as they are displaced over time. These chemical components are combined solutions in a solvent or components in gases, such as oxygen in air. The density of chemical components in fluid differs in initial stages, with the passing of time, and with the displacement of components. Ultimately, however, a uniform balance in such density in fluid is observed. The simplest definition of diffusion was proposed through Fick’s equations, which were formulated by Adolph Fick in 1855. This definition is expressed as follows [27, 28]:

1- Molar flux is based on diffusion that depends on concentration gradient.

2- The rate of concentration changes in one point of space, depending on the second derivation of concentration rather than space.

On the basis of the definition above, the first and second rules of diffusion were defined thus:

2.1. First law of Fickean diffusion

The first law of Fick in mathematic form is written as below:

$$N_i = -D_i \nabla c_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

That for species of i, N is the symbol of molar flux (\(\text{mol} \cdot \text{m}^2/\text{s}\)), D the diffusion coefficient (\(\text{m}^2/\text{s}\)) and c is the amount of concentration (\(\text{mol}/\text{m}^3\)).

From mass continuity equation, we have:
That second Fick’s law is directly concluded from that:

\[ \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot N_i = 0 \]  

\[ \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial t} = D_i \nabla^2 c_i \] 

It is assumed here that coefficient \( D \) is constant which is correct for dilute solutions, this assumption is usually used for diffusion inside the solids (such as chloride ion diffusion inside concrete), chemical materials diffusion to dilute solutions like water or other similar liquid solvents and diffusion of dilute species inside gas (like diffusing Carbon Dioxide in air).

2.2. Second law of Fickean diffusion

Second law of Fick diffusion is a linear equation or dependent variable of study chemical species’ concentration. Diffusion of each chemical species occurs alone. These two characteristics facilitate proposed mass displacement systems by second law of Fick for numerical simulation. When diffusion is modeled, modeling mainly begins with this assumption that all coefficients of diffusion are equal and not dependent on temperature, pressure or similar cases. These simplifications lead to assuring linearity of mass displacement equations in model area and also facilitate connecting known analytic limits. Dimensional analysis of second Fick’s law shows this subject that in diffusion processes, a fundamental relationship between passed time and longitudinal square is where diffusion forms in it. Perceiving this relationship for exact numerical simulations of diffusion process is required. The laws of Fick diffusion have only one parameter for determining the speed of diffusion process that is diffusion coefficient.

3. Modeling cracked concrete

3.1. Sample dimensions
The dimensions of the 13 concrete specimens subjected to two-dimensional modeling in this work were 300×300 mm. As indicated in the investigation conducted in the present research and various dimensional modeling experiments, 300×300 mm ensures a good balance between the accuracy of results and the time devoted to analysis.

3.2. Physical and mechanical characteristics of samples

For all the concrete specimens, a concrete density of 2300 kg/m$^3$, the Young’s modulus, and the Poisson’s ratio were modeled as $25 \times 10^{-9}$ Pa and 0.33. A 3% chloride sodium solution was used as the diffusion material (surface chloride load or Cs). The concrete characteristics assumed for modeling are listed in table 1. The values used for each crack mode were based on the fact that the most important parameter on the rate of sodium chloride ingress includes the characteristics of diffusion rate and that different values are assigned to such parameter in various conditions. The parameter values are also found in table 1.

3.3. Geometric characteristics of models

The modeling in this work was conducted over COMSOL Multiphysics software [30]. Among the concrete specimens modeled, one served as the reference specimen with no cracking. The rest of the specimens had crack widths of 30, 60, 100 (equivalent to a tenth of a millimeter), and 500 μm (equivalent to half a millimeter). All the cracks were modeled as initiating in the middle of the specimens. Crack depths in specimens considered 50, 100, and 150 mm that these are equivalent to $1/6$, $1/3$, and $1/2$ of the height of the concrete specimens, respectively. In all the models, certain rebar locations (represented by lines) were considered to obtain the desired outputs from the software and examine and compare the results. Each model included three vertical lines ($ag$, $bh$, and $ci$ in Fig. 1(a)) and three horizontal lines ($ad$, $be$, and $cf$ in Fig.1(a)), which denote the possible locations of rebars within concrete.
3.4 Modeling Software

COMSOL Multiphysics software is a comprehensive set for modeling. It is able to solve differential equations of non-linear systems by partial derivations through finite element method (FEM) in the spaces of 1, 2 or 3 dimensional. The most important advantage of this software compared to other ones includes finite elements, simplicity in applying several different physics to model. This software was invented by the students of Royal Institute of Sweden Technology.

