Economic efficiency of the application of artificial air cooling for normalization of thermal conditions in oil mines
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**Abstract.** This work substantiates a technical solution for improving the working conditions for the thermal factor in oil extraction by the thermo shaft method using the mine refrigeration technology. A review of manufacturers and technical characteristics of refrigeration technology in Russia, CIS countries, and Western Europe was conducted. For the selected set, the economic efficiency of the project was assessed, and a conclusion was made about the feasibility of implementing this project solution. It was shown that the use of a water-cooling machine in the mine air conditioning system would reduce the air temperature in the oil production gallery to the required values and allow abandoning the long-term construction of a surface stationary refrigeration station. The normalization of the thermal regime reduces the costs of benefits and compensation for work in harmful labor conditions and improves the quality of service of production wells by operators. The project for the introduction of air conditioning has a high level of profitability, and its payoff will occur in the second year of operation.
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1. Introduction

Yarogskoye field of heavy high-viscosity oil is unique in Russia. This is the only place where hydrocarbon production is carried out by an underground thermo shaft method. The basis of the thermo shaft method is a decrease in viscosity and an increase in oil mobility due to the formation and heating up to a temperature of 70-90\degree C with the aid of a heat transfer fluid pumped into the oil-bearing horizon. Saturated water vapor with a temperature of 170-200\degree C is used as a heat transfer fluid. The main development system (70\%) is the underground surface system. In other cases, a one-dimensional development system is used [1].

With this oil production technology, even at shallow depths with the natural temperature of the enclosing rocks (10-12\degree C), there are a number of problems associated with maintaining the permissible air temperature, which can reach 50-55\degree C in drilling galleries and ventilation openings [2,3], significantly exceeding the permissible standards [4]. According to the requirements of the safety rules for the Russian oil mines, the air temperature in the existing workings should not exceed 26\degree C at relative humidity up to 90\%. In those workings, where there is no permanent presence of people during the shift, air temperature up to 36\degree C is allowed [5].
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In the process of oil production, the thermal regime of the drilling galleries is mainly formed due to the thermal divisions of the enclosing rocks stipulated by the action of the heating coolant, the breakthroughs of the heating coolant from the side of the roof and the borehole area, and the heat released by the oily liquid during direct contact with the air in the process of its collection and transportation by gravity method [6]. The increased temperature and air humidity lead to rapid fatigue, reduced attention and labor productivity, overheating of the working organism, and perhaps various accidents [7, 8].

2. Materials and methods

The authors analyzed enough scientific research. It was established that the ventilation measures associated with the sectional ventilation of sloping blocks, the choice of rational ventilation schemes for operating panels, and an increase in the speed of air movement in the drilling galleries were used to regulate the thermal regime in oil mines. Mining measures are used to reduce the negative impact of heat emissions from various sources on the increase of air temperature in drilling galleries and workings of ventilation horizons. These measures include the definition of the permissible extent of mine workings based on the magnitude of heat inflows [9,10], the use of a closed oil collection system, and the thermal insulation of steam steampipes and pipelines for pumping oily liquid. The issue of reducing heat emissions from the mount Massif by the concreting and thermal insulation of the walls of drilling galleries, as well as their irrigation with cold water, is studied [11].

However, as shown by field observations, these measures are clearly not enough to meet the required temperature standards. An increase, for example, in supplying air to the mine by 1.5 leads to a decrease in air temperature in the drilling galleries by only 1–2°C [2]. Therefore, more effective measures are required. In this issue, one cannot use the experience of foreign countries since the extraction of high-viscosity oil, for example in Canada, is conducted using technologies that do not require the constant presence of people under the ground [12].

The experience of developing reservoir deposits in Germany, Poland, the Czech Republic, and Ukraine shows that artificial air cooling is used at depths with the 45°C and higher temperatures of the host rocks to normalize the thermal working conditions in the productive workings. For cooling, underground stationary and mobile Air-Conditioning Systems for Mine Air (ACSMAs) and central cooling systems with the superficial arrangement of refrigeration stations are used [13]. Proceeding from the analogy with reservoir deposits, we believe that it is possible to apply artificial air cooling to improve the thermal regime of the operating sloping blocks of oil mines, which belongs to the thermal engineering group of control methods [14]. However, up to now, ACSMAs have never been used in Russian oil mines, there is no experience of their exploitation, and the economic feasibility of their use is questionable.

Consequently, the authors justified design solutions for applying refrigeration technology to improve and normalize thermal conditions in drilling galleries in the extraction of oil underground. The authors analyzed the economic efficiency of the introduction of refrigeration technology with respect to an example of a typical oil-extracting gallery of the Yaragskoye field.

3. Results and discussion

The improvement of thermal conditions by means of refrigerating machines is considered for an example of a typical oil-extracting gallery of the Yaragskoye field. Hygrothermal air parameters along the way of their movement from the air supplying shaft trunk to the drilling gallery (Figure 1) are presented in Table 1.

As shown by the results of thermal surveys, the air temperature on the airborne horizon does not exceed the norms permitted by the safety rules. However, it reached its critical value and amounted to 26.0°C already at the entrance of the drilling gallery. A major increase in air temperature is observed in the drilling gallery itself and in the outgoing air jet in the slope, which is caused by the above factors. According to the results of thermal surveys, it is determined that the
cooling demand of the drilling gallery, provided that the air temperature at the end of the slope does not exceed 35.0°C, is about 1000 kW (Figure 2).

Usually, in an underground oil-producing enterprise, 5-6 drilling galleries are in operation at the same time. Based on the assumption that the remaining drilling galleries have the same cold demand, it can be concluded that normalizing the thermal conditions within the enterprise will require a cooling capacity of about 5-6 MW. The realization of such cooling capacity by underground cooling means in oil mines’ conditions is impossible, since the issues of placing refrigeration machines and removing the heat of refrigerant condensation in mine workings seem to be an intractable task. In such cases, it is necessary to construct an ACSMA with a surface location of the refrigeration station. However, the construction period for such facilities takes at least 4-5 years (the development of an air conditioning project, its expertise, certification of foreign refrigeration equipment, construction of a surface refrigeration plant complex, equipment installation, pipelines, etc.) and the problem of normalizing thermal conditions must be solved today already.

At the initial stage, it is proposed that an underground cooling machine of a water-cooling type with a power of 500 kW be introduced to normalize the thermal conditions in one drilling gallery. For this purpose, the compressor-and-condenser unit of the refrigeration machine is located in the broadening of the air-producing horizon, and the air coolers (2 pieces of 250 kW) are located directly in the drilling gallery itself at points where the air temperature exceeds the norms allowed by the safety rules (Figure 3). In this case, the air temperature at the outlet of the drilling gallery does not exceed 26.0°C (Figure 2).

The water cooler for removing the condensing

![Figure 2. The change in temperature oil gallery.](image_url)

![Figure 3. The scheme of arrangement of underground water-cooling refrigerating machines with a capacity of 500 kW into the mine.](image_url)
heat of the refrigerating machine is located in one of the workings located in the immediate vicinity of the air-supplying shaft trunk (Figure 3). The term of commissioning such an ACSMA into operation will not exceed 1 year, given the design, survey, and certification works.

When implementing this approach, the following tasks will be accomplished:

1. Complete normalization of thermal working conditions in the drilling gallery and a significant improvement in thermal conditions in a sloping production in a relatively short amount of time;
2. Training of the personnel of the oil-producing underground enterprise to work with the mine refrigeration equipment and the acquisition of the necessary skills for servicing the ACSMA;
3. Installation and possible operation of refrigeration equipment in specific conditions that are typical of oil mines;
4. Making the final decision on further ways to normalize thermal conditions (surface or underground machines, their power, location, etc.).

The review of the producers of mine refrigeration equipment in the mine explosion-proof execution showed that only the air conditioning mine KShR-350N, manufactured by NPO Aerosphere (Perm), was produced at present [15]. This air conditioner, designed on the wheelbase for mine conditions, has a rated output of 350 kW. This fact, as well as the overall dimensions and operation principle of KShR-350N, does not allow recommending it for use in the conditions under consideration.