Extra fine mode from the defaults of software was selected for meshing because this mode has required accuracy for investigating the results. The accuracy of this meshing (double zooming) for a specific sample can be seen in Fig. 1.

3.5 Modeling validation and verification

In order to validate the results of software and modeling output, three types of modeling were done using COMSOL Multiphysics software and the results were compared with the results of MATLAB modeling. Also, for verification the results compared with Jin et al. [31] research and Wang and Ueda [20]. First, a sample with no crack was modeled in MATLAB and COMSOL and diffusion rate of chloride ion was compared in specific horizontal sections (20, 60, 100, 140, 180, 220 and 260 millimeter) which showed good agreement with each other (Fig. 2). In the research of Jin et al., five square concrete samples with the length of 100 millimeters each one with various crack width to the size of 10, 30, 60, 90 and 120 microns and crack depth of 30 millimeters were modeled. A relationship between crack diffusion rate ($D_{cr}$) and crack width was proposed and based on that, specific diffusion rate was considered for each one of cracks. Concrete samples were put under chloride pressure and a diagram was drawn for showing the rate of diffusion in 10 days. Similar modeling with the assumptions above was also done in COMSOL software. It is clear out of Fig. 3 that diagrams have good consistency with each other.
Also, in another research Wang and Ueda [20] considering diffusion time of 10 hours and crack width of 60 micrometer, evaluating the value of chloride density by increasing the depth of crack based on the experiments data in Meso scale model of Ismail et al. [11]. In simulation diffusion rate in normal and cracked concrete was adapted respectively $1.1 \times 10^{-10} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$ and $2.76 \times 10^{-6} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$. Also, the value of surface chloride concentration equal to $7.68 \times 10^{-3} \text{g/cm}^3$ considered. Through the mentioned assumptions, modeling was done in COMSOL software and the obtained results showed good agreement with the results of Wang and Ueda.

4. Modeling results

The effects of crack thickness and depth on various parts of the concrete specimens were illuminated on the basis of the modeling and analyses results. In these diagrams, the horizontal axis represents time (measured in days), and the vertical axis denotes the rate of chloride diffusion (measured in mol/m$^3$). Some points are worth discussing in relation to all the Figures as follow; The reference points and lines indicated in the Figs. are those shown in Fig.1(a). In the analysis process, cracking was examined every day for the first 50 days. From the 51st day to the first year, cracking was examined every 10 days. After the first year up to the 10th year, cracking was examined every 50 days. In the presentation of results, two-day findings are shown for the first 50 days. From the 51st day to the first year, 20-day findings are presented. After the first year up to the end of the 10th year, 100-day findings are shown. This type of presentation was adopted to ensure the conciseness and easy interpretation of the data. In the diagrams that were drawn on the basis of the reference lines, the length of each line corresponds to the rate of chloride ion ingress all around the area covered by the line. Each vertical line in a diagram represents the rate of chloride ion ingress or a specific width on a reference line.
4.1 General view of chloride ion diffusion in modeled samples

Chloride diffusion was modeled as proceeding only from the upper borders of the concrete specimens. In the modeling of diffusion into cracks, diffusion was not represented as initiating from crack borders, and different diffusion rates were applied. As indicated in table 1, each cracked concrete specimen was assigned three diffusion rates: the first is related to the healthy section of the specimen, the second is associated with a crack, and the third is related to the broken segment between a crack and the healthy section of the specimen. The final diffusion rate normally corresponds to the largest crack in a concrete structure; a fragile section that is smaller than a crack but larger than the healthy section of concrete exhibits the lowest diffusion rate. After meshing and applying the parameters listed in table 1, the analyses were carried out, with 3650 days (10 years) as the period at which diffusion was examined. The results are shown in Fig. 4(a).

The chloride concentration at the end of the 3650th day is illustrated in Fig. 4(a). As expected, increasing crack thickness and depth elevated the diffusion rate. A comparison of the specimens indicated that crack thickness exerted a greater effect on chloride diffusion than did crack depth. The comparison of chloride ingress at different periods into the un-cracked specimen and the cracked specimen with a crack thickness 500 µm and a crack depth of 150 mm is shown in Fig. 4(b).

Fig. 4(b) shows that during the first few days, the concentration of chloride from a distance around the crack of the cracked specimen is less than that in the non-cracked sample. This finding indicated that in the first few days, chloride tended to penetrate more effectively into a crack. In cracked segments, therefore, more chloride will penetrate into the parts close to a crack up to a
certain radius. The difference in chloride penetration between cracked and un-cracked concrete will decrease with increasing distance from a crack.

4.2 Rate of chloride diffusion on the basis of timing with respect to reference lines and points

The set of diagrams that display the rate of chloride diffusion into each reference line or point was intended to investigate and compare the rate of chloride concentration at each distance and point from the diffusion surface and crack in various specimens. The reference lines represent hypothetical rebars with concrete cover, including the distance covered, and the reference points represent the locations of the hypothetical rebars.

Each vertical line in Fig. 5 shows the rate of chloride ion ingress into reference line ad (see reference lines in Fig.1(a)) from the beginning to the end (located in the center of a specimen) of the line. The Fig. indicates that diffusion over time was nonlinear and that the difference among the models could sometimes be large or small. The 30 and 50 µm cracks generally behaved in a similar manner; the same was true for the 100 and 500 µm cracks. A considerable difference in behavior occurred between the 50 and 100 µm cracks primarily because of the increasing cracking zone in the specimens. Another interesting finding is that the 50 mm distance of reference line ad from the diffusion surface showed that the most extensive diffusion occurred in the un-cracked specimen up to about the 250th day. This finding is attributed to the chloride ions’ tendency to pass through a crack instead of un-cracked parts. Thus, at a distance farther from a cracked specimen’s center (where a crack is located), the concentration of chloride ions was initially lower than that in un-cracked concrete, where ingress occurred uniformly all around the surface.
Fig. 6 shows a magnified image of chloride diffusion on the 2865th day; the 30 and 50 µm cracks were eliminated from the Fig. The top and bottom parts of the Fig. show that on the 2865th day, the highest chloride ion concentration was observed in the 500 µm crack. The chloride diffusion rates in the 500 and 100 µm crack were 413 and 412 mol/m³, respectively. In the un-cracked specimen, the chloride diffusion rate was 405 mol/m³. The lowest rates of diffusion on the 2865th day were 216, 215, and 206 mol/m³.

Figs. 5 and 7 indicate that up to the first 50 days, corrosion due to chloride ion concentration was most prevalent in the un-cracked concrete sample. This trend continued up to the 80th day and declined thereafter. As previously stated, from about the 250th day, the cracked concrete samples gradually showed more chloride concentration than that found in the un-cracked sample. Up to the 500th day, all the cracked samples exhibited more chloride concentration in reference line ad than that found in the same reference line in the un-cracked sample. In the 3600th day, at the highest point of reference line ad, the rate of chloride diffusion into the un-cracked concrete sample was 418.46 mol/m³; the rate of chloride diffusion into the concrete sample with a 500 µm wide and 50 mm deep crack was 425.68 mol/m³.

The reference lines in Fig. 8(a) are horizontal (line ag) and span 3 mm (from the sample center, beside the crack) to 50 mm of its edge. As illustrated in the Fig., from the very first days of the observation, the samples with 100 and 500 µm cracks showed more concentration of chloride ions than did the un-cracked sample. In the third and fourth days, all the cracked samples showed a higher chloride concentration in reference line ag than did the sample without cracks. This result is ascribed to the closeness of the right side of reference line ag to the cracks; at the time of diffusion, more chloride ions penetrated into the cracks. In Figs. 8(a) and 8(b), the black portions, which represent chloride ion diffusion into the un-cracked sample, are also represented with dotted
lines because chloride ion ingress from the top to the bottom of the sample was uniform. Thus, the horizontal reference line shows only one concentration level for this sample at different periods.

The magnified image of chloride diffusion on the 3600th day (Fig. 8(a)) is provided in Fig. 8(b). The highest chloride concentration was observed in the concrete specimen with a 500 µm crack, and the diffusion rate was 473 mol/m³. As expected, the lowest chloride concentration was observed in the un-cracked concrete, with chloride diffusing at a rate of 418 mol/m³.