Of the CIS countries, currently, only the OJSC “Kholod-mash” (Odessa, Ukraine) produces refrigeration equipment. The KPSh300 air conditioner, which is produced by this company, is designed to cool air in coal mines, although it has suitable overall dimensions for the conditions under consideration and, also, cannot be recommended for use due to insufficient cooling capacity and the principle of producing artificial cold [16].

Among European manufacturers, there are such recognized leaders as WAT (Germany), Eurotech, and Termospec (Poland) [17–19]. The produced refrigeration equipment of these companies is characterized by a wide range of nomenclature that allows satisfying any demand in the required refrigeration capacity. Composition and technical characteristics of the equipment of these companies are approximately the same. It can only be noted that WAT heat exchangers have a cylindrical shape instead of a rectangular one due to the double screw cooling elements (Figure 4), such that the heat transfer coefficient of these devices is much higher and the overall dimensions are smaller, which is an advantage when using them in cramped conditions of underground workings.

The cost of a set of basic refrigeration equipment, for example WAT, which includes a mechanical unit (Figure 4(a)), two air coolers of 250 kW of cold each (Figure 4(b)), and a 600-kW water cooler (Figure 4(c)), is approximately 600 thousand euros. By taking into account design and installation works and the acquisition of auxiliary equipment and materials (pipelines, pumps, water tanks, shut-off valves, etc.), investments in the implementation of the ACSMA will amount to no less than 1 million euros.

To determine the feasibility of investing in the introduction of refrigeration technology in oil mines, we will determine the economic efficiency of the project, provided that the project implementation period is equal to the useful life of the equipment and is assumed equal to 10 years. We will define the changes in the parameters included in the formula of Net Present Value (NPV) as the resultant indicator of the effectiveness of the investment project for 1 year of the project. In constructing the model for determining economic efficiency, the assumption of the invariance of the parameters during the project implementation is used.

1. Changes in revenues due to increased production volumes because of the ability of operators to serve production wells per year more often:

$$\Delta B = \frac{Q \cdot C_{\text{in}}}{100} = 150.23 \cdot 41.0 \cdot 0.03365$$

$$= 38450.92 \text{ thousand rubles/year.}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where:

Figure 4. Refrigeration equipment company WAT: (a) refrigerating machine IDV600, (b) the air cooler RWK250, (c) refrigeration equipment company WAT, and (d) the water cooler RK600.
$Q$ Daily oil production in the drilling gallery, $t$ (determined based on the annual oil production at the Yaregskoye field [20]);

$C$ Cost of 1 ton of Urals oil, thousand rubles (determined based on the data of the Ministry of Finance for September-October 2017 [21]);

$\%_{in}$ Percentage of an increase in oil production as a result of working conditions normalization (provisionally accepted 3%).

2. Change in operating costs:

2.1. As a result of the reduction in the costs of benefits and compensation for work in unfavorable working conditions for the year:

$$R_i = W_p ZP \%d/100 = 25.57 \cdot 5.0 \cdot 4.12$$

$$= 6000 \text{ thousand rubles}, \quad (2)$$

where:

$W_p$ The average number of people employed in work performed in mine workings with an air temperature of +30°C or higher (oil production operators);

$ZP$ Average salary of the operator of oil production, thousand rubles (adopted equal to the average wage for workers employed in the work on the extraction of crude oil and natural gas in 2015 [22, 23]);

$\%d$ Percentage of surcharges for benefits and compensation for work in unfavorable conditions (provisionally accepted 40%).