Each vertical line in Fig. 9 represents the chloride ion ingress rate in line cf from the beginning to the end (center of a sample) of the line. Despite the increase in crack depth, the concentration of chlorine ions was generally three times less than that shown in Fig. 5. This difference is due to the increase in cover distance of the closest probable rebar to 20 mm. Note that in spite of the reference line nearing the center of the concrete samples (i.e., the location of cracks), the chloride diffusion rate minimally increased; however, such diffusion was lower than before because the concrete cover of the rebar was farther from the diffusion surface by 20 mm. This feature exerted a greater effect on the rate of chloride ion ingress than did the increase in crack depth to 100 mm, thus resulting in rebar corrosion. An increase in rebar cover causes corrosion. This trend naturally depends on distance from cracks, as demonstrated in Fig. 10, wherein the reference line, instead of vertical line cf, is represented as horizontal line ci. Each vertical line in the Fig. shows the rate of chloride ion ingress into line ci.

As indicated in Fig. 10, cover distance was fixed at 70 mm, but chloride ion concentration increased. This finding reflects closeness to the cracks; reference line ci begins from the distances near the cracks.
As presented in the calculations in Fig. 11, up to the 210\textsuperscript{th} day, the highest concentration of chloride ions was observed in the un-cracked concrete because of the tendency of chloride ions to pass through cracks instead of un-cracked segments. From about the 560\textsuperscript{th} day up to the 2170\textsuperscript{th} day, chloride ion concentration in reference line \(ag\) in the specimen with a crack depth of 100 mm is higher than that in the specimen with a crack depth of 150 mm. Before and after these depth ranges, chloride ion concentration was higher in deep cracks, thus confirming the uncertainty and relativity of physical crack characteristics (depth, thickness, and cracking zone stemming from a crack) as factors for determining corrosion rate. For each specific rebar in concrete, a crack characterized by specific conditions appears to be more harmful than other cracks in different periods. For a more accurate comparison of the data discussed above, two cracking modes, namely, 30 and 500 \(\mu\)m cracks, were incorporated into the modeling.

Fig. 12 shows a barely noticeable difference in chloride ion diffusion at various depths (50, 100, and 150 mm) for the specimen with a 30 \(\mu\)m crack; chloride ion concentration all around the Fig. indicated that such concentration was higher in 100 mm cracks than in 150 mm cracks (till the end of the 10\textsuperscript{th} year). This finding demonstrates that a critical crack of specific length and width occurs for each type of rebar installed in concrete; increasing each parameter is the only measure for preventing critical conditions in such rebars. Dotted lines were incorporated into the Figs. to simultaneously investigate all the models with different widths and depths. This approach was necessary because the results obtained from the connecting points of chloride concentration in each period were derived from one reference point and are all represented as curves (In the analyses, the reference point shown in Fig. 1 is the point at which corrosion rate was calculated and represented; such point can serve as the hypothetical location of rebars considering cover distance.).
Because the extent of corrosion in Fig. 13 and other similar diagrams are very high, these diagrams can be better analyzed through magnified versions of the images.

Fig. 14 suggests that in the initial days of observation, the reference point in the un-cracked specimen exhibited the highest chloride ion concentration. The concentration that differed most considerably from all the other concentrations was that found in the specimen with a 100 µm wide and 150 mm deep crack. Chloride concentration was highest in the specimen with the 500 µm wide and 100 mm deep crack, whereas such concentration was lowest in the specimen with a 30 µm wide and 150 mm deep crack (days before the 18th, Fig. 14). The concentrations should, in practice, be very low and close to zero, but such cannot be said for the samples up to the 10th day. This problem stems from the inadequate accuracy of the daily analysis; however, because our analysis spans a long-term horizon (10 years), the aforementioned inadequacy can be disregarded.

As presented in Fig. 15, the un-cracked sample gradually lost the largest proportion of chloride concentration, and some displacements occurred in the cracks with the highest concentrations of chloride ions. These displacements began around the 250th day and lasted until the 600th day. Beyond this period, the positions of the cracks remained fixed, and the concentration of chloride ions in each crack steadily increased. The magnified version of Fig. 13, showing the scenario at the end of the 10th year, is provided in Fig. 16.

Fig. 16 reveals that at the end of the 10th year, the rate of chloride ion diffusion in the reference point was highest at a distance of 50 mm from the top and left sides of the modeled specimen with a 500 µm wide and 150 mm deep crack. The next highest rate of diffusion was observed in the specimen with a 500 µm wide and 100 mm deep crack, followed by the specimen with the 100 µm wide and 150 mm deep crack. On the basis of these findings, a zone wherein diffusion level is
relatively close (meeting the distance of concrete cover), the width of cracks is a more important factor in corrosion than the depth of cracks. This conclusion can be confirmed by a comparison of the images of reference point c, which is located 700 mm away from the top and left sides of the modeled specimens. The sample with a 30 µm wide and 50 mm deep crack differed little from the specimen with a 50 µm wide and 50 mm deep crack, with the former exhibiting the lowest chloride diffusion. The un-cracked sample had the least chloride ion diffusion.

Magnification was repeated for reference point c (Fig. 17). Fig. 17 shows that in the interval between the 20th and 75th days, many displacements occurred in the samples that registered the highest concentrations, and many irregularities were observed in the curves that reflect the highest chloride concentrations. In this instance, the un-cracked sample did not have the highest chloride ion concentration from the very first days of the observation. This finding is ascribed to the reference point being close to the cracks. Ultimately, because more chloride ions penetrated along the cracks, the un-cracked specimen exhibited the highest chloride ion concentration in reference point c for a short duration in the interval between the 70th and 120th days. After this period, however, concentration soon decreased. Chloride ion concentration in the un-cracked specimen ultimately reached its lowest level around the 320th day. Since then, the displacement trend stabilized up to the 10th year, as shown in Fig. 18.

Figs. 17 and 18 differ in that chloride ion concentration in the former is generally lower than that in the latter; they are similar (only partially different) in terms of the location of cracks toward one another. The concentration in reference point c was less than that in reference point a, indicating that diffusion occurred only from the upper surface of the sample and that despite the 20 mm closeness of reference point c to the cracks, it was located far from the diffusion level (upper
surface) by about 20 mm. As mentioned earlier, cover corrosion (at a distance from the diffusion surface and edge) is one of the most important factors in preventing rebar corrosion.

Reference point $f$ (distances of 70 and 150 mm from the left and upper surfaces of the specimens, respectively) is illustrated in Fig. 19. Unlike the other Figs., this one does not reflect numerous irregularities among the cracks’ curves. When a reference point is located at an adequate distance from both diffusion surfaces and cracks, the irregularities seen in the two previous modes will occur less frequently; when a reference point is close to both diffusion surfaces and cracks, more displacements will occur. These phenomena confirmed that only one or some critical cracks exist for each rebar in a specific period (crack with the highest chloride concentration); such cracks do not necessarily have the largest width or depth.

Fig. 19 shows that the specimen with a 100 µm wide and 150 mm deep crack had less chloride concentration than that found in the specimen with a 500 µm wide and 150 mm deep crack. This result contrasts with that derived for the two previous modes and shows that when a reference point is far from a specific zone but near a diffusion surface and crack (in contrast to the situation in the two previous modes), crack depth is a more important factor than crack width.

Figs. 20 and 21 compares three reference points $(a, b, c)$ in the un-cracked concrete specimen, the specimen with a 500 µm wide and 150 mm deep crack (Fig. 20), and the specimen with a 500 µm wide and 50 mm deep crack (Fig. 21).

The Figs. 20 and 21 show that the un-cracked sample, in which the reference point was 70 mm away from the top and left sides of the sample (concrete cover of 70 mm or reference point $c$), exhibited the lowest concentration of chloride ions. These Figs. also indicate that reference point $a$
had the highest chloride concentration, highlighting the importance of concrete cover in preventing chloride ion ingress.