2.2. As a result of the reduction in the class of working conditions from 3.2 to 2 in a year:

$$R_{c} = W_p ZP \%_{3.2-2}/100 = 25.57 \cdot 5.0 \cdot 0.04.12$$

$$= 600 \text{ thousand rubles/year}, \quad (3)$$

where $\%_{3.2-2}$ is percentage of surcharge for work in hazardous working conditions (4%) [24];

2.3. As a result of changes in payments for insurance contributions due to a change in the wage fund:

$$P_{ins} = (P_i + P_{c}) \%_{ins}/100 = 7590 \cdot 0.3$$

$$= 2277 \text{ thousand rubles/year}, \quad (4)$$

where $\%_{ins}$ is insurance premiums (30%);

2.4. As a result of changes in payments for insurance contributions in case of accidents due to changes in the wage fund:

$$P_{ins, n} = (P_i + P_{c}) \%_{ins, n}/100 = 7590 \cdot 0.085$$

$$= 645.15 \text{ thousand rubles/year,} \quad (5)$$

where $\%_{ins, n}$ is insurance contributions in case of accidents (8.5%);

2.5. As a result of changes in the costs of equipment maintenance, fuel and lubricants, and ordinary repairs:

$$P_{maint} = 0.1 \text{Amortization} = 0.1 \cdot 6870000$$

$$= 687 \text{ thousand rubles/year.} \quad (6)$$

Expenses for equipment maintenance, fuel and lubricants, and ordinary repairs are taken equal to 10% of depreciation charges for equipment;

2.6. As a result of a change in the cost of the electricity required for the operation of the 500 kW ($P_{d,c}$) mine refrigeration equipment per year (Table 2). The electricity cost is accepted according to [25];

2.7. As a result of changes in production costs due to an increase in oil production:

$$P_{a,p} = P_{a,d} Q \%_{in} = 1766.86 \cdot 150.365 \cdot 0.03$$

$$= 2902 \text{ thousand rubles/year,} \quad (7)$$

where $P_{a,d}$ is the value of unit costs for oil production is equal to 241 rubles per barrel of oil [26]. In terms of ton, this value will amount to 1.706.86 rubles/ton.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the equipment</th>
<th>Engine power (kW)</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>The electricity tariff (rub/kW.h)</th>
<th>Energy costs (rub)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Refrigerating machine IDV600</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1345 536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The air cooler RKW250</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>420 480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The water cooler RK600</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>504 576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2270 592</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thus, the total value of the change in operating costs:

\[
\Delta = - P_l - P_c - P_{\text{ins}} - P_{\text{ins,n}} + P_{\text{main}} \\
+ P_{\text{el,c}} + P_{\text{v,p}} = -6900 - 690 - 2277 \\
- 645.15 + 687 + 2270.59 + 2902 \\
= -4652.6 \text{ thousand rubles/year.} \tag{8}
\]

Calculations for the definition of NPV are presented in Table 3. The efficiency calculation was based on the 15% discount rate accepted by PJSC “LUKOIL” [26]. The conducted calculations also took into account changes in the property tax and income tax. The calculated performance indicators of the investment project are presented in Table 3. The effectiveness period was determined graphically based on the analysis of the NPV as a cumulative result of time (Figure 5).

On the basis of the obtained indicators (Table 4), we can conclude that it is economically feasible to implement and attract such an investment project, since the value of net discounted income significantly exceeds the zero mark. In addition, estimating the obtained value of the internal rate of return in 59%, we can speak of stability and a sufficiently low risk of the project. The event has a high level of profitability, as evidenced by the high value of the investment return index of 3.48. The pay-off period of the project already occurs in the second year of the project realization. Moreover, the results of the sensitivity analysis performed indicate the sustainability of the project (Table 5 and Figure 6) [27].

Analyzing the data obtained in the course of the risk analysis by the sensitivity analysis method, we can confidently point out the stability of such an investment project and its non-adherence to the influence of external factors on the resulting indicator, chosen as the NPV (Figure 5) [28,29]. Based on the results of the performed analysis, it can be said that the project for the implementation of the ACSMA in the oil mine is most sensitive to changes in the revenue parameter. This is also evident both from the graph of the dependence of the NPV on the change in parameters (the line describing the change in the NPV from the change in revenue is steeper than the rest with respect to the horizontal axis) and with a relative change of –88.63% (Figure 6). However, the significance of the relative change in the revenue parameter tells us that it is very unlikely that such a situation, in which the project is not going to be profitable, will occur. Given the current situation on the world oil market, the level of oil prices will have a minor impact, since the revenue should decrease by almost 100% in order to make the project unprofitable.