Figs. 22 and 23 show noteworthy points regarding the cracks; these points were nearer to the cracks than were the points illustrated in the previous diagrams. As can be seen from Fig. 22(a), all around the time intervals, no specific displacement occurred among the lines—a finding that contrasts with those presented in the previous diagrams. This is because the reference point was very close to the crack and the diffusion surface and because reference point \( g \) was close enough to the cracks with less depth (unlike, for example, reference point \( i \), which was somehow far from the cracks with a depth of 50 mm). Thus, everything was advanced as expected, and a crack was more critical from the beginning of the observation to the 10\(^{th} \) year, during which the cracks exhibited the greatest thickness and depth near point \( g \) (cracks with a depth of 50 mm). In the entire analysis, the lowest chloride ingress rate occurred in the un-cracked sample; the other specimens were cracked in accordance with the importance of thickness and depth factors. The accuracy of this problem can be clarified when the reference point examined is changed from \( g \) to \( i \). As seen in Fig. 23(c), despite the reference point being located at a horizontal distance from the cracks, some irregularities and displacements occurred, similar to the situation seen in the initial days of observation. This finding is attributed to the fact that the reference point was located far from the diffusion point. However, because point \( i \) was still close to the cracks, the trend of irregularities somehow ended at the end of the first year. Fig. 23(a) shows that as expected, the cracked concrete sample with the greatest thickness and the crack depth nearer to reference point \( i \) (i.e., 100 mm) was the specimen that exhibited the critical crack.

5. Conclusions
A numerical simulation study conducted to evaluate effects of cracks on chloride diffusion and corrosion initiation in RC structures and following result obtained:

- All the figures show that the most important factor in chloride ion ingress into the concrete samples was distance from the diffusion surface. Given that such ingress causes rebar corrosion in reinforced concrete, concrete cover is a critical factor in the analysis of chloride ingress and rebar corrosion.

- Over time, critical cracks did not always occur in specific parts of the concrete samples. In a specific location and different times, critical cracks were not necessarily those with the greatest thickness and depth. Nevertheless, over time, considerably wide and deep cracks may ultimately reflect substantial ingress rate.

- Generally, crack thickness exerted more effects than did crack depth on the rate of chloride ion diffusion and on relatively far points from the cracks. When the reference points were relatively close to the cracks, however, crack depth was the more important factor. Depending on the closeness of rebars, rebar corrosion can increasingly accelerate under a crack depth and rebar location that is conducive to such corrosion. The insight derived from the findings is that depending on rebar location in reinforced concrete, the critical depth of a crack is not based on the greatest depth but on the correspondence between crack depth and rebar location.

- Crack thickness exerted more effects than did crack depth in most of the modes (time or location). This finding is attributed not only to crack thickness, for which the importance of a cracked zone was demonstrated, but also to the increase in crack thickness. Under this situation, the thickness of a cracked zone will also increase, thereby leading to increased chloride ion ingress into concrete.
In the points relatively far from the cracks, the un-cracked sample, up to a noticeable period, registered the highest chloride ion concentration. This phenomenon is due to the fact that when concrete is un-cracked, chloride ion ingress into concrete and its entire surface is almost the same. Cracking typically penetrates concrete from the weak side of the material, thus ensuring the relative safety of points farther from cracks against chloride ion ingress as time passes.

To determine the initiation of corrosion, critical chloride concentration should be used as a factor of analysis. This issue was not covered in this research. To compare concrete zones on the basis of distance from a diffusion surface and a crack, the time at which the approximate concentration in studied zones is reached can be compared with half of the surface chloride loading rate. In reference point a, which represents the points relatively near the diffusion level (or meeting the thickness of concrete cover), the time at which half of the surface chloride loading rate is reached for all the cracks is around the 500\textsuperscript{th} day, with little difference occurring among the cracks. In point f, which represents the points relatively far from the cracks and diffusion surface, this phenomenon occurred for the specimens with a 100 µm wide and 100 mm deep crack exactly at the end of the 10\textsuperscript{th} year. For the 100 and 500 µm cracks with a depth of 150 mm, the chloride ion concentration was little more than half of the surface chloride loading rate; for the other cracks, the chloride concentration was slightly less than the chloride loading rate. For point g, which represents the points close to the diffusion surface and cracks, the specimens with the 100 and 500 µm cracks with a depth of 50 mm, half of the surface chloride loading rate was reached around the 50\textsuperscript{th} day. For the un-cracked sample, this rate was reached around the 500\textsuperscript{th} day. For the rest of the specimens, this rate was reached in the days falling between
the 50th and 500th days. Finally, the surface chloride loading was reached for point $i$, which
was near the cracks and far from the diffusion surface, around the 400th day in the sample
with a 500 µm wide and 100 mm deep crack; for the un-cracked sample, this rate was
reached in the 1000th day; for the rest of the specimens, the rate was reached in the days
falling between the 400th and 100th days.
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Table 1. Values of the parameters employed in modeling (Bentz et al. [29])

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Calibrated value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of chloride diffusion into concrete</td>
<td>$6 \times 10^{-11} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion coefficient of chloride-damaged areas (between crack and concrete)</td>
<td>$1.2 \times 10^{-9} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion coefficient of chloride in small cracks (width lower than 100 µm)</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{-9} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion coefficient of chloride in large cracks (width larger than 100 µm)</td>
<td>$4 \times 10^{-9} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride concentration (3% solution of sodium chloride)</td>
<td>$523.4 \text{mol/m}^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of damaged zone for small cracks (crack width less than 100 µm)</td>
<td>1 (mm)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1 (a) Reference lines (b) Meshing of one specimen (2X magnification)

Fig. 2. the rate of chloride ion ingress in specific width sections (20, 60, 100, 140, 180, 220 and 260 millimeter) at the end of 10 years

(a) un-cracked concrete modeling results in COMSOL
(b) un-cracked concrete modeling results in MATLAB

(a) cracked concrete modeling results in present
(b) cracked concrete modeling results in Jin et al. [31]
study

**Fig. 3.** the rate of chloride ion ingress due to crack at the end of 10 days

**Fig. 4** (a) Chloride concentration in different samples on the 3650th day (end of 10 years) (b) Chloride ingress in 5 and 50 days and 1, 5, and 10 years at the top of the un-cracked concrete specimen and bottom of the concrete sample with a 500 µm wide and 150 mm deep crack
**Fig. 5.** Comparison of the rate of chloride ion ingress into the specimen with a 50 mm crack and the un-cracked concrete sample (vertical reference line ad, located 50 mm from the side of the sample)

(a) Ingress into reference line ad  
(b) Upstream ingress into reference point a  
(c) Downstream ingress into reference point d

**Fig. 6.** Magnified version of Fig. 5 (reference line ad) for the 2865th day
**Fig. 7.** Magnified version of Fig. 5 (specimens with 30 and 100 µm cracks eliminated) for the first 50 days.

**Fig. 8(a).** Comparison of the rate of chloride ion ingress into the concrete sample with a 50 mm crack and un-cracked concrete sample (reference line ag, located 50 mm from the top of the sample).
Fig. 8(b). Magnified image of the 3600th day in Fig. 8(a)

Fig. 9. Comparison of the rate of chloride ion ingress in the concrete sample with a 150 mm crack and un-cracked concrete sample (reference line cf, located 70 mm from the edge of the sample)

Fig. 10. Comparison of the rate of chloride ion ingress in the concrete sample with a 70 mm crack and un-cracked concrete sample (horizontal reference line ci, located 70 mm from the top of the sample)
Fig. 11. Comparison of the rate of chloride ion ingress in the concrete samples with a crack 100 µm wide and 50, 100, and 150 mm deep and un-cracked concrete (reference line ad, located 500 mm from the sample’s edges and began 500 mm from the sample’s surface and lasts till half of them)

Fig. 12. Image of Fig. 11, this time for 30 and 500 µm cracks

Fig. 13. Chloride ion ingress into reference point a
**Fig. 14.** Magnified version of Fig. 13, zoomed image of the first 100 days

**Fig. 15.** Magnified version of Fig. 8(b) for the interval of around 201 to 280 days
**Fig. 16.** Chloride ion concentration in reference point a

**Fig. 17.** Chloride ion concentration in reference point c, zoomed image of the interval of 20 to 75 days

**Fig. 18.** Chloride ion concentration in reference point c at the end of the 10th year
Fig. 19. Chloride ion ingress into reference point f

Fig. 20. Comparison of chloride ion ingress into reference points a, b, and c in the un-cracked sample and the sample with a 500 µm wide and 50 mm deep crack
Fig. 21. Comparison of chloride ion ingress into reference points a, b, and c in the un-cracked sample and the sample with a 500 µm wide and 150 mm deep crack

Fig. 22. Comparison of chloride ion ingress into reference point g in the (a) initial days of observation (zoomed) and (b) in all analysis periods

Fig. 23. Comparison of chloride ion ingress into reference point i (a) in all analysis periods, (b) initial days of observation (zoomed), and (c) final days of observation (zoomed)