4. Conclusions

The research was led to the following outcomes:

1. In the oil well drilling galleries, the values of the heat and humidity parameters of air significantly exceeded the norms permitted by the safety rules. The introduction of an underground water-cooling refrigeration machine with a capacity of 500 kW ensured the air temperature in the drilling gallery to be compliant with the requirements of safety rules for oil mines;

2. Normalization of thermal working conditions in the drilling gallery reduced the class of working conditions, decreased the costs of benefits and compensation for work in adverse climatic condi-
**Table 4. Economic efficiency of the project.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Periods (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Change in revenue (excluding VAT), rub</td>
<td>$\Delta_B$</td>
<td>38450925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>The cost of 1 ton of Unds oil, rubles/ton</td>
<td></td>
<td>23410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Increase in production, t</td>
<td>$365 \times Q \times %$ increase</td>
<td>1642.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Change in operating costs, rub</td>
<td>$\Delta_s$</td>
<td>-3281340.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Change in the wage fund due to the reduction of costs for benefits and compensation for work in unfavorable working conditions, rub</td>
<td>$R_l$</td>
<td>-6000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Change in the wage fund due to the reduction of costs for work in unfavorable working conditions from 3.2 to 3.2 per year, rub</td>
<td>$R_c$</td>
<td>-600000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Change in payments for insurance contributions due to a change in the wage fund, rub</td>
<td>$P_{ins}$</td>
<td>-1980000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Change in payments for insurance contributions in case of accidents due to changes in the wage fund, rub</td>
<td>$P_{ins, n}$</td>
<td>-561000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Change in maintenance costs for equipment, fuel and lubricants, ordinary repairs, rub</td>
<td>$P_{maintenance}$</td>
<td>687000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Change in electricity costs, rub</td>
<td>$P_{el.e.}$</td>
<td>2270592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>Change in oil production costs, rubles</td>
<td>$P_{oil}$</td>
<td>2902067.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Investments, rub</td>
<td></td>
<td>68700000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Change in taxes, rub</td>
<td></td>
<td>1300260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Increase in property tax due to commissioning of cooling equipment, rub</td>
<td></td>
<td>1300260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Change in depreciation, rub</td>
<td></td>
<td>6870000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Change in profit before taxation, rub</td>
<td></td>
<td>33502005.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Economic efficiency of the project (continued).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Periods (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Change in income tax, rub</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6700401.09</td>
<td>6730629.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6760857.09</td>
<td>6942225.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6972453.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Change in net profit, rub</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26801604.36</td>
<td>26922516.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27043428.36</td>
<td>27768000.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27889812.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Cash flow (rub)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-68700000</td>
<td>40541604.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40662516.36</td>
<td>40783428.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>41508000.00</td>
<td>41629812.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Discount coefficient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.869565217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.756143667</td>
<td>0.657516532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.28426247</td>
<td>0.24718471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Net present value (rub)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-68700000</td>
<td>31253569.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30746704.24</td>
<td>26815766.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11 590421.01</td>
<td>1029052.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Net present value of accumulated total (rub)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-68700000</td>
<td>-33446430.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-26997676.49</td>
<td>24160039.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>126531702</td>
<td>136821955</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Method of control points.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>The base value</th>
<th>The critical value</th>
<th>Relative change (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change in revenue (rub)</td>
<td>38450925</td>
<td>4373320.12</td>
<td>-88.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in operating costs (rub)</td>
<td>-3289340.45</td>
<td>30796264.11</td>
<td>-1038.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments (rub)</td>
<td>68700000</td>
<td>497389495</td>
<td>624.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of discount (%)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>293.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. On the basis of the obtained indicators, the authors concluded that the value of net discounted income significantly exceeded the zero mark. In addition, estimating the obtained value of the internal rate of return in 59%, we can observe stability and a sufficiently low risk of the project. The project has a high level of profitability, as evidenced by the high value of the investment return index of 3.48, and the payback will occur in the second year after the introduction of the air-conditioning system.
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